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1.1 Brief description of policy/decision/business plan

The council is required by UK law to pay due regard to advancing equality, fostering good relations and eliminating discrimination for people sharing certain protected characteristics, as set out in the Public Sector Equalities Duty (2011) (under section 149 of the Equalities Act 2010). The council carries out Equalities Analysis of its plans, decisions and programmes to consider the potential impact (positive and negative) of proposals on the key ‘protected characteristics’ in the Equality Act 2010 and on Human Rights.

The general equality duty does not specify how public authorities should analyse the effect of their existing and new policies and practices on equality, but doing so is an important part of complying with the general equality duty.

‘Equality Analysis’ is a process of systematically analysing a new or existing policy or service to identify what impact or likely impact it will have on different groups within the community. The primary concern is to identify any discriminatory or negative consequences for a particular group or sector of the community.

This equalities analysis looks at how the objectives of the Addendum to the Section 106 Planning Obligations and Community Infrastructure Levy Supplementary Planning Document (“the SPD addendum”) and the Revised Draft Charging Schedule (“the charging schedule”) relate to equality and which aspects may have particular importance to equality. It describes the purpose of the two documents, the context in which they will operate, who they are intended to benefit, what results are intended and why they are needed.

SPD Addendum

The Section 106 Planning Obligations and CIL SPD (2015) provides detailed guidance on the use of planning obligations alongside CIL. This is a key document within Southwark’s Local Plan, a group of local planning documents which set out policies for the future development of the borough and to manage change. Together these local plans work alongside the Mayor’s London Plan policies and the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) and associated guidance, National Planning Practice Guidance (NPPG).

Planning obligations are used as part of the planning application process to address specific planning issues and impacts arising from a development proposal. In dealing with planning applications, local planning authorities consider each on its merits and reach a decision based on whether the application accords with the relevant development plan, unless material considerations indicate otherwise. Where applications do not meet these requirements, they may be refused. However, in some instances, it may be possible to make acceptable development proposals which might otherwise be unacceptable, through the use of planning conditions or, where this is not possible, through planning obligations. They are normally agreed between the Council, land owners and developers in a legal agreement called a Section 106 agreement.

The purpose of the SPD addendum is to set out interim guidance on the approach to using section 106 planning obligations to contribute towards funding transport infrastructure in the Old Kent Road opportunity area. This interim charge will apply in the period while the CIL revision is prepared, ensuring that the council can secure funding
for this infrastructure while the revision of the CIL charging schedule is taking place. This charge will be used to fund specific transport infrastructure projects in the opportunity area including the two new Bakerloo Line extension stations planned to serve the Old Kent Road area.

The SPD addendum does not create new policy, but rather will be used to implement a number of existing policies and guidance set out in NPPF, NPPG and Core Strategy (2011).

Revised Draft Charging Schedule

The Community Infrastructure levy (CIL) was introduced under the Planning Act 2008 and is a new tariff system that enables local authorities to make a charge on most forms of new development to fund infrastructure needed to support development. Unlike funding from Section 106 agreements, CIL funds can be spent on a wide range of infrastructure to support development without the need for a direct geographical or functional relationship with the development. The intention is that CIL will be a simpler, fairer, more transparent and more predictable system. The overall purpose of CIL is to ensure that costs incurred in providing infrastructure to support the development of an area can be funded (wholly or partly) by owners or developers of land.

Under the CIL Regulations 2010 (as amended), the amount of CIL to be paid has to be set out in a formal document called a Charging Schedule. The Charging Schedule must set out the level of charge that is to be levied for different types of development, in different parts of the Local Plan area. It is charged per square metre on net additional floorspace of development and the charging schedule must set out how to calculate the level of CIL required for each scheme.

Southwark’s CIL charging schedule was adopted in 2015. This sets rates for residential, hotel, office, retail and other developments. Rates are varied by area, with three separate CIL zones defined. The council is now proposing to revise the CIL charging schedule to increase the CIL rate paid by residential developments falling within CIL zone 3 in the Old Kent Road Opportunity Area so that residential development across the opportunity area pays the higher rate. This is important to help fund the transport infrastructure required to support the very significant growth being planned for in the opportunity area, including delivery of the Bakerloo Line extension.

The consultation on the preliminary draft charging schedule, the first stage in revising the existing CIL charging schedule, was completed in November 2016. The consultation on the draft charging schedule in early 2017 is the second stage. The draft charging schedule will then be submitted for examination in public in summer 2017 with the aim of adopting the charging schedule in late 2017.

Delivery and outcomes

The Planning department is responsible for implementing the CIL charging process and securing the planning obligations of the SPD. The Planning Service officers will consult with internal and external consultees. The outcome is for the objectives of the London Plan and Southwark’s Local Plan to be delivered – in particular for new development to be supported by the timely delivery of the required infrastructure to provide balanced and more sustainable communities.

This equalities analysis supports the report to Cabinet (January 2017) which requests Cabinet to approve the draft charging schedule for consultation and the SPD addendum for adoption.


### Section 3: Overview of service users and key stakeholders consulted

#### 2. Service users and stakeholders

| Key users of the department or service | Planning is a statutory function carried out by local authorities. The development of planning policies and the impacts of planning decisions can affect everyone with an interest in land in the borough. The documents will be of most relevance to the following stakeholders:
|                                          | • Council departments assessing a planning application or negotiating, enforcing or implementing planning obligations; and
|                                          | • Developers (and their clients), landowners and those acting on their behalf (e.g. architects and planning agents) and members of the public who will be submitting or commenting on a planning application for a site in Southwark;
|                                          | • Current and future residents who will benefit from the new provision of and improvements to infrastructure and other facilities that are funded by developer contributions or provided directly by developers, as a result of successful Section 106 negotiations and CIL.
|                                          | The Council’s Statement of Community Involvement (SCI) explains how the local community can get involved in the preparation of planning policy documents and sets out methods of consultation for target groups. |

| Key stakeholders are involved in this policy guidance | The key stakeholders involved in the preparation of the SPD addendum and charging schedule and supporting documents include:
|                                                    | Cabinet Member for Regeneration and New Homes, Southwark Councillors, Council services including Planning and Transport, Corporate Strategy Property, Arts and Culture, Highways, Regeneration, Parks, Environment, Housing, Corporate Finance and external stakeholders including Southwark & Lambeth NHS. The Planning Policy team have received corporate equalities training and equalities analysis report writing training. A number of the service deliverers within the Council will also have received corporate equalities training. |

### Section 4: Pre-implementation updated equality analysis

This section considers the potential impact (positive and negative) of the proposed documents on the different groups protected from discrimination by the Equality Act 2010 and Human Rights Act. There are two reasons for this. First, to consider if there are any unintended consequences for some groups, and second, to consider if the policy will be fully effective for all target groups. The actual and potential effect on equality of both documents, and appropriate mitigating measures, are considered to ensure that inequalities between different equality groups have been identified and do not continue or
widen. It involves using equality information, and the results of engagement with protected groups and others, to understand the actual effect or the potential effect of the policy guidance.

Equality analysis starts prior to policy development or at the early stages of a review. It is not a one-off exercise, it is on-going and cyclical and it enables equality considerations to be taken into account before a decision is made. This equality analysis is not simply about identifying and removing negative effects or discrimination, but it is also an opportunity to identify ways to advance equality of opportunity and to foster good relations.

Equality information

Before assessing the impact of the SPD addendum and charging schedule on key protected characteristics, it is important to understand the demographic context of the borough.

General

- The Census 2011 confirms that there are 288,283 Southwark residents. This is an 18% increase from the 2001 Census.
- In 2010 Southwark was ranked the 41st most deprived Local Authority in England and the 12th most deprived in London. This is a relative improvement from previous rankings in 2004 and 2007.
- Southwark has a high population density of 105.5 persons per hectare, compared to 54 persons per hectare across London as a whole.
- Job density is also very high, given that Southwark is a central London borough. It is approximately 1.16. This is the number of jobs per resident of working age. For example, a job density of 1.0 would mean that there is one job for every resident of working age.
- The largest demand for housing in Southwark is for family and affordable housing, particularly social rented housing. In 2013, average house price in Southwark was 12.8 times the average full-time worker’s earnings. This is higher than the London average of 11.8 times earnings.

Age

- The proportion of the population aged between 16-64 years is 73.6% making it the largest age group in Southwark at 212,176 people. This is 4.9% higher than the London region as a whole, and 8.8% higher than the UK as a whole. Southwark has a smaller proportion of people in the 0-15 (53,620 people) and 65+ (at 22,486 people) age groups than the London region and the UK as a whole. Between mid-2003 to mid-2012, the proportion of people in the 65+ age group decreased by 9.6%. This is the only age group to see a decrease. The 0-15 age group saw a 7.7% increase and 16-64 age group saw a 20.6% increase. The average age of a Southwark resident is 33.8 years.
- Southwark has fewer numbers of older people than the rest of London, although this is predicted to rise – with an extra 900 people aged 85 or over expected by 2020, which is an increase of nearly 30% on current levels. The number of people with disabilities and learning difficulties is also rising steadily, with those under 65 years predicted to increase to around 20,000 by 2025.
- Major health indicators such as mortality and life expectancy have improved (life expectancy at birth for males is 77.8 years compared to 78.6 years in London and 82.9 years for females compared to 83.1 years in London, January 2007-December 2009, ONS), although significant inequalities are evident across the population. The difference in life expectancy, for example, between the worst off and best off is 9.5 years for men and 6.9 years for women.
• Nearly two thirds (74%) of people in Southwark are aged 16-64 i.e. the age where they can be economically active. Of this percentage, 78% of 16-64 year olds are economically active. Of this 78%, 8% are unemployed, which is 1% higher than the London average. 71% of Southwark’s working age population are employed, and of this 11% were self employed.

• There are significant disparities in educational attainment and skills in Southwark. In 2012, 9.4% of people aged between 16 and 64 had no qualifications. This was a higher percentage than in London as a whole. However, the trend was downwards and Southwark’s percentage had dropped 1.4% since 2009.

• In 2012, the proportion of 16 to 18 year-olds NEET (not in education, employment or training) in Southwark was 7.7% compared to 4.7% in London.

Disability
• In total, 13% of 16-64 year olds are claiming key out-of–work benefits. As well as JSA, this includes disabled, lone parents, carers and the bereaved. JSA and Incapacity Benefit make up the largest share of out of work benefits claimed in Southwark, at 6%.

• Over the period 2012-2032 the number of working age people with serious physical disability is expected to increase by 23% from 3,600 to almost 4,450. Current unmet need for wheelchair housing stands at close to 550 households.

• There is a greater concentration of mental health need in the centre of the borough than in the north or the south, corresponding both to higher levels of deprivation, and lower levels of employment.

• Southwark has a below average supply of specialised elderly accommodation (compared with the average for South East London). 33% of elderly households in the borough are owner-occupied while 61% are in the social rented sector. Southwark has fewer elderly people with mobility issues than other South East London boroughs (with around 4,200) however this group is expected to increase by 17% between 2012 and 2020.

• A steady increase in the number of households with physical disabilities is forecast between now and 2020 in the South East London sub-region comprising the boroughs of Bexley, Bromley, Greenwich, Lewisham and Southwark, both of older people and working age households. Currently it is estimated that around 2,500 households have unmet wheelchair accessible accommodation requirements and some 32% of households currently needing wheelchair accommodation require 3 bed or larger homes (SE London Strategic Housing Market Assessment 2014)

Gender (and Gender reassignment)
• 50.5% of Southwark’s population is female.

• The comparison of employment statistics between genders reveals that the proportion of females in employment is consistently less than males, either as employees or self employed. For example, 75% of economically active males in Southwark are in employment, compared with 66% of females. In 2012, of Income Support claimants in Southwark, 31% were male and 69% female.

Marriage and Civil partnership
• In terms of marital and civil partnership status, Southwark has a relatively high percentage of single persons (54.7%) compared to London 44.1% (16 years and over). There are 28.5% married couples and 0.9% are in a registered same sex civil partnership.

Religion and Belief
• The majority of residents in Southwark who stated their religion in the 2011 Census were of Christian faith (52.5%) with the second highest category being ‘No religion’ (26.7%). Muslim faith was the third highest (8.5%). By comparison 48% were of
Christian faith in London and 59% nationally, with 21% of London residents expressing no religion and 24.7% nationally.

Race
- Southwark is a very diverse borough with over 45% of residents coming from Black and Ethnic Minority (BaME) communities according to the 2011 Census.
- Compared to the population as a whole, a very high proportion of Black households (70%) are housed in the social/affordable rented sector and a small proportion of this group (17%) is in owner-occupation. A greater proportion of White households in Southwark are in the 64+ age group compared to the other ethnic groups in the borough.
- 36.5% of Southwark’s residents were born outside the UK. This is 2.9% lower than inner London as a whole, and 0.7% higher than London as a whole. The largest migrant population in Southwark is Nigerian, representing 4.7% of the population. The second largest is immigrant population is Jamaican, at 2.0%. Third are Irish, at 1.7%.

Pregnancy and maternity
- In 2012 there was 5,030 live births, compared to
- In 2012/13, 0.6% of women giving birth in this area were aged under 18 years. This is similar to the regional average.
- 89.6% of mothers in this area initiate breastfeeding when their baby is born. This area has a similar percentage of babies who have ever been breastfed compared with the European average of 89.1%

Sexual Orientation (local data not available)
- In 2013, 1.6% of UK adults identified their sexual identity as gay, lesbian or bisexual. London had the highest percentage of adults identifying themselves as gay, lesbian or bisexual at 3.2%. Twice as many males (1.6%) as females (0.8%) were likely to state their sexual identity as gay or lesbian. (ONS Integrated household survey)

The primary aim of both the SPD addendum and charging schedule is to support the delivery of sufficient and accessible infrastructure to accommodate development sustainably in a densely populated and diverse borough. High population and employment densities place pressure on infrastructure and other public facilities, which will increase with new development and population and economic growth. There is a particular need to deliver new, or upgrade existing, infrastructure in the Old Kent Road Opportunity Area to support growth of 20,000 homes and 5,000 additional jobs being planned in the Old Kent Road Area Action Plan. Indeed this level of growth will be impossible if delivery of critical infrastructure such as the Bakerloo Line Extension does not go ahead.

The SPD addendum and charging schedule do not have a direct impact on any groups with protected characteristics. By providing guidance about contributions towards transport infrastructure in the Old Kent Road Opportunity Area, the SPD addendum aims to ensure that development contributes to, and is supported by, new infrastructure that meets the current needs and future demands of the borough’s diverse residents, visitors and workers.

The charging schedule proposes an increased CIL rate for developments in the Old Kent Road Opportunity Area. This will be important to help fund the transport infrastructure required to support the very significant growth being planned for in the opportunity area, including delivery of the Bakerloo Line Extension. This growth will in turn create multiple opportunities to improve the quality of life of all residents, visitors and workers in the Old
Kent Road Opportunity Area (e.g. through improved provision of public transport services, open spaces, schools and health facilities and an enhanced public realm).

**Equalities Analysis**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age - Where this is referred to, it refers to a person belonging to a particular age (e.g. 32 year olds) or range of ages (e.g. 18 - 30 year olds).</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Potential impacts (positive and negative) of proposed policy guidance

Positive impact

The SPD addendum and new charging schedule will increase the amount of funding secured to deliver the infrastructure required to support growth in the Old Kent Road Opportunity Area. Delivery of these measures (e.g. two new underground stations as part of the Bakerloo Line Extension, new open spaces, new health facilities) will positively benefit all age groups. The challenge will be ensuring the infrastructure is delivered in a timely manner to avoid any additional burden on existing services.

Local CIL funding will be spent according to projects identified within the Community Infrastructure Project List (CIPL) or where relevant on projects listed in an adopted neighbourhood plan. CIPL projects will be publically accessible physical infrastructure improvements in the local area which support growth and benefit all age groups.

Equality information on which above analysis is based

This Equalities Analysis has also been informed by previous equalities analyses undertaken for planning policy documents, our evidence base documents and our local knowledge and expertise.

Mitigating actions to be taken

The above analysis highlights that the SPD addendum and charging schedule will largely give rise to a positive impact.

Disability - A person has a disability if s/he has a physical or mental impairment which has a substantial and long-term adverse effect on that person's ability to carry out normal day-to-day activities.

Possible impacts (positive and negative) of proposed policy/decision/business plan

Positive impact

The SPD addendum and new charging schedule are seeking to improve the quality of the built environment for all, by securing contributions towards the infrastructure needed to support growth in the Old Kent Road Opportunity Area. It will be a requirement that the new stations on the Bakerloo Line Extension are designed to be fully accessible for all and
delivered to highest standards for all in the community.

Currently the area is very difficult to move around. Proposed measures such as the Bakerloo extension and improved access through the exiting retail/industrial sites and network of spaces is likely to increase access to services and resources for all residents, including people with disabilities. However in the short-term the impact may be negative in the form of disruption to travel routes e.g. temporary closure of foot paths for people who are sight impaired while development is under construction.

Equality information on which above analysis is based

This Equalities Analysis has also been informed by previous equalities analyses undertaken for planning policy documents, our evidence base documents and our local knowledge and expertise. It also draws on findings from a Mental Wellbeing Impact Assessment Screening Report recently completed for redevelopment of the Old Kent Road area.

Mitigating actions to be taken

The above analysis highlights that the SPD addendum and charging schedule will largely give rise to a positive impact.

Gender reassignment - The process of transitioning from one gender to another.

Possible impacts (positive and negative) of proposed policy/decision/business plan

Positive impact

The SPD addendum and new charging schedule are seeking to improve the quality of the built environment for all, by securing contributions towards the infrastructure needed to support growth in the Old Kent Road Opportunity Area. The impact on those with a different gender than assigned at birth is therefore anticipated to be positive. The adoption of the SPD addendum and the implementation of the new charging schedule alongside the Old Kent Road Area Action Plan will help to encourage and maintain balanced and mixed communities with sufficient infrastructure to support the needs of all groups in society. Over the long term it should also help to improve community safety and community cohesion and encourage more mixed housing provision, which has a positive impact.

Equality information on which above analysis is based.

This Equalities Analysis has also been informed by previous equalities analyses undertaken for planning policy documents, our evidence base documents and our local knowledge and expertise.

Mitigating actions to be taken

The above analysis highlights that the implementation of the SPD addendum and charging schedule will largely give rise to positive impacts. No specific impacts have been
identified that would affect people differently as a result of their gender reassignment.

**Marriage and civil partnership** - Marriage is defined as a ‘union between a man and a woman’. Same-sex couples can have their relationships legally recognised as ‘civil partnerships’. Civil partners must be treated the same as married couples on a wide range of legal matters. *(Only to be considered in respect to the need to eliminate discrimination.)*

**Possible impacts (positive and negative) of proposed policy/decision/business plan**

Positive impact

The SPD addendum and new charging schedule are seeking to improve the quality of the built environment for all, by securing contributions towards the infrastructure needed to support growth in the Old Kent Road Opportunity Area. The adoption of the SPD addendum and the implementation of the new charging schedule alongside the Old Kent Road Area Action Plan will help to encourage and maintain balanced and mixed communities with sufficient infrastructure to support the needs of all groups in society. Over the long term it should also help to improve community safety and community cohesion and encourage more mixed housing provision, which has a positive impact.

**Equality information on which above analysis is based**

This Equalities Analysis has also been informed by previous equalities analyses undertaken for planning policy documents, our evidence base documents and our local knowledge and expertise.

**Mitigating actions to be taken**

The above analysis highlights that the SPD addendum and charging schedule will largely give rise to a positive impact.

**Pregnancy and maternity** - Pregnancy is the condition of being pregnant or expecting a baby. Maternity refers to the period after the birth, and is linked to maternity leave in the employment context. In the non-work context, protection against maternity discrimination is for 26 weeks after giving birth, and this includes treating a woman unfavourably because she is breastfeeding.

**Possible impacts (positive and negative) of proposed policy/decision/business plan**

Positive impact

The SPD addendum and new charging schedule are seeking to improve the quality of the built environment for all, by securing contributions towards the infrastructure needed to support growth in the Old Kent Road Opportunity Area. It will be a requirement that the new stations on the Bakerloo Line Extension are designed to be fully accessible for all and
delivered to highest standards for all in the community.

Currently the area is very difficult to move around. Proposed measures such as the Bakerloo extension and improved access through the exiting retail/industrial sites and network of spaces is likely to increase access to services and resources for all residents, including pregnant women and women with young children. However in the short-term the impact may be negative in the form of disruption to travel routes e.g. temporary closure of foot paths for people who are sight impaired while development is under construction.

**Equality information on which above analysis is based**

This Equalities Analysis has also been informed by previous equalities analyses undertaken for planning policy documents, our evidence base documents and our local knowledge and expertise.

**Mitigating actions to be taken**

The above analysis highlights that the SPD addendum and charging schedule will largely give rise to a positive impact.

**Race** - Refers to the protected characteristic of Race. It refers to a group of people defined by their race, colour, and nationality (including citizenship) ethnic or national origins.

**Possible impacts (positive and negative) of proposed policy/decision/business plan**

**Positive impact**

The SPD addendum and new charging schedule will increase the amount of funding secured to deliver the infrastructure required to support growth in the Old Kent Road Opportunity Area. Delivery of these measures (e.g. two new underground stations as part of the Bakerloo Line Extension, new open spaces, new health facilities) will positively benefit people of all races.

Unemployment levels are generally higher across the borough for Black and Ethnic Minority (BME) communities. By supporting the delivery of critical infrastructure the SPD addendum and charging schedule will help to unlock regeneration across the Old Kent Road Opportunity Area. Objectives for this regeneration include the provision of 5,000 additional jobs, which could contribute to reducing levels of economic deprivation in the borough. New housing growth could also help to support the increasing presence of Latin American and East African/Middle Eastern businesses in the area, though there is the potential for some businesses to be displaced as values and rents rise with regeneration.

Furthermore, evidence from the 2011 Census shows that BME residents are more likely to be in need of affordable housing and are amongst households with issues of overcrowding. With the potential for the development of an additional 20,000 new homes in the area, planned regeneration could impact positively on access to quality housing. However this will critically depend on the extent to which ‘affordable’ homes are affordable to existing residents.
Equality information on which above analysis is based

This Equalities Analysis has also been informed by previous equalities analyses undertaken for planning policy documents, our evidence base documents and our local knowledge and expertise. Information from the 2011 Census has also been reviewed. It also draws on findings from a Mental Wellbeing Impact Assessment Screening Report recently completed for redevelopment of the Old Kent Road area.

Mitigating actions to be taken

The above analysis highlights that the implementation of the SPD addendum and charging schedule will largely give rise to positive impacts. No specific impacts have been identified that would affect people differently as a result of their race.

Religion and belief - Religion has the meaning usually given to it but belief includes religious and philosophical beliefs including lack of belief (e.g. Atheism). Generally, a belief should affect your life choices or the way you live for it to be included in the definition.

Possible impacts (positive and negative) of proposed policy/decision/business plan

Positive impacts

The SPD addendum and new charging schedule will increase the amount of funding secured to deliver the infrastructure required to support growth in the Old Kent Road Opportunity Area. Delivery of these measures (e.g. two new underground stations as part of the Bakerloo Line Extension, new open spaces, new health facilities) will positively benefit people of all religions or none.

Local CIL funding will be spent according to projects identified within the Community Infrastructure Project List (CIPL) or where relevant, on projects listed in an adopted neighbourhood plan. CIPL projects will be publically accessible physical infrastructure improvements in the local area which support growth and benefit all.

Equality information on which above analysis is based

This Equalities Analysis has also been informed by previous equalities analyses undertaken for planning policy documents, our evidence base documents and our local knowledge and expertise.

Mitigating actions to be taken
The above analysis highlights that the SPD addendum and charging schedule will largely give rise to a positive impact.

**Sex** - A man or a woman.

**Possible impacts (positive and negative) of proposed policy/decision/business plan**

**Positive impacts**

The SPD addendum and new charging schedule will increase the amount of funding secured to deliver the infrastructure required to support growth in the Old Kent Road Opportunity Area. Delivery of these measures (e.g. two new underground stations as part of the Bakerloo Line Extension, new open spaces, new health facilities) will positively benefit both men and women. Over the long term it should also help to improve community safety and community cohesion and encourage more mixed housing provision.

Local CIL funding will be spent according to projects identified within the Community Infrastructure Project List (CIPL) or where relevant on projects listed in an adopted neighbourhood plan. CIPL projects will be publically accessible physical infrastructure improvements in the local area which support growth and benefit all.

**Equality information on which above analysis is based**

This Equalities Analysis has also been informed by previous equalities analyses undertaken for planning policy documents, our evidence base documents and our local knowledge and expertise.

**Mitigating actions to be taken**

The above analysis highlights that the SPD addendum and charging schedule will largely give rise to a positive impact.

**Sexual orientation** - Whether a person's sexual attraction is towards their own sex, the opposite sex or to both sexes

**Possible impacts (positive and negative) of proposed policy/decision/business plan**

**Positive impacts**

The SPD addendum and new charging schedule are seeking to improve the quality of the built environment for all, by securing contributions towards the infrastructure needed to support growth in the Old Kent Road Opportunity Area. The impact on those with a different gender than assigned at birth is therefore anticipated to be positive. The adoption of the SPD addendum and the implementation of the new charging schedule alongside the Old Kent Road Area Action Plan will help to encourage and maintain balanced and
mixed communities with sufficient infrastructure to support the needs of all groups in society. Over the long term it should also help to improve community safety and community cohesion and encourage more mixed housing provision, which has a positive impact.

### Equality information on which above analysis is based

This Equalities Analysis has also been informed by previous equalities analyses undertaken for planning policy documents, our evidence base documents and our local knowledge and expertise.

### Mitigating actions to be taken

The above analysis highlights that the SPD addendum and charging schedule will largely give rise to a positive impact.

### Human Rights

There are 16 rights in the Human Rights Act. Each one is called an Article. They are all taken from the European Convention on Human Rights. The Articles are The right to life, Freedom from torture, inhuman and degrading treatment, Freedom from forced labour, Right to Liberty, Fair trial, Retrospective penalties, Privacy, Freedom of conscience, Freedom of expression, Freedom of assembly, Marriage and family, Freedom from discrimination and the First Protocol.

### Possible impacts (positive and negative) of proposed policy/decision/business plan

**Positive impact**

Information on which above analysis is based

Section 6 of the Human Rights Act 1998 prohibits public authorities from acting in a way which is incompatible with the European Convention on Human Rights (ECHR). Various Convention rights may be engaged in the process of making and considering the Article 4 Direction, including under Articles 1 and 8 of the First Protocol. The European Court has recognised that “regard must be had to the fair balance that has to be struck between the competing interests of the individual and of the community as a whole”. Both public and private interests are to be taken into account in the exercise of the council's powers and duties as a local planning authority. Any interference with a Convention Right must be necessary and proportionate.

### Mitigating actions to be taken

N/A

### Section 5: Further actions and objectives
### 5. Further actions

Based on the analysis above, areas are identified as requiring more detailed analysis or key mitigating actions.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Description of Issue</th>
<th>Action</th>
<th>Timeframe</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Ensure that the SPD addendum and new charging schedule are adopted within the shortest possible timeframe by the council to ensure that the maximum contributions can be appropriately secured from developments in the context of national and regional government policy.</td>
<td>Progress the SPD addendum and new charging schedule towards formal adoption at Cabinet and Council Assembly.</td>
<td>Cabinet approval of final SPD in autumn 2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Ensure that the implementation of the SPD addendum and new charging schedule is monitored following adoption for impacts on different groups.</td>
<td>The outcomes of implementing the SPD addendum and new charging schedule will be monitored through the Authority Monitoring Report (AMR). Equality analysis is an on-going process that does not end once these documents are implemented.</td>
<td>The monitoring arrangements will be undertaken annually.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>