

Representation	Officer Response
<p><b>IP2 – transport infrastructure</b></p>	
<p>John Taylor NSPPSV519</p> <p>Do you consider the document to be legally compliant in accordance with the Town and Country Planning (Local Planning) (England) (Amendment) Regulations 2012? - legally compliant Yes</p> <p>Do you consider this policy is sound? – sound No</p> <p>Do you consider this policy is sound? - why not sound – Effective Effective</p> <p>Do you consider this policy is sound? - why not sound - Positively Prepared Positively Prepared</p> <p>The list of strategic projects and initiatives is incomplete.</p> <p>In paragraph 2 I propose (1) reinstating “Bus priority measures.” (2) After the bullet point about the Rotherhithe bridge, inserting a new point to read “Improved tube capacity and better bus movement within and around the Rotherhithe peninsula.”</p> <p>My reason: Better public transport capacity is urgently needed in order to support the approved Canada Water Masterplan. Bus priority has to be part of the solution.</p>	<p>The area vision for Rotherhithe recognises the transport needs for Rotherhithe. It states development should prioritise walking and cycling and improve public transport, including improved links to Southwark Park, the river, boat services and docks, completion of the Thames Path, a new river crossing to Canary Wharf, better circulation of buses, enhanced cycle routes to support expansion of cycle hire to the area and creating ‘healthy streets’.</p> <p>We will amend the vision to state:</p> <p>Development should prioritise walking and cycling and improve <u>the access to, and efficiency of</u>, public transport <u>around Rotherhithe</u>, including improved links to Southwark Park, the river, boat services and docks, completion of the Thames Path, a new river crossing to Canary Wharf, better circulation of buses, enhanced cycle routes to support expansion of cycle hire to the area and creating ‘healthy streets’.</p>