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## Introduction

National government introduced a new type of affordable housing through a revision to Planning Policy Statement 3 in June 2011. The new type of affordable housing is called "affordable rent". Affordable rent sits alongside social rent and intermediate housing as a third type of affordable housing. The product allows up to $80 \%$ of market rent to be charged and is allocated to housing applicants in the same way as social rented housing.

As a council we were concerned about the potential impact of the affordable rent product and felt that it was important to assess how affordable it would be in Southwark. We therefore brought together information on market rent levels, average incomes, and benefit levels into a study which looked at the affordability of the new affordable rent product. The study covered the whole borough and used postcode areas as shown on the map below. Please note that the postcode boundaries don't align exactly with the Southwark borough boundary.

The study modelled a range of scenarios from $40 \%$ to $80 \%$ of market rent, across a range of bed sizes. The data in the study has been, and continues to be, used to inform our approach to affordable rent, both in terms on new build homes and conversion of relets. Using the data on affordability within the study, council officers proposed three different scenarios for varying proportions of affordable rent for different bed sizes. These were then tested using the data. The Council clarified its approach to the new product in a planning committee report from December 2011. The report stated that we will continue to apply our existing affordable housing policy and the affordable rent product will only be accepted where financial viability can show that the policy cannot be met. In the instances where the affordable rent product is proposed it should follow one of these three options:


- Option 1 - Developing properties at a percentage of market rent that is significantly less than $80 \%$, by use of cross subsidy.
- Option 2 - Providing the majority of new build (e.g. 75\%) at 'affordable rent', to enable the provision of some social rented homes (e.g. 25\%)
- Option 3 - Concentrating on providing one and two bed homes at up to $80 \%$ market rent level on the basis of providing three bed plus homes at social rent.

It is important to note that this affordable rent product study does not set or vary existing planning policy and developers are advised to check current planning policy with the planning team. The Core Strategy (2011), saved Southwark Plan (2007), area actions plans, and the Affordable Housing supplementary planning documents (adopted 2008 and draft 2011) set out the council's planning policies for affordable housing. We are intending to review our planning policies in the Core Strategy and saved Southwark Plan through the preparation of a local plan called our New Southwark Plan and further details are available on our website.
http://www.southwark.gov.uk/info/856/planning_policy/3315/the_new_southwark_plan

Any developer/landlord considering developing an affordable rent product in Southwark is advised to explore the data in the appendix to consider appropriate levels of market rent. We also advise that you contact the housing development team to discuss the levels of rent you may be proposing.

This document provides an introduction and explanation to the study as well as a summary of the main conclusions. We have used the SE1 and SE15 postcodes for the examples within the summary as these are two extremes in the Borough in terms of average property price. The full data, covering all the postcodes, is available as an appendix to this report in an excel spreadsheet.

This full data set is updated every 6 months.

| Median weekly market rent October 2014 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Area | 1 | 2 | 3 | $4+$ |
| Southwark | 353.72 | 448.47 | 573.13 | 680.30 |
| SE1 | 395.35 | 518.16 | 720.09 | 677.77 |
| SE5 | 298.98 | 358.78 | 471.47 | 647.87 |
| SE11 | 348.89 | 413.52 | 595.43 | 0.00 |
| SE15 | 256.20 | 344.98 | 425.47 | 683.98 |
| SE16 | 341.30 | 418.58 | 574.05 | 824.73 |
| SE17 | 298.98 | 368.67 | 458.36 | 652.93 |
| SE21 | 284.26 | 321.98 | 419.73 | 632.46 |
| SE22 | 278.05 | 343.83 | 482.74 | 689.73 |
| SE24 | 278.97 | 344.75 | 520.69 | 850.95 |

Overview of the three methodologies used
The study used three different methodologies to explore the impacts of the new "affordable rent" tenure.

1. The first approach was to start with different proportions of market rents (40\%$80 \%$ ) and the income required to afford these, at varying proportions of use of gross household income (as households have other living costs).
2. The second approach looked at what proportion of affordable rent would be affordable for those affected by the benefit cap, which began to be introduced from August 2013. The benefit cap has imposed a limit on the total benefit payable to working age, non-working households (those not in receipt of working tax credit) of $£ 350$ a week for single adult households and $£ 500$ for couples and lone parent families. Initially the benefit cap is being implemented through deductions made from housing benefit. In the longer term, the cap will form part of the universal credit assessment as this is rolled out by government from October 2013. There are some exemptions from the cap, such as for those receiving disability living allowance and war widows (the full list of exemptions is included in the final tab in the excel spreadsheet). This part of the study made use of figures from a South East London Housing Partnership study. This has been updated in this document. The modelling assumes that only housing benefit is used towards rents and that other benefits are used for other costs such as food, heating, care etc. The report looked at what
benefits different household groups were likely to be already receiving (child benefit, child tax credit and income support). It then looked at how much potential housing element could therefore be remaining within the benefit cap. In the private sector this housing element would be capped to Local Housing Allowance (LHA) levels, as set by the Valuation Office Agency but for the new affordable rent tenure the housing benefit/element can exceed the LHA level, though developers are unlikely to do affordable rent at levels above LHA. This potential housing benefit/element figure can then be compared to proportions of market rents by postcode, to see the maximum proportion of market rent that each different household type (affected by the cap) could potentially afford.
3. The third approach was to look at the proportion of market rent that different households could afford using household income data from the Housing Requirements Study 2008 (published in 2009). The full data set is included in the appendix in the data tabs.

Scenario testing - Using the data and findings from these methodologies, three scenarios were tested using varying proportions of market rents, LHA capped market rents and social rents:

1. Unrestricted $80 \%$ market rent for 1 and 2 bed, with social rent for 3 bed plus
2. LHA capped market rents of $80 \%$ for 1 bed, and $70 \% 2$ bed, and social rent for 3 bed plus
3. LHA capped market rents of $80 \% 1$ bed, $60 \% 2$ bed, and social rent for 3 bed plus

## Summary of key findings

## 1. Proportions of market rents and the income required to afford these

In the excel spreadsheet in the appendix, the first tab looks at varying proportions of market rents between $40 \%$ to $80 \%$ and shows where these are higher than the local housing allowance rate for the area. For rents in the private sector, households can only receive up to local housing allowance rate which was set at the $30^{\text {th }}$ percentile for the broad rental market area (BMRA) and has then been updated annually by either CPI or the new $30^{\text {th }}$ percentile rent (which ever is lower). The BRMA for Southwark is "Inner South East London". Rents under the affordable rent product are not officially capped at the LHA rate (this was not in RPs contracts with the HCA) but the GLA indicated they would not expect affordable rents to exceed this. The tested scenarios included some options of affordable rent capped at the LHA rate. So this sheet shows where this would be likely to apply and at what proportions of market rent.

The following extract from the spreadsheet shows that at $80 \%$ of market rent, most of Southwark's postcode areas have rents exceeding the LHA rate (the orange cells). At 60\% of market rent (the second table), a larger number of postcode areas would have rents lower than the LHA rates.

| 80\% Market Rent |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| Area | 1 | 2 | 3 | $4+$ |  |
| Southwark | 282.98 | 358.78 | 458.50 | 544.24 |  |
| SE1 | 316.28 | 414.53 | 576.07 | 542.22 |  |
| SE5 | 239.19 | 287.02 | 377.18 | 518.30 |  |
| SE11 | 279.11 | 330.81 | 476.35 |  |  |
| SE15 | 204.96 | 275.98 | 340.38 | 547.18 |  |
| SE16 | 273.04 | 334.86 | 459.24 | 659.78 |  |
| SE17 | 239.19 | 294.93 | 366.69 | 522.35 |  |
| SE21 | 227.41 | 257.58 | 335.78 | 505.97 |  |
| SE22 | 222.44 | 275.06 | 386.19 | 551.78 |  |
| SE24 | 223.18 | 275.80 | 416.55 | 680.76 |  |


| 60\% Market Rent |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| Area | 1 | 2 | 3 | $4+$ |  |
| Southwark | 212.23 | 269.08 | 343.88 | 408.18 |  |
| SE1 | 237.21 | 310.90 | 432.05 | 406.66 |  |
| SE5 | 179.39 | 215.27 | 282.88 | 388.72 |  |
| SE11 | 209.33 | 248.11 | 357.26 | 0.00 |  |
| SE15 | 153.72 | 206.99 | 255.28 | 410.39 |  |
| SE16 | 204.78 | 251.15 | 344.43 | 494.84 |  |
| SE17 | 179.39 | 221.20 | 275.02 | 391.76 |  |
| SE21 | 170.56 | 193.19 | 251.84 | 379.48 |  |
| SE22 | 166.83 | 206.30 | 289.64 | 413.84 |  |
| SE24 | 167.38 | 206.85 | 312.41 | 510.57 |  |

The first tab then looks at what income would be required to afford varying proportions of market rent. The tab provides figures for both $25 \%$ and $30 \%$ of gross income used up as rent. ${ }^{1}$

If a household could afford to spend $30 \%$ of their income in rent, an annual income of $£ 79,744$ would be required to afford a 3 bed $80 \%$ market rent property in Southwark (up from $£ 59,520$ in the April 2011 study). However it is sometimes argued that spending $25 \%$ of gross income on rent is affordable. Under this assumption an annual income of $£ 95,693$ would be required for a three bed property (up from $£ 71,424$ in the April 2011 study). At $60 \%$ market rent, spending $30 \%$ of gross income, an annual income of $£ 59,808$ is required (up from $£ 44,640$ in the April 2011 study). This data demonstrates how affordability issues with affordable rent at higher proportions of market rent will intensify if house prices increase as they have over the past few years.

The spreadsheet can be used to check the figures for a large range of options such as different proportions of market rent and/or percentage of gross income spent on rent.

| Annual Income required to afford 80\% market rent 30\% <br> gross income |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $30 \%$ | 1 | 2 | 3 | $4+$ |
| Southwark | 49,216 | 62,400 | 79,744 | 94,656 |
| SE1 | 55,008 | 72,096 | 100,192 | 94,304 |
| SE5 | 41,600 | 49,920 | 65,600 | 90,144 |
| SE11 | 48,544 | 57,536 | 82,848 |  |
| SE15 | 35,648 | 48,000 | 59,200 | 95,168 |
| SE16 | 47,488 | 58,240 | 79,872 | 114,752 |
| SE17 | 41,600 | 51,296 | 63,776 | 90,848 |
| SE21 | 39,552 | 44,800 | 58,400 | 88,000 |
| SE22 | 38,688 | 47,840 | 67,168 | 95,968 |
| SE24 | 38,816 | 47,968 | 72,448 | 118,400 |


| Annual Income required to afford 80\% market rent 25\% <br> gross income |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 25\% | 1 | 2 | 3 | $4+$ |
| Southwark | 59,059 | 74,880 | 95,693 | 113,587 |
| SE1 | 66,010 | 86,515 | 120,230 | 113,165 |
| SE5 | 49,920 | 59,904 | 78,720 | 108,173 |
| SE11 | 58,253 | 69,043 | 99,418 |  |
| SE15 | 42,778 | 57,600 | 71,040 | 114,202 |
| SE16 | 56,986 | 69,888 | 95,846 | 137,702 |
| SE17 | 49,920 | 61,555 | 76,531 | 109,018 |
| SE21 | 47,462 | 53,760 | 70,080 | 105,600 |
| SE22 | 46,426 | 57,408 | 80,602 | 115,162 |
| SE24 | 46,579 | 57,562 | 86,938 | 142,080 |

[^0]| Annual Income required to afford rent 60\% market rent <br> 30\% gross income <br> $30 \%$$\|$6 |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Southwark | 36,912 | 46,800 | 59,808 | 70,992 |
| SE1 | 41,256 | 54,072 | 75,144 | 70,728 |
| SE5 | 31,200 | 37,440 | 49,200 | 67,608 |
| SE11 | 36,408 | 43,152 | 62,136 | 0 |
| SE15 | 26,736 | 36,000 | 44,400 | 71,376 |
| SE16 | 35,616 | 43,680 | 59,904 | 86,064 |
| SE17 | 31,200 | 38,472 | 47,832 | 68,136 |
| SE21 | 29,664 | 33,600 | 43,800 | 66,000 |
| SE22 | 29,016 | 35,880 | 50,376 | 71,976 |
| SE24 | 29,112 | 35,976 | 54,336 | 88,800 |

## 2. Proportions of affordable rent that could be afforded by property size within the benefit (universal credit) cap

The second tab looks at the affordability of the affordable rent product for a non-working with the benefit cap. It made use of a South East London Housing Partnership study, this has since been updated. The key part of the spreadsheet is the section on the right of the main table (as included on the following page).

From mid August 2013, a maximum cap on the total amount of benefit which a working age non-working household can claim began to be introduced. The cap is currently $£ 350$ per week for single person households and $£ 500$ per week for all others. The cap will also apply to Universal Credit, a new single benefit for working age people which will be introduced in phases from October 2013 to 2017. It will replace most means tested benefits e.g. job seeker's allowance (income-based), employment support allowance (income-related), income support, housing benefit, and child and working tax credits.

The cap does not apply to households eligible for working tax credit, households in receipt of certain disability benefits and war widows. A full list of exemptions is included on the final tab of the appendix. We are particularly concerned about the impact of this change for some families in Southwark, especially larger families. Universal credit will be made up of various elements similar to the current arrangements.

For the table below, we have looked at the current benefits that different sized households are likely to receive for income support, personal allowance, child tax credit and child benefit. This figure was then subtracted from the maximum benefit cap to see how much would potentially be remaining that could cover rent under housing benefit or the housing element of the universal credit. The relevant proportion of market rent for that household size was then subtracted. Where this new figure is positive it just shows the proportion of market rent that could be fully covered by the housing benefit/element. The household would not actually receive this extra figure, unless they were entitled to some other benefit element. However where figures are negative this shows where other elements would have to be used to cover rents. So the household would have less money for other living costs.

The final column gives the maximum proportion of market rent that each type of household could afford within the cap, without having to use up some of their other non-housing elements (which would currently be used for food, heating costs, clothing etc). The colour
coding shows for smaller household sizes the housing element (assuming it is not capped, except by the total universal credit cap) could cover more than $80 \%$ market rent within the universal credit cap (shaded dark green). As the household gets larger the proportion reduces to somewhere between $80 \%$ and $40 \%$ (light green). They are all shaded green as it means an affordable rent at somewhere over 40\% of market rent is possible, but only to the proportion stated. Once you get to larger families with 4 children plus, the household would not be able to able to even reach $40 \%$ market rent within the housing element of the universal credit cap and would have to use up other elements of the cap, or not be able to afford it at all.

With the total benefit cap, a working household in an affordable rent property in Southwark is still likely to be having some of their rent paid through housing benefit or the housing element of universal credit, based on the low average incomes in Southwark. This would not be capped if they were working. But if they then became unemployed, even through no fault of their own, the benefit will apply (unless any other exemption applied). So they might struggle to pay the rent and could enter rent arrears or become homeless. DWP have indicated that people who have lost their job but were employed for 12 months or more prior to claiming, have 9 months before the benefit cap applies to them ( 50 out of 52 weeks).

Potential proportion of market rent that could be covered by housing benefit/element within the benefit cap for non working households after removing estimates for elements for income support, personal allowance, child tax credit and child benefit, and the applicable proportion of Southwark market rent

* The final column is the maximum \% of market rent the household could afford (assuming no cap on the housing element, except the total benefit cap), assuming only the housing benefit/element of benefits is used on rent.

| Southwrk |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | O | $\begin{aligned} & \mathscr{0} \\ & \underset{\sim}{0} \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | After target rent plus service charge | After 40\% market rent | After 50\% market rent | After 60\% market rent | After 70\% market rent | After <br> 80\% <br> market <br> rent | * |
| Single under $25$ | 0 | 1 | £195.77 | £151.16 | £115.79 | £80.42 | £45.05 | £9.67 | 83\% |
| Single over 25 | 1 | 1 | £180.72 | £136.11 | £100.74 | £65.37 | £30.00 | -£5.38 | 78\% |
| Couple with no dependants | 1 | 2 | £290.57 | £245.96 | £210.59 | £175.22 | £139.85 | £104.47 | $\begin{array}{r} 110 \\ \% \end{array}$ |
| Couple with 1 child | 2 | 3 | £192.82 | £124.20 | £79.35 | £34.50 | -£10.35 | -£55.19 | 68\% |
| Couple with 2 children | 2 | 4 | £126.39 | $£ 57.76$ | £12.91 | -£31.93 | -£76.78 | $£ 121.63$ | 53\% |
| Couple with 3 children | 3 | 5 | £46.54 | -£58.54 | -£115.85 | -£173.16 | -£230.47 | $£ 287.79$ | 30\% |
| Couple with 4 children | 3 | 6 | -£19.90 | -£124.97 | -£182.28 | -£239.59 | -£296.91 | $£ 354.22$ | 18\% |
| Couple with 5 children | 4 | 7 | -£101.84 | -£234.27 | -£302.30 | -£370.33 | -£438.36 | $£ 506.39$ | 6\% |
| Couple with 6 children | 4 | 8 | -£168.28 | -£300.71 | -£368.74 | -£436.77 | -£504.80 | $£ 572.83$ | -4\% |
| Single parent with 1 child | 2 | 3 | £232.97 | £164.35 | £119.50 | £74.65 | £29.80 | -£15.04 | 77\% |
| Single parent with 2 children | 2 | 3 | £166.54 | £97.91 | £53.06 | £8.22 | -£36.63 | -£81.48 | 62\% |
| Single parent with 3 children | 3 | 4 | £86.69 | -£18.39 | -£75.70 | -£133.01 | -£190.32 | $£ 247.64$ | 37\% |
| Single parent with 4 children | 3 | 5 | £20.25 | -£84.82 | -£142.13 | -£199.44 | -£256.76 | $£ 314.07$ | 25\% |
| Single parent with 5 children | 4 | 6 | -£61.69 | -£194.12 | -£262.15 | -£330.18 | -£398.21 | $£ 466.24$ | 11\% |
| Single parent with 6 children | 4 | 7 | -£128.13 | -£260.56 | -£328.59 | -£396.62 | -£464.65 | $£ 532.68$ | 2\% |
| Lone parent under 18 | 1 | 2 | £345.77 | £301.16 | £265.79 | £230.42 | £195.05 | £159.67 | 125 $\%$ |
| Lone parent over 18 | 1 | 2 | £330.72 | £286.11 | £250.74 | £215.37 | £180.00 | £144.62 | 121 $\%$ |

The data in the second tab is interactive so you can change the postcode and see how affordability changes for particular areas. There is a yellow shaded drop down box where you can enter your chosen postcode. For example in SE15 households can afford a higher proportion of market rent as the market rents are lower. In SE1 the opposite is true.

## 3. Proportions of market rent different households could afford using household income data from the Housing Requirements Study 2008 (published in 2009)

Southwark Council carried out a Housing Requirements Study in 2008, which was published in 2009. This looked at median household incomes. In the affordable rent study, the data was compared to varying proportions of market rent to see what different household types would be able to afford. The figures below assume $30 \%$ of gross household could be used to pay rent. The results are shown in tab 3 of the spreadsheet. The final column gives the maximum proportion of the market rent that the average household of that size could afford.

In Southwark an adult couple with no children can afford quite a high proportion of market rent on a one bed and a fairly high proportion on a two bed. But on average, couples with children will be able to afford a maximum of $40 \%$ market rent a two bed. So this shows that the affordable rent product is most suitable for couples with no children.

Again, the data in the spreadsheet is interactive and you can enter different postcodes to see how affordability changes across the borough. As per the universal credit methodology (explained in 2. above), people can afford higher proportions of market rent in SE15 due to lower average property prices, and again in SE1 the opposite is true.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

## 4. Scenario testing

Using the data and findings from the previous three methodologies, three scenarios were tested using varying proportions of market rents, LHA capped market rents and social rents:

1. Unrestricted $80 \%$ market rent for 1 and 2 bed, with social rent for 3 bed plus
(Though this scenario should not apply as rents should not exceed the LHA rate)
2. LHA capped market rents of $80 \%$ for 1 bed, and $70 \% 2$ bed, and social rent for 3 bed plus
3. LHA capped market rents of $80 \% 1$ bed, $60 \% 2$ bed, and social rent for 3 bed plus

The tables below give figures for each scenario (capped as required) for Southwark, SE1 and SE15. Please refer to the full spreadsheet for the other postcodes. The light green shading shows where the value has been capped to LHA instead of the higher market rent figure. For Southwark both $80 \%$ and $70 \%$ of market rent would be capped at LHA under option 2. For SE15 neither would be capped. The tables also explore what incomes would be required for these options using $25 \%$ and $30 \%$ of gross household income as rent.

## Key to shading below

Orange= Greater than LHA rate for inner SE London
Green= Set to LHA rate
Blue $=$ Set at target rent plus service charge
Purple= Greater than or equal to universal credit cap

## Southwark data

| Summary of the proposed options for | Southwark |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| WEEKLY |  | 2 bed | 3 bed | 4 bed plus |
| Option 1- Unrestricted 80\% market rent for 1 and 2 bed, social rent 3 bed plus | 283 | 359 | 124 | 140 |
| Option 2- LHA capped 80\% 1 bed, 70\% 2 bed, social rent 3 bed plus | 196 | 255 | 124 | 140 |
| Option 3- LHA capped 80\% 1 bed, 60\% 2 bed, social rent 3 bed plus | 196 | 255 | 124 | 140 |
| ANNUAL | 1 bed | 2 bed | 3 bed | 4 bed plus |
| Option 1- Unrestricted 80\% market rent for 1 and 2 bed, social rent 3 bed plus | 14765 | 18720 | 6479 | 7289 |
| Option 2- LHA capped 80\% 1 bed, 70\% 2 bed, social rent 3 bed plus | 10239 | 13310 | 6479 | 7289 |
| Option 3- LHA capped 80\% 1 bed, 60\% 2 bed, social rent 3 bed plus | 10239 | 13310 | 6479 | 7289 |
| Annual income required at 25\% of gross income | 1 bed | 2 bed | 3 bed | 4 bed plus |
| Option 1- Unrestricted 80\% market rent for 1 and 2 bed, social rent 3 bed plus | £59,059 | £74,880 | £25,917 | £29,154 |
| Option 2- LHA capped 80\% 1 bed, 70\% 2 bed, social rent 3 bed plus | £40,955 | £53,239 | £25,917 | £29,154 |
| Option 3- LHA capped 80\% 1 bed, 60\% 2 bed, social rent 3 bed plus | £40,955 | £53,239 | £25,917 | £29,154 |
| Annual income required at 30\% of gross income | 1 bed | 2 bed | 3 bed | 4 bed plus |
| Option 1- Unrestricted 80\% market rent for 1 and 2 bed, social rent 3 bed plus | £49,216 | £62,400 | £21,598 | £24,295 |
| Option 2- LHA capped 80\% 1 bed, 70\% 2 bed, social rent 3 bed plus | £34,129 | £44,366 | £21,598 | £24,295 |
| Option 3- LHA capped 80\% 1 bed, 60\% 2 bed, social rent 3 bed plus | £34,129 | £44,366 | £21,598 | £24,295 |

## SE15 data

| Summary of the proposed options for | SE15 |  | 3 bed | 4 bed plus |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| WEEKLY | 1 bed | 2 bed |  |  |
| Option 1- Unrestricted 80\% market rent for 1 and 2 bed, social rent 3 bed plus | 205 | 276 | 124 | 140 |
| Option 2- LHA capped $80 \% 1$ bed, $70 \% 2$ bed, social rent 3 bed plus | 196 | 241 | 124 | 140 |
| Option 3- LHA capped $80 \% 1$ bed, $60 \% 2$ bed, social rent 3 bed plus | 196 | 207 | 124 | 140 |
| ANNUAL | 1 bed | 2 bed | 3 bed | 4 bed plus |
| Option 1- Unrestricted 80\% market rent for 1 and 2 bed, social rent 3 bed plus | 10694 | 14400 | 6479 | 7289 |
| Option 2- LHA capped $80 \% 1$ bed, $70 \% 2$ bed, social rent 3 bed plus | 10239 | 12600 | 6479 | 7289 |
| Option 3- LHA capped $80 \% 1$ bed, $60 \% 2$ bed, social rent 3 bed plus | 10239 | 10800 | 6479 | 7289 |
| Annual income required at $25 \%$ of gross income | 1 bed | 2 bed | 3 bed | 4 bed plus |
| Option 1- Unrestricted 80\% market rent for 1 and 2 bed, social rent 3 bed plus | £42,778 | £57,600 | £25,917 | £29,154 |
| Option 2- LHA capped $80 \% 1$ bed, $70 \% 2$ bed, social rent 3 bed plus | £40,955 | £50,400 | £25,917 | £29,154 |
| Option 3- LHA capped $80 \% 1$ bed, $60 \% 2$ bed, social rent 3 bed plus | £40,955 | £43,200 | £25,917 | £29,154 |
| Annual income required at 30\% of gross income | 1 bed | 2 bed | 3 bed | 4 bed plus |
| Option 1- Unrestricted 80\% market rent for 1 and 2 bed, social rent 3 bed plus | £35,648 | £48,000 | £21,598 | £24,295 |
| Option 2- LHA capped $80 \% 1$ bed, $70 \% 2$ bed, social rent 3 bed plus | £34,129 | £42,000 | £21,598 | £24,295 |
| Option 3- LHA capped $80 \% 1$ bed, $60 \% 2$ bed, social rent 3 bed plus | £34,129 | £36,000 | £21,598 | £24,295 |

## SE1 data

| Summary of the proposed options for | SE1 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| WEEKLY | 1 bed | 2 bed | 3 bed | 4 bed plus |
| Option 1- Unrestricted 80\% market rent for 1 and 2 bed, social rent 3 bed plus | 316 | 415 | 124 | 140 |
| Option 2- LHA capped $80 \% 1$ bed, $70 \% 2$ bed, social rent 3 bed plus | 196 | 255 | 124 | 140 |
| Option 3- LHA capped $80 \% 1$ bed, $60 \% 2$ bed, social rent 3 bed plus | 196 | 255 | 124 | 140 |
| ANNUAL | 1 bed | 2 bed | 3 bed | 4 bed plus |
| Option 1- Unrestricted 80\% market rent for 1 and 2 bed, social rent 3 bed plus | 16502 | 21629 | 6479 | 7289 |
| Option 2- LHA capped $80 \% 1$ bed, $70 \% 2$ bed, social rent 3 bed plus | 10239 | 13310 | 6479 | 7289 |
| Option 3- LHA capped $80 \% 1$ bed, $60 \% 2$ bed, social rent 3 bed plus | 10239 | 13310 | 6479 | 7289 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Annual income required at $25 \%$ of gross income | 1 bed | 2 bed | 3 bed | 4 bed plus |
| Option 1- Unrestricted 80\% market rent for 1 and 2 bed, social rent 3 bed plus | £66,010 | £86,515 | £25,917 | £29,154 |
| Option 2- LHA capped $80 \% 1$ bed, $70 \% 2$ bed, social rent 3 bed plus | £40,955 | £53,239 | £25,917 | £29,154 |
| Option 3- LHA capped $80 \% 1$ bed, $60 \% 2$ bed, social rent 3 bed plus | £40,955 | £53,239 | £25,917 | £29,154 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Annual income required at 30\% of gross income | 1 bed | 2 bed | 3 bed | 4 bed plus |
| Option 1-Unrestricted 80\% market rent for 1 and 2 bed, social rent 3 bed plus | £55,008 | £72,096 | £21,598 | £24,295 |
| Option 2- LHA capped $80 \% 1$ bed, $70 \% 2$ bed, social rent 3 bed plus | £34,129 | £44,366 | £21,598 | £24,295 |
| Option 3- LHA capped $80 \% 1$ bed, $60 \% 2$ bed, social rent 3 bed plus | £34,129 | £44,366 | £21,598 | £24,295 |

## Conclusions

The key conclusions of this December 2014 update are the same:

1. That as market rents are very high in Southwark, significant incomes are required to afford high proportions of market rent. But average incomes of people living in Southwark are low.
2. Therefore if the affordable rent product is trying to meet the housing need of people on the housing register, these households are extremely likely to be dependant on housing benefits to pay their rent.
3. For households using housing benefits to pay rent, the introduction of a benefits cap for non-working households means there will be very little benefits/element remaining within the cap to cover rents for larger households.
4. Therefore households who can afford affordable rent with benefits while working are likely to really struggle if they lose work and the benefit cap applies. This could lead to increased debt, rent arrears and in the longer term, homelessness. This represents a risk for the tenant as well as the landlord. Since the original affordable rent study was released, the DWP announced that that people who have lost their job but were employed for 12 months or more prior to claiming, may have 9 months before the benefit cap applies. So this risk has been slightly reduced.
5. As a result, in Southwark, the affordable rent product is not really that well suited for 3 and 4 bedroom properties (especially at higher rents close to $80 \%$ of market rent). It is only really affordable for some household types for 1 and 2 bedroom properties at high proportions of market rent.

[^0]:    ${ }^{1} 25 \%$ gross income is the figure suggested in the previous Governments Housing Market Assessment Guidance but it said that higher proportions may possibly be considered if people were on higher incomes or in particular local circumstances.

