

| Ref | Action | Date Required By | Date Completed |
|---|---|--|----------------|
| Matter 1 Procedural and Legal Compliance | | | |
| 1 | New Annex to the Plan which clarifies which saved development plan policies would be replaced upon the adoption of the NSP. | 23-Apr-21 | |
| 2 | Additional text to clarify that the Strategic Policies of the Plan (SP1, SP1a, SP1b, SP2-6) will be the strategic policies that Neighbourhood plans must be in general conformity with (NPPF paras 21 & 29). Perhaps added to text on 'Strategic Policies' under Six Types of Policies on p16 ? | 23-Apr-21 | |
| 3 | Agreement in principle to a MM to ensure the plan period extends for 15 years from plan adoption (likely to be 2035/36). Start of the plan period to be considered further under Matters 2 and 3, but alignment to London Plan Table 4.1 and housing targets from 2019/20 would be a reasonable option) | To be discussed at Matter 6 in discussion with Southwark Council | |
| Matter 2 Spatial Strategy and Regeneration | | | |
| 4 | Forthcoming main modification to include a Monitoring/Implementation Framework (based on the baseline indicators identified in the IIA) including measuring the effectiveness of SP2 (and the constituent policies that collectively will deliver the aims/objectives of SP2. | 31-Mar-21 | |

| | | | |
|-------------------------------|---|---|--|
| 5 | LPA to consider strengthening the wording of criterion 8 of Policy SP2 that good growth (already defined in NSP glossary) includes supporting and diversifying a strong local economy (which then links through to Policies P28-P33 in particular, which whilst not directly presented as stemming from SP2 (although the plan is to be read as a whole) , these policies nonetheless contribute to the overall objective of SP2) | 23-Apr-21 | |
| 6 | The Council to prepare a note to explain why it is necessary for the soundness of the NSP to amend boundaries for Aylesbury on the Policies Map (how this is different from the boundary of the Aylesbury Action Area already shown on the Policies Map). Similar applies in relation to Matter 1 discussion regarding the status of extant AAAP, PNAAP and CWAAP policies and sites (linked to Action Point 1 above). | 31-Mar-21 | |
| 7a | Council propose modification to SP1a to clarify hierarchy of centres and this to be translated into Table 1B in Policy SP1B (also part of Matter 5). | 23 Apr - to be discussed at final admin session | |
| 7b | Clarification in Table 1B in SP1b, possibly as an asterisk/footnote, that the housing capacity for Old Kent Road is to be phased, including 9500 homes in Phase 1, with the phasing plan to be determined through the OKRAAP. | | |
| Matter 3 Housing Needs | | | |
| 8 | Amendments to the housing target in SP1a and SP1 based on a 17 year plan period 2019/20 to 2035/36. | 23-Apr-21 | |
| 9 | Council to provide a figure for the affordable housing need over the plan period (presumably a confirmation of 2,077dpa x 17 years?) | 23-Apr-21 | |

| | | | |
|----|---|-----------|--|
| 10 | Council to provide a brief note on its proposed changes to P2 to explain the different requirements for Aylesbury including any proposed amendments to the percentages and why these would be needed for soundness (as opposed to retaining the relevant policies from the AAAP in the schedule of development plan policies that would not be superseded by the adoption of the NSP) | 31-Mar-21 | |
| 11 | Council to provide a brief note on policy options for co-living as an alternative to Policy P5. Would London Plan H16 be sufficient (including payment in lieu of affordable housing – noting London Plan para 4.16.8 gives Borough flexibility on the trigger point) or is the Council intending through Policy P5 or another policy option to deal with co-living schemes at a lower threshold than London Plan Policy H16? | 23-Apr-21 | |
| 12 | Policy P7 clarifications in part 1 of the policy re M4(3)(2a) and M4(3)(2b) and only requiring M4(3)(2b) on 10% of dwellings to which the Councils nominations apply in accordance with the PPG. | 23-Apr-21 | |
| 13 | Policy P8 – further clarifications on the existing application of HMO Article 4 directions. | 23-Apr-21 | |
| 14 | Modification to P8 provide clarification on how “overconcentration within the local area” would be assessed. | 23-Apr-21 | |
| 15 | Modification to P9 to provide clarification on how “overconcentration within the local area” would be assessed. | 23-Apr-21 | |

| | | | |
|----|--|--------------|--|
| 16 | Council to prepare a note confirming that the short term need (2020-2024) for gypsy and traveller pitches for those not meeting the planning definition but seeking culturally appropriate accommodation is 27 pitches (net) of which 5 pitches could be accommodated at Springtide Close. For the residual 22 pitches the Council's note will explain the extent to which turnover on sites may meet this need; the extent to which site improvements and remodelling may meet need; and the extent to which the Council has assessed potential site options through NSP/OKRAAP. If there remains a residual unmet need, the note should advise on the role/potential of early partial plan review. | End of April | |
| 17 | <p>Modifications to Policy P11/reasons text to clarify it will apply in meeting non-Planning definition needs (27 pitches by 2024); given the scale of need the 4 existing sites should be unambiguously safeguarded in the NSP and references to 'subject to need' deleted; the Equalities Impact Assessment and IIA updated to reflect the non-planning definition need and consider mitigation where necessary;</p> <p>Unauthorised encampments added to the monitoring framework.</p> | | |
| 18 | Council to prepare a brief note on why it would be necessary for soundness to amend the CWAAP allocation (AAP16) for soundness as part of the NSP and in light of London Plan Policy SI 15 and to advise on updating of LDS and first review amendments of NSP in light of the current ORS research into houseboats. | 31-Mar-21 | |
| 19 | Council to provide details regarding the in-lieu payments for open space in P14 and the potential to transfer necessary detail from the S106 SPD into the NSP. | 31-Mar | |

| | | | |
|--|--|-----------|--|
| 20 | Council to prepare a brief note on variations to Table 6 in relation to standards being sought in connection to the Aylesbury regeneration. | 31-Mar-21 | |
| 21 | Amendments to Policy P17 to replace 'maximise' with 'optimise' (conformity with London Plan (and consistency with NPPF para 123(a)). | 23-Apr-21 | |
| 22 | Review P17.2. in respect of fall back of P55 and whether potential harm to living conditions and anti-social behaviour in the context of meanwhile uses is sufficiently addressed by the policy. | 23-Apr-21 | |
| Matter 5 Economic Prosperity & Town and Local Centres | | | |
| 23 | Consistency and updates to employment floorspace in SP1a/SP1b and SP4 in line with the figures presented in EIP161 | 31-Mar-21 | |
| 24 | Additional detail to be added to Policy P27 in respect of the calculation of payments in-lieu and linking to the S106 SPD. The Council to provide to the Inspectors a copy of the S106 template appended to this note. | 31-Mar-21 | |
| 25 | To inform/support potential changes to SP1a/SP1b, the Council to provide a note drawing together how the 76,670sqm new floor space figure has been derived for the period 2020-2035 having regard to the delay in pipeline sites that formed the projections in the 2015 and 2018 retail studies. Note to also reflect the capacity/ability of existing commitments to accommodate available expenditure and the role of plan allocations/sites in accommodating available expenditure. The note to utilise the information in the IIA (particularly the windfall capacity from other sites). Note to provide a clear figure on any net floorspace | 31-Mar-21 | |

| | | | |
|--|--|-----------|---|
| | that is not to be delivered through either sites that have been completed within the plan period, sites with planning permission or sites that are proposed to be allocated in the NSP. | | |
| 26 | Modification to clarify criterion 6 of P34 (publicly accessible toilets). Also need to clarify whether the 1000sqm threshold is gross or net. | 23-Apr-21 | |
| 27 | Submission of Statement of Common Ground with SE5 Forum re Policy P34 | 26-Mar-21 | |
| 28 | Council to clarify whether the Plan's approach to protected shopping frontages will retain primary and secondary frontages in light of the proposed amendments in EIP162. Note – there are likely to be implications for other policies that may need to be modified as a result of the above including, but not limited to Policy P47 and anywhere else in the plan where primary/ secondary frontages are found. | 23-Apr-21 | |
| Matter 7 Health and Environment | | | |
| 29 | Include strategic open space figure (c.12ha) within Policy SP1a and amend open space figures in SP1b as per Strategic Targets Background Paper [document EIP161] | 23.4.21 | |
| 30 | The Council to advise Inspectors on any updates on statements of common ground re Policies SP5, P44 and P45 in respect of submissions that the Plan should pursue communal/community spaces in qualifying developments. | 23.4.21 | we will provide an updated list of SCGs on the two dates 26 March and 1 April |
| 31 | Peckham Rye Common boundary to be addressed through Schedule of Proposed | 23.4.21 | |

| | | | |
|----|--|---------|--|
| | Policies Map changes. | | |
| 32 | Council to provide mapping showing the proposed 400m buffers from Primary Schools (Matter 1 statement – consistency with London Plan). Council to provide brief note advising on a justification for using 400m from school boundary as opposed to the default London Plan 400m from principal entrance/exit of the school. | 23.4.21 | |
| 33 | Policy P58 – Main Modification to amend large major developments in policy to schemes referable to the mayor. | 23.4.21 | |
| 34 | Policy P59 – Council to provide a brief note confirming its approach to securing financial contributions for off-site biodiversity net gain | 23.4.21 | |
| 35 | Inspectors to look at the submitted Nature Action Plan (EIP183) in terms of any corresponding soundness issues for the Plan. | 31.3.21 | |
| 36 | Council to provide further document clearly setting out justification in respect of exceptional circumstances for proposed MOL against the tests in the London Plan along with clear maps showing the proposed areas of MOL to be extended. Justification for additional BOL and OOS also to be provided in same document. Inspectors to consider where proposed extended figures for MOL, BOL and OOS should be identified in plan. | 23.4.21 | |

| | | | |
|--|--|---|----------|
| 37 | Policy P55 to include in reasons the broad criteria against which amenity will be considered. Note - on reflection for soundness this may need to be included in the policy text – council to consider. | 23.4.21 | |
| Matter 8 Heritage, Tall Buildings & Borough Views | | | |
| 38 | Council to clarify the 'Tall buildings' area layer for the Policies Map. If it is a new layer that has not previously been consulted on it will need to form part of the Schedule of Policies Map changes. | 31.3.21 | Complete |
| 39 | Policy P16 to be modified to replace “on Figure 4” with “shown on the Policies Map”. Figure 4 can remain in the Plan for illustration purposes. The Council, in conjunction with the Inspectors, will need ensure that across the Plan where a policy has a corresponding layer on the Map that policy appropriately references “as shown on the Policies Map” to aid users of the Plan for (a) effectiveness; and (b) consistency with NPPF paragraph 23. | To be discussed at final administrative session | |
| 40 | Council to look at wording of: Policy P18 (effectiveness of first sentence); Policy P19 (criterion re harm requiring clear and convincing justification (NPPF 194)); and the balance in Policy P20 on the proportionality of approach to designated and non-designated heritage assets to reflect NPPF paras 194 and 197. Notwithstanding the statement of common ground (agreement) with Historic England on the wording of the heritage policies the Council will undertake a final overview check on the requirement to conserve and enhance. | 23.4.21 | |

| Matter 1b – Climate Change | | | |
|-----------------------------------|---|-------------------------------------|----------|
| 41 | IIA to be updated to reflect climate change target | To accompany main mods consultation | |
| 42 | Council to produce note on justification for proposed changes to P61 reducing waste and why necessary for soundness. Council to review wording in respect of what scale of development is required to consider circular economy principles and scale of schemes which are required to produce a circular economy statement having regard to London Plan policy. | 23.4.21 | |
| 43 | Note – Participants in the Matter 1b Session only – provide any further written points on Council's Table of Draft Changes and Energy Paper Addendum to Programme Officer. | 26.03.21 | Actioned |
| 44 | Council to provide schedule of site allocations setting out which have planning permission, as well as those that are under construction. | 02.04.21 | |
| | | | |