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1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. What is the Dulwich supplementary planning document?

1.1.1. The Dulwich supplementary planning document (SPD) sets out our vision for the Dulwich community council area and a small part of the Peckham and Nunhead community council area. It provides a framework which will guide development over the next 10-15 years, ensuring that new development is appropriate, respecting the historical context and important open spaces.

1.1.2. The Core Strategy (2011) and saved Southwark Plan policies (2007) set out how Southwark will change up to 2026 to be the type of place set out in our Sustainable Community Strategy (Southwark 2016). Southwark 2016 sets out the key objective of making the borough a better place for people. To meet this objective, we want to protect and improve the places covered by the SPD to meet the needs of the community. Our Core Strategy and saved Southwark Plan policies help us to do this.

1.1.3. This SPD supports these strategic planning policies by providing further guidance and information on how development in Dulwich should take place. The 2013 Dulwich SPD replaces the draft 2009 Dulwich SPD, the draft 2004 Dulwich SPG and the draft Lordship Lane SPG (2002).

1.1.4. The SPD provides guidance on:
- Conserving heritage assets
- Appropriate types of new development
- Protecting and improving open spaces
- Improving transport and accessibility
- Protecting and improving shopping areas
- Development opportunities
- Community Infrastructure Levy (CIL) and Section 106 planning obligations

1.1.5. The SPD will be part of our framework of planning documents. It will be a material planning consideration in deciding planning applications. It will help ensure that the council makes decisions transparently and provides clarity for members of the public and developers

1.2. What is this consultation report?

1.2.1. A lot of consultation was carried out on earlier drafts of the Dulwich SPD. We have taken into account the comments we received on earlier versions of the SPD that were consulted on in 2004 and 2009.

1.2.2. Every time we consult on a planning policy document we carry out a range of consultation activities in accordance with our statement of community involvement (SCI) (2008). The SCI sets out how we will consult on all of our planning policy documents and also on planning applications. The SCI includes a number of obligations for consultation, both in terms of methods of consultation and also particular bodies we must engage with. The Localism Act 2011 introduced the “duty to co-operate”, which requires us to engage with a range of bodies on an ongoing basis as part of the production of planning policy documents. Much of the process that is required by the new
Duty to Co-operate is already covered in our SCI and has been an integral part of the preparation of new planning policy in the borough. We have set out how we have met the requirements of the Duty to Co-operate.

1.2.3. Before consulting on a draft SPD, we prepared a consultation plan which set out the range of consultation we intended to carry out. We have now prepared this consultation report to set out what consultation has been carried out on the Dulwich SPD and how we have taken comments received on through the consultation into account. The consultation report demonstrates how we have complied with our adopted Statement of Community Involvement (2008).

1.2.4. This consultation report is structured as follows;
- This first introductory section sets out the purpose of this interim consultation report.
- The second section identifies who and how we consulted, in line with our adopted statement of community involvement.
- The third section sets out a summary of the consultations made and explains how these were taken into account in the development of the Dulwich SPD.

1.2.5. The final section sets out how consultation has been monitored and reviewed and how we have taken this into account.

1.3. How to get more information

1.3.1. The Dulwich SPD and all the documents that support the SPD, including the Sustainability appraisal, consultation plan and equalities analysis linked to our consultation can be viewed at our website:

http://www.southwark.gov.uk/info/200151/supplementary_planning_documents_and_guidance/1247/dulwich_spd
2. WHAT CONSULTATION HAS BEEN CARRIED OUT?

2.1. Previous stages of consultation

2.1.1. We have carried out consultation on a draft Dulwich SPG in 2004. We consulted on this early draft alongside the preparation of the Southwark Plan.

2.1.2. Following the adoption of the Southwark Plan in 2007 we consulted on a new version of the SPD in 2009. In preparing the 2009 draft Dulwich SPD we took into account all the comments we received on the 2004 draft SPG.

2.1.3. The 2013 Dulwich SPD is a revised version of the 2009 document, taking into account the adoption of the Core Strategy in April 2011 and changes to national and regional planning policy. The comments we received during the previous rounds of consultation have been used to inform the 2013 version of the Dulwich SPD which was consulted on from 28 January to 22 April 2013.

2.2. Who we consulted

2.2.1. We have found that six weeks is too short for planning policy documents. We carry out an additional six weeks of informal consultation before the formal 6 week period to make sure there is enough time. This means that we carried out a 3 month consultation period on the draft SPD in both 2009 and 2013 in accordance with our Statement of Community Involvement (SCI).

2.2.2. We met the statutory minimum requirements of Regulations consulting on the draft SPD and we also carried out a range of additional consultation methods in accordance with our Statement of Community Involvement (2008). We carried out additional consultation through a variety of methods to enable a wide range of people of different ages and from different backgrounds to get involved in the preparation of the document.

2.2.3. Further information on who we consulted at each stage during the preparation of the SPD is set out in the tables in section 2.3 of this report.

2.3. Methods of consultation

2.3.1. The following tables explain how we consulted on the Dulwich SPD to meet the requirements of the Regulations. We have also set out how we met and exceeded our statement of community involvement requirements.

2.3.2. During the preparation of the SPD the Regulations were updated to ensure that we met the requirements of the new Regulations as well as the previous Regulations referred to in our SCI.

2.3.3. The SCI refers to and requires policy document consultations to meet the Regulations in force at the time of adoption of the SCI in 2008: the Town and Country Planning (Local Development) (England) Regulations 2004 and the Town and Country Planning (Local Development) (England) (Amendment) Regulations 2008. We met all of these regulations in consulting on the 2009 version of the SPD.

2.3.4. The current requirements are set out in the Town and Country Planning (Local Planning) (England) Regulations 2012, which came into force 6 April
2012. These were the Regulations followed for the consultation on the 2013 version of the SPD.

Timescales of consultation on the Dulwich SPD

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Requirement of SCI</th>
<th>When did it occur?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Dulwich SPD 2013</strong></td>
<td>Consultation on the draft SPD took place from 28 January until 22 April 2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultation on the draft Dulwich SPD, draft sustainability appraisal, consultation plan, equalities analysis and interim consultation report.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Draft Dulwich SPD 2009</strong></td>
<td>Consultation on the draft SPD took place from 15 May 2009 until 11 September 2009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultation on the draft Dulwich SPD, draft sustainability appraisal, consultation plan, equalities impact assessment and appropriate assessment.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Scoping</strong></td>
<td>Consultation on the Sustainability Appraisal scoping report took place from 9 March 2009 – 13 April 2009.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consult on the sustainability appraisal scoping report (5 weeks)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Draft Dulwich SPG 2004</strong></td>
<td>Consultation on the draft SPG took place from 29 October until 28 January 2004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultation on the draft Dulwich SPG</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Draft Dulwich SPG 2004

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of consultation:</th>
<th>Stage of consultation:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Statutory</strong></td>
<td>Draft Dulwich SPG 2004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mail-out to statutory consultees</td>
<td>3 November 2004 – mail-out to all consultees on planning policy’s mailing list. (Appendix B)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Putting document on council website</td>
<td>3 November 2004 - Draft Dulwich SPG put on website.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Additional consultation in accordance with the SCI</strong></td>
<td>We attended the following and either gave a presentation or had a stall with information and copies of the documents:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Presentations to Community Councils</td>
<td>Dulwich Community Council on July 19 2004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Planning Committee</td>
<td>We presented the draft SPG to planning committee on the 7 September 2004</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Scoping report

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of consultation:</th>
<th>Stage of consultation:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Statutory</strong></td>
<td><strong>Sustainability Appraisal Scoping Report</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mail-out to statutory consultees</td>
<td>Natural England, English Heritage and the Environment Agency all written to 11 March 2009 for the scoping report</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Putting document on council website</td>
<td>11 March 2009 scoping report put on website. (Not a statutory requirement for the scoping report.)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Draft Dulwich SPD 2009

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of consultation:</th>
<th>Stage of consultation:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Statutory</strong></td>
<td><strong>Draft Dulwich SPD 2009</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mail-out to statutory consultees</td>
<td>15 May 2009 – mail-out to all consultees on planning policy’s mailing list. (Appendix B)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mail-out to statutory consultees</td>
<td>We sent out a further letter advertising an extension to the consultation and the consultation event on the 27 August. (Appendix C)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Displaying documents at council offices</td>
<td>15 May 2009 - Draft Dulwich SPD, consultation plan, EQIA and draft SA report all distributed to libraries, council offices, Town Hall, One Stops shops, Housing Offices. (See Appendix A for a list of locations).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Advertisement in local press</td>
<td>Advertisement detailing the consultation was put in the Southwark News on 14 May 2009. (Appendix F)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Putting document on council website</td>
<td>23 March 2009 - Draft Dulwich SPD, consultation plan, EQIA and draft SA report put on website.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Additional consultation</strong></td>
<td><strong>Community</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Presentations to</td>
<td>We attended the following and either gave a presentation or had a stall with information and</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type of consultation:</td>
<td>Stage of consultation:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Dulwich SPD 2013</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Minimum SCI requirements**

- **Mail-out to statutory consultees**: 19 February – mail-out to all consultees on planning policy’s mailing list. (Appendix K)
- **Displaying documents at council offices**: 21 February - Draft Dulwich SPD, consultation plan, Equalities Analysis, draft SA and interim consultation report all distributed to Dulwich Library, council offices, One Stops shops, Housing Offices. (See Appendix A for a list of locations).
- **Advertisement in local press**: Advertisement detailing the consultation was put in the Southwark News on 21 February

---

**in accordance with the SCI**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Councils</th>
<th>copies of the documents:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3 June 2009 – Dulwich Community Council</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Planning Committee | We presented the draft Dulwich SPD to planning committee on the 31 March 2009 |

| Questionnaire | We distributed a questionnaire at community council meetings, workshops and in local shops in the SPD area. (The questionnaire for the Dulwich SPD (2009) is set out in appendix G) |

| Presentation to Equalities and Diversity Panel | We presented the draft Dulwich SPD and the equalities impact assessment to the Panel on 19 May 2009. |

| LGBT Forum | We presented the draft Dulwich SPD on 14 July 2009 |

| Somali Group Forum | We presented the draft Dulwich SPD on 29 July 2009 |

| Workshops & drop in sessions | We held workshops and were available for people to come along and speak to us about the draft Dulwich SPD on: |
|                            | 7 July, Dulwich Picture Gallery, 1pm – 6pm |
|                            | 27 August, Dulwich Picture Gallery, 1pm – 6pm |

<p>| Stalls &amp; Posters | Posters advertising the 27 August event were put up at the Dulwich Picture Gallery, the cafe in Dulwich Park, Dulwich Library and within shops, cafes and pharmacies in Dulwich Village and Lordship Lane |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Activity</th>
<th>Details</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Additional consultation in accordance with the SCI | **Presentations to Community Councils**  
 We attended the following and either gave a presentation or had a stall with information and copies of the documents:  
30 January 2013 – Dulwich Community Council  
 **Planning Committee**  
 We presented the draft Dulwich SPD to planning committee on the 5 March 2013  
 **Workshops & drop in sessions**  
 We held workshops and were available for people to come along and speak to us about the draft Dulwich SPD on:  
2 March 2013, Dulwich Picture Gallery, 3pm – 6pm  
10 April 2013, Dulwich Leisure Centre, 5pm – 8pm |
3. SUMMARY OF COMMENTS MADE ON THE DULWICH SPD

3.1. Introduction

3.1.1. This section sets out a summary of comments received on the Dulwich SPD consultation. Appendix H sets out all the comments we received on the draft Dulwich SPD (2009) and our officer response to these comments. Appendix O sets out all the comments we received on the draft Dulwich SPD (2013) and our officer response to these comments.

3.1.2. The comments on the sustainability appraisal scoping report and the Dulwich SPG 2004, and how we have responded to them are set out in the consultation plan in appendix I of this report.

3.2. Draft Dulwich SPG 2004 consultation

3.2.1. 11 organisations, groups or individuals submitted comments on the draft Dulwich SPG in 2004. This resulted in 34 representations.

Summary of comments

3.2.2. The main comments received in response to the 2004 consultation are summarised below.

3.2.3. We received a number of comments on strengthening the protection of Dulwich from in-fill development. Comments were also received relating to the importance of ecology and biodiversity. English heritage suggested a number of indicators that could be added to the sustainability appraisal to strengthen the assessment on the heritage value. Southwark cyclists and Southwark Living Streets commented on strengthening references to improved public transport and facilities for walking and cycling. The Metropolitan police authority submitted comments in relation to ensuring new development seeks to minimise opportunities for crime and create a safe and secure environment.

Summary of officer comments and how these issues have been addressed

3.2.4. The key changes we made in developing the Dulwich 2009 SPD as a result of the 2004 consultation are set out below.

3.2.5. The 2009 draft of the Dulwich SPD was updated to reflect the new policies in the adopted Southwark Plan 2007. The draft Dulwich SPD changed substantially from the version consulted on in 2004. The comments received in 2004 were used to inform the content of the revised Dulwich SPD in 2009.

3.3. Draft Dulwich SPD 2009 consultation

3.3.1. 21 organisations, groups or individuals submitted comments on the draft Dulwich SPD 2009. This resulted in 139 representations.

Summary of comments
3.3.2. The main comments received in response to the 2009 consultation are summarised below.

3.3.3. We received a number of comments on the Herne Hill Velodrome site and how it was important to support the use of the club whilst respecting surrounding residential properties. We also had a number of responses on the Dulwich Estate asking us to make it clearer what land is managed by the estate and where people could find more information. People also wanted us to include a reference to the East Dulwich community centre and put more detailed information in about proposals to improve open spaces.

*Summary of officer comments and how these issues have been addressed*

3.3.4. The key changes we made in developing the Dulwich 2013 SPD as a result of the 2009 consultation are set out below.

3.3.5. We have amended the draft Dulwich SPD to include more detail in the Herne Hill velodrome section about supporting the long term viability of the club. We have also included more information on the findings of our open space strategy. The draft Dulwich SDP has also been updated to include more detailed reference to the role of the Dulwich Estate and a link to their website where people can find out more information. There have also been a number of considerable changes in national, regional and local planning policies so we have updated the SPD to reflect this. During the consultation we also received several comments on the structure of the document so we have revised this to try to make the document clearer and easier to use.

3.4. **Dulwich SPD 2013 consultation**

3.4.1. 14 organisations, groups or individuals submitted comments on the Dulwich SPD 2013, including comments from the Dulwich Society, the Dulwich Estate, The Herne Hill Society, Transport for London and English Heritage. This resulted in a total of 183 representations.

*Summary of comments*

3.4.2. The main comments received in response to the 2013 consultation are summarised below.

3.4.3. We received a number of comments in support of the additional guidance on basement development and the conservation of the historic environment and protection of open spaces. We also received comments on the additional text relating to basement development, asking us to make it clearer where basement development might not be considered acceptable. Other comments received also asked us to strengthen the references to paving over front drives, and to make it clear what types of planning permission may be required and when for properties within conservation areas.

3.4.4. We also received a number of comments asking us to update references to the East Dulwich police station site and to update the descriptions of the town centres. We also received comments asking us to include additional text from the sustainable transport SPD.
3.4.5. The key changes we made in developing the Dulwich 2013 SPD as a result of the consultation are set out below:

- We have updated the SPD to refer to the fact that London Overground services have recently been extended to Denmark Hill and Peckham Rye stations
- We have updated the descriptions of the town centres as the detail from the Retail study 2009 was out of date
- We have included greater detail on the conservation areas in Dulwich and set out in a fact box when conservation area consent may be required
- We have set out in a fact box the recent changes to permitted development rights for extensions
- We have strengthened the reference to protecting against the paving over of front gardens
- We have added in additional text from the sustainable transport SPD to ensure the adequate parking is provided with new developments outside of the controlled parking zones
- We have updated our reference to the East Dulwich Police Station and the East Dulwich Hospital sites
- We have updated the section on our Community Infrastructure Levy to reflect the latest situation regarding our CIL charging schedule.

3.4.6. We also received some comments asking us to include a reference to the fact that Lordship Lane does not have a town centre car park and that this creates additional parking problems in the area which can affect the viability of the centre. We do not consider that it is appropriate to include a reference to there being no town centre car park in the SPD. We our seeking to improve the accessibility of our town centres though the promotion of sustainable transport. This will help to reduce pressure on car parking in around town centres and is line with the objectives set out in our Transport Plan. London Councils recently (2012) carried out a parking review which looked at the relevance of parking in the success of urban centres (to which Southwark contributed). This addressed questions relating to the correlation between the amount of free/cheap parking and commercial activity and how people travel to town centres and what they spend. They found that more parking doesn't necessarily mean greater commercial success.

3.4.7. We also received a number of comments asking us to rename some of the open space on our vision maps. However, the open spaces were identified and designated for protection through the schedules to the Southwark Plan and these cannot be renamed through the SPD. We can look at amending the names of any protected open spaces through the preparation of the New Southwark Plan which we are due to start work on later this year.
4. MONITORING THE CONSULTATION

4.1. Why we monitor consultation

4.1.1. Our statement of community involvement indicates that the success of consultation can be measured by the numbers and diversity of consultees and respondees. As a result we have tried to engage with as many different groups as possible.

4.1.2. We monitor our consultation at every stage so that we can see where we need to engage more with certain groups at the next stage of consultation.

4.2. How we monitored the consultation

4.2.1. Where possible we have tried to monitor event attendance and monitor the attendees age, gender and ethnicity. However, in most cases this was very difficult to do, especially where the events we attended are run by other people and we did not have control over the monitoring.

4.2.2. We included a monitoring form within our consultation questionnaires so that we could monitor the range of people from our communities that responded to the consultation. However, in most cases, comments were received without the monitoring form making it difficult for us to get a full picture of the different groups commenting on the document.

4.2.3. We also monitor and review the types of groups who sent in comments on the Dulwich SPD. The tables below show how this was broken down at each stage.

**Draft Dulwich SPG 2004**

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Businesses</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community organisation</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Developer</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Educational</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Government organisation</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resident</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Statutory consultee</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Draft Dulwich SPD 2009**

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Businesses</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community organisation</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Developer</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Educational</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Government organisation</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resident</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Statutory consultee</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Dulwich SPD 2013**

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Businesses</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community organisation</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
4.3. **How this changed our consultation**

4.3.1. We carried out a review of the consultations carried out in 2004 to see how we could improve the next stage of consultation. We also reviewed the consultation carried out in 2009 to help us improve the consultation carried out in 2013. This included reviewing the consultation against the requirements of our statement of community involvement. Section 3 above sets out how we met and exceeded our statement of community involvement requirements.

4.3.2. We reviewed where we could try to engage more groups more effectively (where we had the information) and we amended the next stage of consultation accordingly. For example, the drop in session and workshop at Dulwich Picture Gallery proved to be a popular consultation event and we received a significant amount of feedback from people who attended this workshop. As a result, we ran another similar session during the consultation in 2013.

4.4. **Equalities Analysis**

4.4.1. Our equalities analysis also provides further information on the impact of the Dulwich SPD on groups with protected characteristics.

4.4.2. We carried out an equalities impact assessment on the Dulwich SPD in 2009. The equalities impact assessment looked at the impact of the Dulwich SPD on certain groups and whether there will be negative impacts on these groups and whether the Dulwich SPD will improve community cohesion and promote equality of opportunity. We looked at both the impacts of the Dulwich SPD consultation and the impacts of implementing the Dulwich SPD.

4.4.3. As part of this we have attended the Equalities and Diversity Panel. This gave the panel, which consists of representatives from all our equality target groups, an opportunity to comment on both the equalities impact assessment and the draft Dulwich SPD. It also gave them an opportunity to suggest ways in which we could improve our consultation process. The comments we received at the equalities and diversity panel have been fed into the equalities analysis and preparation of the Dulwich SPD 2013.

4.4.4. We have amended the format of our equalities impact assessments as a result of the Equalities Act 2010. We now prepare an equalities analysis to replace the previous EQIA’s. The equalities analysis for the Dulwich SPD 2013 looks at the impact of the guidance set out in the SPD on the 9 groups with protected characteristics. This includes:

- Age
- Disability
- Sex
- Sexual orientation
- Religion and belief
- Pregnancy and maternity
• Marriage and civil partnerships
• Race
• Gender reassignment

4.4.5. The equalities analysis has found that overall the Dulwich SPD will have a positive impact on everyone who lives, works and visits the area. The guidance set out in the SPD should help to overcome issues of inequality and help to promote fairer access to homes, open spaces and services. Further information is set out in our equalities analysis which is available to view on our website at; http://www.southwark.gov.uk/info/200151/supplementary_planning_documents_and_guidance/1247/dulwich_spd
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Appendix A

List of document locations
Appendix A: List of locations where documents were made available

Libraries

Blue Anchor Library - Market Place, Southwark Park Road, SE16 3UQ
(Monday, Tuesday and Thursday 9am to 7pm, Friday 10am to 6pm, Saturday 9am to 5pm)

Brandon Library - Maddock Way, Cooks Road, SE17 3NH
(Monday 10am to 6pm, Tuesday and Thursday 10am to 7pm, Saturday 10am to 5pm)

Camberwell Library - 17-21 Camberwell Church Street, SE5 8TR
(Monday, Tuesday and Thursday 9am to 8pm, Friday 10am to 6pm, Saturday 9am to 5pm)

Canada Water Library – 21 Surrey Quays Road, SE16 7AR
(Monday to Friday 9am-8pm, Saturday 9-5pm, Sunday 12-4pm)

Dulwich Library - 368 Lordship Lane, SE22 8NB
(Monday, Wednesday, Thursday and Friday 9am to 8pm, Tuesday 10am to 8pm, Saturday 9am to 5pm Sun 12pm to 4pm)

East Street Library - 168-170 Old Kent Road, SE1 5TY
(Monday and Thursday 10am to 7pm, Tuesday 10am to 6pm, Saturday 10am to 5pm)

Grove Vale Library - 25-27 Grove Vale, SE22 8EQ
(Monday and Thursday 10am to 7pm, Tuesday 10am to 6pm, Saturday 10am to 5pm)

John Harvard Library - 211 Borough High Street, SE1 1JA
(Monday, Tuesday, Wednesday and Thursday, Friday 9am to 7pm, Saturday 9am to 5pm)

Kingswood Library - Seeley Drive, SE21 8QR
(Monday and Thursday 10am to 2pm, Tuesday and Friday 2pm to 4pm, Sat 1pm to 5pm)

Newington Library - 155-157 Walworth Road, SE17 1RS
(Monday, Tuesday and Friday 9am to 8pm, Wednesday and Thursday 10am to 8pm, Saturday 9am to 5pm, Sunday 10am to 4pm)

Nunhead Library - Gordon Road, SE15 3RW
(Monday, Tuesday and Thursday 10am to 7pm, Friday 10am to 6pm, Saturday 10am to 5pm)

Peckham Library - 122 Peckham Hill Street, SE15 5JR
(Monday, Tuesday, Thursday and Friday 9am to 8pm, Wednesday 10am to 8pm, Saturday 10am to 5pm, Sunday 12pm to 4pm)

Area Housing Offices

Nunhead and Peckham Rye - 27 Bournemouth Road, Peckham, SE15 4UJ
Dulwich - 41-43 East Dulwich Road, SE22 9BY
Borough and Bankside - Library Street Borough, London, SE1 0RG
Camberwell - Harris Street, London, SE5 7RX
Rotherhithe - 153-159 Abbeyfield Road, Rotherhithe, SE16 2LS
(All open Monday – Friday, 9am-5pm)

Peckham -122 Peckham Hill Street, London SE15 5JR
(Also open Saturday 9am to 1pm)

Walworth - The Municipal Buildings, 151 Walworth Road, London SE17 1RY
(Open Monday - Friday, 8.30am - 4.45pm & Saturday 8.30am to 2.45pm)

One Stop Shops

Bermondsey -17 Spa Road, London, SE16
Walworth - 151 Walworth Road, London, SE17 1RY
Peckham - 122 Peckham Hill Street, London, SE15 5JR
(All open Monday-Friday 9am-5pm)
(Peckham also open Saturday 9am to 1pm)
Consultation Report

Appendix B

List of consultees
Appendix B: List of consultees including prescribed bodies

*Please note this list is not exhaustive and also relates to successor bodies where re-organisations occur.

Prescribed bodies

Previous stages of consultation have been carried out in accordance with the Town and Country Planning (Local Development) (England) Regulations 2004 and The Town and Country Planning (Local Development) (England) (Amendment) Regulations 2008. Both sets of Regulations were replaced in April 2012 by the Town and Country Planning (Local Planning) (England) Regulations 2012.

Part 6 of the Regulations set out that when preparing a Local Plan (such as this AAP), we must contact each of the following bodies and invite them to submit comments if we think that they would have an interest in the Plan:

- The Coal Authority
- The Environment Agency
- English Heritage
- The Marine Management Organisation
- Natural England
- Network Rail
- The Highways Agency
- Any relevant authority whose area is in or adjoins Southwark (Lambeth Council, Lewisham Council, Bromley Council, Tower Hamlets, Westminster, Croydon, the City, the Metropolitan Police Service and the London Fire and Emergency Planning Authority)
- British Telecommunications
- The Mobile Operators Association
- Any person to whom the electronic communalisations code applies by virtue of a direction given under Section 106 (3)(a) of the Communications Act 2003
- Any person who owns or controls electronic communications apparatus situated in any part of the borough
- NHS Southwark (to be renamed Southwark Clinical Care Commission)
- Thames Water
- Any of the bodies from the following list who are exercising functions or a function in the borough:
  - Person to whom a licence has been granted under section 7 (2) of the Gas Act 1986
  - Sewage undertakers
  - Water undertakers.
- The Homes and Communities Agency
- Greater London Authority

Part 6 of the Regulations also states that we must consult appropriate ‘general consultation bodies’, including:

a) Voluntary bodies some or all of whose activities benefit any part of the local planning authority’s area
b) Bodies which represent the interests of different racial, ethnic or national groups in the local planning authority’s area
c) Bodies which represent the interests of different religious groups in the local planning authority’s area
d) Bodies with represent the interests of disabled persons in the local planning authority’s area
e) Bodies which represent the interests of persons carrying on business in the local planning authority’s area
The list of groups that we believe are covered by these categories is set out below. This list is updated regularly as we become aware of new groups.

Local Consultees

All Councillors
- Liberal
- Labour
- Conservatives
- Independent

Voluntary organisations and community groups
- Aaina Women's Group
- Abbeyfield Society
- ABC Southwark Housing Co-op
- Aborigine
- ACAPS
- Access London
- Action Southwark
- ADDACTION - Maya Project
- Adult Education
- Advice UK London Region
- AFFORD
- Agenda for Community Development
- Albert Academy Alumni Association
- Albert Association
- Albrighton Cricket Club
- Alcohol Counselling & Prevention Services - 1
- Alcohol Counselling & Prevention Services - 2
- Alcohol Recovery Project
- Alcohol Recovery Project
- Alleyn Community Centre Association
- Alone in London
- Anada Fund
- Anchor Sheltered Housing
- Apex Charitable Trust Ltd
- Art in the Park
- ARTLAT
- Artsline
- Artstree / Oneworks
- Ashbourne Centre
- Association of Waterloo Groups
- ATD Fourth World
- Aubyn Graham (The John Graham Group)
- Aylesbury Academic Grassroots
- Aylesbury Day Centre
- Aylesbury Everywoman’s Group
- Aylesbury Food and Health Project
- Aylesbury Healthy Living Network
- Aylesbury Learning Centre
- Aylesbury NDC
- Aylesbury Nutrition Project
- Aylesbury Plus SRB
- Aylesbury Plus Young Parent Project
- Aylesbury Sure Start
- BAKOC
- Beacon Project
- Bede Café Training
- Bede House Association and Education Centre
- Bede House Community Development Women’s Project
- Bells Garden Community Centre
- Beormund Community Centre
- Bermondsey and Rotherhithe Development Partnership
- Bermondsey Artists Group
- Bermondsey Citizens Advice Bureau
- Bermondsey Forum
- Bermondsey St Area Partnership
- Bermondsey St Community Association
- Bermondsey Street Area Partnership
- Bermondsey Street Association
- Bermondsey Village Action Group
- Better Bankside
- Blackfriars Advice Centre
- Blackfriars Settlement (Community Care Team)
- Blackfriars Work Centre
- Blue Beat Community Centre
- Blue Beat Police Centre
- Blue Elephant Theatre Company
- Book-Aid International
- Borough Community Centre
- Borough Music School
- Borough Partnership Team, Southwark Police Station
- Bosco Centre
- Bradfield Club in Peckham
- Breast Cancer Campaign
- Bredinghurst (day and residential)
- British Film Institute
- Brook Advisory Centre
• Bubble Youth Theatre & Adult Drama
• Burgess Park (Colts) Cricket Club
• Camberwell Advocacy Office
• Camberwell Arts Week
• Camberwell Community Forum
• Camberwell Credit Union
• Camberwell Green Magistrates Court
• Camberwell Grove
• Camberwell ME Support Group
• Camberwell Police Station 212a
• Camberwell Rehabilitation Association
• Camberwell Society
• CamberwellSupported Flats
• Camberwell Working Party
• Cambridge House & Talbot
• Cambridge House Advocacy Team
• Cambridge House Legal Centre
• Canada Water Campaign
• Canada Water Consultation Forum
• Carers Support Group
• Cares of Life
• Carnival Del Pueblo
• Castle Day Centre
• CDS Co-operatives
• Centre Point (40)
• Chair - Dulwich Sector Working Group
• Charterhouse - in- Southwark
• Cheshire House(Dulwich)
• Cheshire House(Southwark)
• Childcare First
• Childcare Support
• Childminding Project
• Children's Rights Society
• Choice Support Southwark
• Choices
• Chrysalis
• Citizen Advice Bureau - Peckham
• Clublands
• Coin Street Community Builders
• Coin Street Festival and Thames Festival
• Colby Road Daycare Project
• Colombo Street Sports and Community Centre
• Committee Against Drug Abuse
• Communicate User Group
• Community Alcohol Service
• Community Care Choices
• Community Drug Project
• Community Metamorphosis
• Community Music Ltd
• Community of DIDA in the UK
• Community Radio Station
• Community Regeneration
• Community Support Group
• Community TV Trust
• Confederation of Passenger Transport UK
• Connect
• Consumers Against Nuclear Energy
• Contact A Family In Southwark
• Cooltan Arts
• Corazon Latino
• Cornerstone Community Project
• Council of Igbo Communities
• CRISP / LSE / Balance for Life
• Crooke Green Centre Association
• Crossways Centre
• Crossways Housing
• CWS Southeast Co-op
• Delfina Studios Trust
• Detainee Support & Help Unit
• Diamond Project
• Divine Outreach Community Care Group
• Dockland Settlement
• Dominica Progressive Charitable Association
• Drugs Apogee
• Drum
• Dulwich Credit Union
• Dulwich Festival
• Dulwich Hamlet Supporters Trust
• Dulwich Helpline
• Dulwich Orchestra
• Dulwich Society
• East Dulwich Society
• East Dulwich Womens Action
• ECRRG
• Education 2000 Project
• Education Action Zone
• Education Links
• Education Support Centre
• Elephant Amenity Network
• Elephant Enterprises
• Elephants Links Project Team
• Elibariki Centre
• Employing People Responsibly
• Empowerment Projects Trust
• Encore Club
• Environmental Computer Communications
• Equinox
• ESOL Project
• Evelina Children's Hospital Appeal
• Evelyn Coyle Day Centre
• EYE (Ethio Youth England)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Organization Name</th>
<th>Organization Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Faces in Focus (TIN)</td>
<td>Kaizen Initiative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fair Community Housing Services</td>
<td>Keyword</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fairbridge in London</td>
<td>Kick Start</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fairbridge South London</td>
<td>Kite</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Families Experiencing Drug Abuse</td>
<td>Lady of Southwark</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fast Forward</td>
<td>Lambeth Crime Prevention Trust</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First Place Children and Parents Centre</td>
<td>Lambeth MIND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First Tuesday Club</td>
<td>Laura Orsini (New Group)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Five Bridges Centre</td>
<td>Level Ltd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Five Steps Community Centre</td>
<td>Lewisham &amp; Southwark Jobshare Project</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flex-Ability</td>
<td>Liberty Club</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fortress Charitable Trust</td>
<td>Life Builders</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foundation for Human Development/ Free Press Europe</td>
<td>Lighthours Informal Learning &amp; Support Project</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Friends of East Dulwich Station</td>
<td>Lighthouse Developments Ltd.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Friends of Fast Forward</td>
<td>Linden Grove Community Centre</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Funding Advice Consultancy &amp; Training Service</td>
<td>Links Community Hall</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Garden House Project</td>
<td>Living in Harmony</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gateway Project</td>
<td>Local Accountancy Project (LAP)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gateway Training Centre</td>
<td>London Roses Community Services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GEMCE</td>
<td>London Thames Gateway Forum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Globe Education Centre</td>
<td>London Voluntary Service Council</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gloucester Grove Community Association</td>
<td>Loresl Broadcasting Service</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goose Green Centre</td>
<td>Lorrimore Drop - In</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goose Green Lunch Club</td>
<td>M. Hipro Words</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grange Rd Carers Support Group</td>
<td>Magdalen Tenants Hall</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greenhouse Trust</td>
<td>Manna Group</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gye Nyame for Performing Arts</td>
<td>Manna Society and Day Centre</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Habitat for Humanity Southwark</td>
<td>Marsha Phoenix Memorial Trust</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Herne Hill Society</td>
<td>Mecower</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Holmhurst Day Centre (Social Services)</td>
<td>Media Action</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HOURBank</td>
<td>Meeting Point</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ideas 2 Vision</td>
<td>Members of Elephant Links</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ILETO</td>
<td>Milewalk Project</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In Tolo Theatre</td>
<td>Millennium Reachout</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Independent Adoption Service</td>
<td>Mine Watch</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Independent Advocacy Service</td>
<td>Morena</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inner City Link</td>
<td>Moses Basket Charity Care Organisation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inspire</td>
<td>Multiskills Training &amp; Recruitment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Integratus</td>
<td>MultisoSoc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>International Family Welfare Agency</td>
<td>Myasthenia Gravis Association</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>International Shakespeare Globe Centre Ltd</td>
<td>NAS International Charity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Isigi Dance Theatre Company</td>
<td>New Generation Drug Agency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JAA</td>
<td>New Peckham Varieties @ Magic Eye Theatre</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jennifer Cairney Fundraiser</td>
<td>New Unity Centre Association (NUCA)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>John Paul Association</td>
<td>Next Step Project</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Joshua Foundation Superkid</td>
<td>North Lambeth Day Centre (BEDS)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jubilee Renewal Projects</td>
<td>North Peckham Project</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jump</td>
<td>North Southwark Community Care Support Project</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
• North Southwark Community Development Group
• North Southwark EAZ
• North-West Quadrant Community Development Network
• Nouvel Act
• Nunhead Community Forum
• Oasis Mentoring
• Oasis Trust
• OFFERS
• Old Kent Road Community Training Centre
• Omolara Sanyaolu Open Arms Foundation
• Only Connect
• Opendoor
• Opendoor Community Support Team
• OTDOGS
• Outset
• Outset Jobsearch Project
• Oval House Workshop
• Oxford and Bermondsey Club Forum
• Pachamama
• Panda London
• Papa Mandela London Project
• Parent Talk
• Parents Association
• Patchwork HA
• Pathways Trust
• Peckham Area
• Peckham Befrienders
• Peckham CAB
• Peckham Day Centre
• Peckham Open Learning Centre
• Peckham Pop-In
• Peckham Society
• Peckham Vision
• People Care Association
• People to People
• Peoples Association in Southwark
• Phoenix House
• Pierres Vivantes Charity
• Pitt Street Association
• Plunge Club
• Pneumonia Community Link
• Pool of London Partnership
• Positive Education Learning Centre
• Premier Self Defence
• Prisoners Families & Friends Service
• Psychosynthesis and Education Trust
• Publication
• Pumphouse Educational Museum
• Queens Road Parents & Carers Support Group
• Queensborough Community Centre
• Radiant Idea
• RAP Academy
• Realise IT Network
• Redriff Community Association
• Right Lines
• Rimin Welfare Charity Association
• Rise and Shine
• Rockingham Community Association
• Rockingham Community Centre
• Rockingham Management Committee
• Rockingham Women’s Project
• Rolston Roy Art Foundation
• Rotela Tech Ltd
• RPS Rainer Housing
• RSPCA
• Ruban Educational Trust
• Rye Lane and Station Action Group (RLSAG)
• S.E. Lions Football Club
• Saffron Blue Promotions
• Sarcodeiosis & Interstitial Lung Association
• SASS Theatre Company
• SAVO
• SCA Renew
• Scoglio Arts @ Community Centre
• SCOPE
• SCREEN
• SES Alive
• SELAH Social Action Network
• Selcops
• SETAA, Aylesbury Learning Centre
• Seven Islands Leisure Centre
• Seven Islands Swimming Club
• SGI-UK
• Shaka
• Shakespeare’s Globe
• Shep-Su Ancestral Design
• Sicklenemia
• Silwood Family Centre
• Sirewa Project
• SITRA
• SKILL
• South Bank Employers’ Group
• South Bermondsey Partnership
• Southside Rehabilitation Association
• Southwark Adult Education
• Southwark Alarm Scheme
• Southwark Alliance Partnership Team
• Southwark Arts Forum
• Southwark CABX (Citizens Advice Bureaux) Service
• Southwark Carers
• Southwark Cares Incorporated
• Southwark Caring Housing Trust
• Southwark Community Care Forum
• Southwark Community Development Agency
• Southwark Community Drugs Project
• Southwark Community Team
• Southwark Community Youth Centre & Arts Club
• Southwark Congolese Centre
• Southwark Consortium
• Southwark Co-op Party
• Southwark Co-operative Development Agency
• Southwark Council Benefits Campaign
• Southwark Dial-a-Ride
• Southwark Domestic Violence Forum
• Southwark Education & Training Advice for Adults (SETAA)
• Southwark Education and Cultural Development
• Southwark Education Business Alliance
• Southwark Habitat for Humanity
• Southwark Heritage Association
• Southwark Law Centre
• Southwark Libraries
• Southwark LSP/Alliance
• Southwark Mediation Centre
• Southwark Mind
• Southwark Model Railway Club
• Southwark Mysteries Drama Project
• Southwark Park Day Centre
• Southwark Park Group
• Southwark Playhouse
• Southwark Police & Community Consultative Group
• Southwark Social Services
• Southwark Trade Union Council
• Southwark Trade Union Support Unit
• Southwark Unity
• Southwark User Group
• Southwark Victim Support
• Southwark Women's Support Group
• SPAM
• Speaking Up
• Sports Action Zone
• Sports Out Music In
• Spreading Vine
• Springboard Southwark Trust
• Springboard UK
• Springfield Lodge
• St Clements Monday Club
• St Georges Circus Group
• St Jude’s Community Centre
• St Matthew’s Community Centre
• St. Martins Property Investment Ltd.
• Starlight Music Project
• STC Working Party
• Stepping Stones
• Surrey Docks Carers Group
• Sustainable Energy Group
• Swanmead
• Tabard Community Committee
• Tai Chi UK
• TGWU Retired
• Thames Reach
• The Black-Eyed Peas Project
• The British Motorcyclists Federation
• The Livesey Museum
• The Prince’s Trust
• The Shaftesbury Society
• The Southwark Mysteries
• Three R’s Social Club
• Thresholds
• Tideway Sailability
• Tokei Martial Arts Centre
• Tomorrow’s Peoples Trust
• Tower Bridge Magistrates Court
• Trees for cities
• Trios Childcare Services
• Turning Point
• Unite
• United Colour & Naylor House Crew
• Urban Research Lab
• URBED
• Vauxhall St Peters Heritage Centre
• Victim Support Southwark
• Voice of Art
• Volunteer Sector Support Services
• Volunteer Centre Southwark
• Volunteers in Action
• Volunteers in Action Southwark
• Wakefield Trust
• Walworth Society
• Walworth Triangle Forum
• Waterloo Breakaway
Waterloo Community Counselling Project
Waterloo Community Regeneration Trust
Waterloo Sports and Football Club
Waterloo Time Bank
Way Forward
WCDG
Welcare Mothers Group
West Bermondsey '98
West Bermondsey Community Forum
Wickway Community Association
Wild Angels
Willowbrook Centre
Windsor Walk Housing
Woman of Peace Counselling Group
Women Development Programme
Women in Harmony
Women's Ivory Tower Association
Women's Self-Development Project
Women's Worker
Woodcraft Folk
Workers Educational Association
Working with Men
XL Project
Young Carers Project
Young Women’s Group AAINA

Major landowners and development partners in the borough

Businesses
7 Star Dry Cleaners
A & J Cars
A J Pain
A R London Builders
ABA (International) Ltd
Abbey Rose Co Ltd
Abbey Self Storage
Abbeyfield Rotherhithe Society Ltd
ABS Consulting
Academy Costumes Ltd
Accountancy Business Centre
Ace
Ace Food
Addendum Ltd
Albany Garage
Alex Kennedy
Alfa Office Supplies
Alpha Employment Services
Alpha Estates
Alpha Logistics & Securities Ltd
AM Arts
AMF Bowling Lewisham
Anchor at Bankside
Andrews & Robertson
Angie's Hair Centre
Anthony Gold, Lerman & Muirhead
Archer Cleaners
Architype Ltd
Archival Record Management plc
Argent Environmental Services
Argos Distributors Ltd
Arts Express
ARUP - Engineering Consultants
ATAC Computing
Auditel
Austins
Australia and New Zealand Banking Group Ltd
Azhar Architecture
Bankside Business Partnership
Bankside Theatre
Bankside Traders Association
Barclays Bank PLC
Barratt East London
Barrie Howard Shoes
Barton Willmore
Baxhor Travel Ltd
BBI
BBW Solicitors
Beaumont Beds Ltd
Bedford Hill Gallery & Workshops Ltd
Bells Builders Merchants (Dulwich) Ltd
Bells Play Group
Bellway Homes
Bermondsey Goode Foods
Bert's Fish Bar
Big Box Productions Ltd
Big Metal
Bims African Foods
Black Business Initiative
Blackfriars Wine Bar/Warehouse
Blakes Menswear
Bloy's Business Caterers
Boots the Chemist
Boyson Car Service
Bramah Museum
Brian O'Connor & Co
Britain at War Experience
Brixton Online Ltd
Brockwell Art Services
Brook Advisory Centre
• Brook Street Bureau
• Brunel Engine House Exhibition
• BTA
• BTCV Enterprises Ltd
• Bubbles
• Burnet, Ware & Graves
• Bursand Enterprises
• C Demiris Laboratory Services Ltd
• C Hartnell
• C S M L (Computer Systems & Network Solutions)
• Caitlin Wilkinson MLIA (Dip)
• Calafield Ltd
• Camberwell Arts
• Camberwell Traders Association
• Cap UK, Confederation of African People
• Capital Careers
• Capital Carers
• Cascade Too Florist
• CB Richard Ellis Ltd
• CD Plumbers
• CGMS Consulting
• Charterhouse in Southwark
• Childsplay
• Choice Support
• Chris Thomas Ltd
• Cicely Northcote Trust
• Citiside Plc
• City Central Parking
• City Cruises PLC
• CityLink
• Claybrook Group Ltd
• Clean Up Services
• Cleaning Services (South London) Ltd
• Clearaprint
• Club Copying Co Ltd
• Cluttons
• Colliers CRE
• Colorama Processing Laboratories Limited
• Colworth House Ltd
• Community Radio Broadcasting
• Consultants at Work
• Consumers Food and Wine
• Continental
• Continental Café
• Copy Copy
• Copyprints Ltd
• Cosmic Training & Information Services
• CTS Ltd (Communication & Technical Services Ltd)
• Cuke Bar
• Cyclists Touring Club
• Cynth-Sinclair Music Venue
• Cyril Silver & Partners LLP
  Surveyors
• D E Cleaning Service
• David Trevor- Jones Associates
• Davis Harvey & Murrell Ltd
• Davy's of London (WM) Ltd
• Delta Security UK Limited
• Development Planning Partnership
• Dickens Developments
• District Maintenance Ltd
• Doble, Monk, Butler
• Dolland and Aitchison
• Dolphin Bay Fish Restaurant
• Donaldsons
• Donaldson's Planning
• Douglas Jackson Group
• DPDS Consulting Group
• Dr J Hodges
• Dransfield Owens De Silva
• Driscoll House Hotel
• Drivers Jonas
• Drivers Jonas
• Dulwich Books
• Dulwich Chiroprody Surgery
• Dulwich Hamlet Football Club
• Dulwich Sports Club
• Dulwich Village Traders Association
• Duncan Vaughan Arbuckle
• Duraty Radio Ltd
• Dynes Self-Drive Cars
• Eagle Speed Car Services
• East Street Traders
• Easyprint 2000 Ltd
• ECRRG
• Edita Estates
• Edwardes of Camberwell Ltd
• Elephant Car Service
• Eminence Promotions
• Emma & Co Chartered Accountants
• EMP plc
• Employment Service
• English Partnerships (London and Thames Gateway)
• Equinox Consulting
• Etc Venues Limited
• Euroclean Services
• Euro-Dollar Rent-a-Car
• Express Newspapers/United Media Group Services Ltd
• Ezekiel Nigh Club
• F & F General Merchants
• F A Albin & Sons Ltd
• F W Woolworth plc
• Feltbrook Ltd
Field & Sons
Filocraft Ltd
Finishing Touches
Firstplan
Flint Hire & Supply Limited
Florence Off-Licence & Grocery
Focus Plant Ltd
Foster-Berry Associates
Franklin & Andrews
Friends Corner
Fruiter & Florist
G Baldwin & Co
G M Imber Ltd
G Worrall & Son Ltd
GAAD Support Services
General Commercial Enterprises
George Yates Estate Office Ltd
GHL Commercials
Gisella Boutique & Design Workshop
Glaziers Hall Ltd
Glenn Howells Architects
Godwin Nede & Co
Golden Fish Bar
Gowers Elmes Publishing
Grace & Mercy Fashion
Graphic House
Gregory Signs
Gretnon Ward Electrical Ltd
Guy's & St Thomas' NHS Foundation Trust
Haime & Butler
Hair and Beauty
Hair Extension Specialist
Hairports International
Hall & Dougan Management
Harvey's Catering & Equipment Hire Ltd
Hayward Brothers (Wines) Ltd
HCS Building Contractors
Heartbeat International
Hepburns
Herne Hill Traders Association
Hollywood Nails
Home Builders Federation
Hopfields Auto Repairs
Hopkins, Williams, Shaw
HSBC PLC (Southwark Area)
Hygrade Enterprises
Hygrade Foods Ltd
Iceland Frozen Foods Plc
Iceni Projects Ltd
Imperial War Museum
Implement Construction Ltd
Indigo Planning
IPC Magazines Ltd
Isaac & Co
Isambard Environmental
J K Computers Ltd
J R Davies Associates
J Sainsbury plc
Jade Catering Services
Jani-King (GB) Ltd
Jay Opticians
Jet Reproprint
JETS
JK Computers
Jones Yarrell & Co Ltd
Juliet's
Kalmars
Kalpa Newsagent
Kamera Obscura
Kellaway's Funeral Service
Ken Creasey Ltd
King Sturge
Knight Office Supplies Ltd
Kumasi Market
L Tagg Sewing Machines
Lainco, Lainco
Lambert Smith Hampton
Lambrucus Ltd
Land Securities
Lane Heywood Davies
Lanes Butchers Ltd
Leslie J Sequeira & Co
Lex Volvo Southwark
Life Designs
Light Projects Ltd
Lloyds Bank plc
Local Recruitment Brokerage Ltd
Londis & Jamaica Road Post Office
London's Larder Partnership
London Bridge Dental Practice
London Bridge Hospital
London Builders Merchants
London Dungeon
London Self-Storage Centre
London Tile Warehouse
London West Training Services
M & D Joinery Ltd
M Armour (Contracts) Ltd
M H Associates
M H Technical Services
M V Biro / Bookbiz
Mackintosh Duncan
Magreb Arab Press
- Malcolm Judd & Partners
- MARI
- Marks and Spencer Plc
- Marrs & Cross and Wilfred Fairbairns Ltd
- Matthew Hall Ltd
- Mayflower 1620 Ltd
- McCarthy & Stone
- MCQ Entertainments Ltd
- Metrovideo Ltd
- Michael Dillon Architect & Urban Designer
- Minerva PLC
- Ministry of Sound
- Miss Brenda Hughes DMS FHCIMA FBIM Cert. Ed.
- MK1 Ladies Fashion
- Mobile Phone World Ltd
- Mono Consultants Limited
- Montagu Evans
- Motability Operations
- movingspace.com
- Mulcraft Graphics Ltd
- Myrrh Education and Training
- Nabarro Nathanson
- Nandos
- Nathaniel Lichfield & Partners Ltd
- National Provincial Glass Co Ltd
- National Westminster Bank plc
- Neil Choudhury Architects
- Network Rail
- Nevins Meat Market
- New Dome Hotel
- New Future Now
- New Pollard UK
- New Start Up
- Njomatiya Gospel Record Production
- Nicholas D Stone
- Nichols Employment Agency
- Norman W Hardy Ltd
- Nutec Productions
- & S Builders
- OCR (Quality Meats) Ltd
- Office Angels
- Oliver Ashley Shoes
- Olley’s Traditional Fish & Chips
- On Your Bike Ltd
- Over-Sixties Employment Bureau
- P J Accommodation
- Panache Exclusive Footwear
- Patel, K & S (Amin News)
- Paul Dickinson & Associates
- Peabody Pension Trust Ltd
- Peabody Trust
- Peacock & Smith
- PEARL
- Peppermint
- Peterman & Co
- Phil Polglaze
- Philcox Gray & Co
- Pillars of Excellence
- Pizza Hut
- Planning & Environmental Services Ltd
- Planning Potential
- Pocock Brothers Ltd
- Port of London Authority
- Potter & Holmes Architects
- Precision Creative Services
- Premier Cinema
- PricewaterhouseCoopers
- Primavera
- Prodigy Ads
- Frontaprint
- Purser Volkswagen
- Q2 Design
- Quarterman Windscreens Ltd
- Quicksilver
- R B Parekh & Co
- R J Parekh & Co
- R Woodfall, Opticians
- Rajah Tandori and Curry
- Ranmac Employment Agency
- Ranmac Security Ltd
- Rapleys LLP
- Red Kite Learning
- Redder Splash
- Reed Employment
- Richard Harrison Architecture, Trafalgar Studios
- Richard Hartley Partnership
- Rive Estate Agents
- Rizzy Brown
- RK Burt & Co Ltd
- Robert O Clottey & Co
- Rodgers & Johns
- Rodney Radio
- Roger Tym & Partners
- Roosters Chicken and Ribs
- Rose Bros
- Roxlee the City Cobbler
- Roy & Partners
- Roy Brooks Ltd
- Royal Mail
- RPS Planning Transport and Environment
- Rusling, Billing, Jones
- S &S Dry Cleaners
- S C Hall & Son
- S T & T Publishing Ltd
- Sainsbury’s plc
- Salon 3A Unisex Hairdressing
- Samuel Brown
• Savages Newsagents
• Savills Commercial Limited
• SCEMSC
• Scenic Art
• SEA / RENUE
• Sea Containers Services Ltd
• SecondSite Property Holdings
• Service Point
• Sesame Institute UK
• SETAA
• Shalom Catering Services
• Shopping Centres Ltd (Surrey Quays)
• Simpson Millar (incorporating Goslings)
• Sinclair Robertson & Co Ltd
• Sitec
• Skalps
• Smile Employment Agency
• Softmetal Web Designer
• South Bank Employers Group
• South Bank Technopark
• South Central Business Advisory Centre
• South East Cars
• South Eastern Trains
• South London Press Ltd
• Southern Railway
• Southwark & Kings Employees Credit Union Ltd.
• Southwark Association of Street Traders
• Southwark Chamber of Commerce
• Southwark Credit Union
• Southwark News
• Spaces Personal Storage
• Spacia Ltd
• St. Michael Associates
• Stage Services (London) Ltd
• Start Consulting
• Stephen Michael Associates
• Steve Cleary Associates
• Stitches Marquee Hire
• Stream Records
• Stroke Care
• Studio 45
• Studio 6
• Sumner Type
• Superdrug Stores Plc
• Supertec Design Ltd
• TA Property Consultants
• Tangram Architects & Designers
• Tate Modern
• Taxaccount Ltd
• Terence O'Rourke
• Tesco Stores Ltd
• Tetlow King Planning
• The Bakers Oven
• The Chapter Group PLC
• The Clink & Bankside Co Ltd
• The Clink Prison
• The Design Museum
• The Dulwich Estates
• The Edge Couriers
• The Financial Times
• The Hive
• The Mudlark
• The New Dome Hotel
• The Old Operating Theatre
• The Peckham Experiment
• The Stage Door
• The Surgery
• Thermofrost Cryo plc
• Thomas & Co Solicitors
• Thrifty Car Rental/Best Self Drive Ltd
• Timchart Ltd
• Tito's
• TM Marchant Ltd
• Tola Homes
• Tom Blau Gallery
• Toucan Employment
• Tower Bridge Travel Inn Capital
• Trade Winds Colour Printers Ltd
• Trigram Partnership
• Turning Point - Milestone
• Two Towers Housing Co-Op
• United Cinemas International (UCI)
• United Friendly Insurance PLC
• Unity Estates
• Venters Reynolds
• Victory Stores
• Vijaya Palal
• Vinopolis
• W Uden & Sons Ltd
• Wallace Windscreens Ltd
• Walsh (Glazing Contractors) Ltd
• Walter Menteth Architects
• Wardle McLean Strategic Research Consultancy Ltd
• Watson Associates
• West & Partners
• Wetton Cleaning Services Ltd
• WGI Interiors Ltd
• White Dove Press
• Whitehall Clothiers (Camb) Ltd
• Wilkins Kennedy
• William Bailey, Solicitors
• Wing Tai Super Market
• Workspace Group
• Workspace Ltd (C/o RPS PLC)
• Xysystems Ltd
• Yates Estate
- Yinka Bodyline Ltd

**Environmental**
- Bankside Open Spaces Trust
- Dawson's Hill Trust
- Dog Kennel Hill Adventure
- Dulwich Allotment Association
- Dulwich Society Wildlife Committee
- Friends of Belair Park
- Friends of Burgess Park
- Friends of Geraldine Mary Harmsworth Park
- Friends of Guy Street Park
- Friends of Honor Oak Recreation Ground
- Friends of Nunhead Cemetery
- Friends of Nursery Row Park
- Friends of Peckham Rye
- Friends of Potters Field Park
- Friends of Southwark Park
- Groundwork Southwark
- Lamlash Allotment Association
- Lettsom Garden Association
- London Wildlife Trust
- National Playing Fields Association
- Nature Park
- North Southwark Environmental Network
- One Tree Hill Allotment Society
- Rotherhithe & Bermondsey Allotment Society
- Southwark Biodiversity Partnership
- Southwark Friends of the Earth
- Surrey Docks City Farm
- Victory Community Park Committee
- Walworth Garden Farm

**Black and Minority Ethnic groups**
- Afiya Trust
- African Research & Information Bureau (ARIB)
- African Child Association
- African Children and Families Support
- African Community Development Foundation
- African Community Link Project
- African Elders Concern
- African Foundation For Development
- African Graduate Centre
- African Heritage Association
- African Inform
- African Root Men's Project (ARMPRO)
- African Regeneration Association
- African Research
- African's People's Association
- African Women's Support Group
- Afro-Asian Advisory Service
- Afro-Caribbean Autistic Foundations
- Ahwazi Community Association
- AKWAABA Women's Group
- Alliance for African Assistance
- Amannagwu Community Association UK
- Anerley French & Swahili Club
- Anti-Racist Alliance
- Anti-Racist Integration Project
- Arab Cultural Community
- Arab Cultural Community
- Asian Society
- Asra Housing Association
- Association of Minority
- Association of Sri Lankans in UK
- Association of Turkish Women
- Aylesbury Turkish Women's Group
- Aylesbury Turkish Women's Project
- Bangladeshi Women's Group
- Bengali Community Association
- Bengali Community Development Project
- Bengali Women's Group
- Bhagini Samaj Women's Group
- Birlik Cemiyet Centre
- Black Awareness Group
- Black Cultural Education
- Black Elderly Group Southwark
- Black Elders Mental Health Project
- Black Organisation for Learning Difficulties
- Black Parents Network
- Black Training Enterprise Group
- Cara Irish Housing Association
- Caribbean Ecology Forum
- Caribbean Women's Network
- Carr-Gomm Society Limited
- Centre for Inter-African Relations
- Centre for Multicultural Development and Integration
- Charter for Non-Racist Benefits
- Chinese/Vietnamese Group
• Confederation of Indian Organisations (U.K.)
• Daryeel Somali Health Project
• Educational Alliance Africa
• Eritrean Community Centre
• Eritrean Education and Publication Trust
• Ethiopian Refugee Education & Careers Centre
• Ethno News
• French Speaking African General Council
• Ghana Refugee Welfare Group
• GHARWEG Advice, Training & Careers Centre
• Great Lakes African Womens Network
• Greek Community of South London
• Gulu Laity Archdiocesan Association
• Here & There - Somali Training Development Project
• Igbo Tutorial School
• Integration Project for the Francophone African Community
• International Ass of African Women
• International Association for Sierra Leoneans Abroad
• Irish Families Project
• Irish in Britain Representation Group
• Istrinsabbha-Sikh Women’s Group
• Ivorian Social Aid Society
• Mauritius Association
• Mauritius Association of Women in Southwark
• Mercylene Africa Trust (UK)
• Mitali Asian Women’s Project
• Multi-lingual Community Rights Shop
• RCA/ Southwark Irish Pensioners Project
• Rockingham Somali Support
• Rondalya Phillipino-UK
• Siddama Community in Europe
• Sierra Leone Community Forum
• Sierra Leone Muslim Women Cultural Organisation
• Society of Caribbean Culture
• Somali Community
• Somali Community Association in Southwark
• Somali Counselling Project
• Somali Group
• Somali Health and Education Project
• Somali Mother Tongue & Supplementary Class
• Somali Project
• Somali Women & Children’s Project
• South East Asian Elderly
• South London Arab Community Group
• Southwark African Support Services
• Southwark Asian Association
• Southwark Bhagini Samaj
• Southwark Chinese Women's Group
• Southwark Cypriot & Turkish Cultural Society
• Southwark Cypriot Day Centre & Elders Group
• Southwark Cypriot Turkish Association
• Southwark Ethnic Alliance
• Southwark Ethnicare Project
• Southwark Irish Festival
• Southwark Irish Forum
• Southwark Multicultural Link in Education
• Southwark Race and Equalities Forum
• Southwark Somali Advisory Forum c/o CIDU
• Southwark Somali Refugee Council
• Southwark Somali Union
• Southwark Travellers Action Group
• Southwark Turkish & Cypriot Group
• Southwark Turkish Association and Community Centre
• Southwark Turkish Education Group
• Southwark Turkish Perkunlunler Cultural Ass.
• Southwark United Irish Community Group
• Southwark Vietnamese Chinese Community
• Southwark Vietnamese Refugee Association
• Strategic Ethnic Alliance
• Sudanese Welfare Association
• Suubi-Lule African Youth Association
• The Burrow & Carragher Irish Dance Group
• Uganda Refugee Art & Education Development Workshop
• UK Ivorian Space
• Union of Ivorian Women
• Urhobo Ladies Association Ltd
• Vietnamese Women's Group
• Vishvas
• Walworth Bangladeshi Community Association

**Religious**

• Apostolic Faith Mission
• Bermondsey Methodist Central Hall
• Bethel Apostolic Ministerial Union
• Bethnal Apostolic Ministerial Union
• Brandon Baptist Church
• British Red Cross
• Celestial Church of Christ
• Christ Church (Barry Road)
• Christ Church Southwark
• Christ Intercessor's Network
• Christian Caring Ministries Trust
• Christian Life Church
• Christway Community Centre
• Church of St John the Evangelist
• Churches Community Care Project
• Crossway United Reformed Church
• Daughters of Divine Love Training Centre
• Dulwich Islamic Centre
• Elephant & Castle Mosque
• English Martyrs Church
• Finnish Church in London
• Fountain of Life Ministries
• Gospel Faith Mission
• Grove Chapel
• Herne Hill Methodist Church
• Herne Hill United Reformed Church
• Holy Ghost Temple
• Jamyang Buddhist Centre
• Mary's Association
• Metropolitan Tabernacle
• Muslim Association of Nigeria
• New Peckham Mosque & Muslim Cultural Centre
• Norwegian Church
• Our Lady of La Salette & St Joseph
• Pakistan Muslim Welfare
• Peckham St John with St Andrew
• Pembroke College Mission
• Salvation Army
• Sasana Ramsi Vihara
• Seal of Rastafari
• Single Parents Holistic Ministry

• West African Community Action on Health & Welfare
• West Indian Standing Conference
• Women of Nigeria International
• Yemeni Community Ass.

• Sisters Community Delivery Health
• Sisters of the Sacred Heart
• South East Catholic Organisation
• South East London Baptist Homes
• South East Muslim Association
• South London Industrial Mission
• South London Tabernacle Baptist Church
• South London Temple
• Southwark Cathedral
• Southwark Churches Care
• Southwark Diocesan Housing Association
• Southwark Hindu Centre
• Southwark Islam Cultural Trust
• Southwark Multi-Faith Forum c/o CIDU
• Southwark Muslim Council & Dulwich Islamic Centre
• Southwark Muslim Forum
• Southwark Muslim Womens Association
• Southwark Muslim Youth Project
• Southwark Salvation Army
• St Anne's Church, Bermondsey
• St Anthony's Hall
• St Christopher's Church (Pembroke College Mission)
• St Georges Roman Catholic Cathedral
• St Giles Church
• St Giles Trust
• St Hugh's Church
• St John's Church, Peckham
• St Mary Magdalene Church - Bermondsey
• St Mary's Greek Orthodox Church
• St Matthews at the Elephant
• St Peters Church
• St. Johns Church, Goose Green
• St. Jude's Community Centre
• St. Matthew's Community Centre
• St. Michael's Vicarage
• Sumner Road Chapel
• Swedish Seaman's Church
• Taifa Community Care Project
• The Church Commissioners
• The Church of the Lord (Aladura)
• The Rectory
• Tibetan Buddhist Centre
• Trinity In Camberwell

Residents and resident’s groups
• Abbeyfield T&RA
• Acorn T&RA
• Adams Gardens T&RA
• Alberta T&RA
• Alvey T&RA
• Applegarth House T&RA
• Applegarth TMO
• Astbury Road T&RA
• Atwell T&RA
• Aylesbury T&RA
• Baltic Quay Residents and Leaseholders
• Barry Area T&RA
• Bellenden Residents Group
• Bermondsey Street T&RA
• Bermondsey Street TA.
• Bonamy & Bramcote Tenants Association
• Borough and Scovell T&RA
• Brandon T&RA
• Brayards Rd Estate T&RA
• Brenchley Gardens T&RA
• Bricklayers Arms T&RA
• Brimtonroy T&RA
• Brook Drive T&RA
• Browning T&RA
• Brunswick Park T&RA
• Buchan T&RA
• Camberwell Grove T&RA
• Canada Estate T&RA
• Caroline Gardens T&RA
• Castlemead T&RA
• Cathedral Area RA
• Champion Hill T&RA
• Comus House T&RA
• Conant T&RA
• Congreve and Barlow T&RA
• Consort T&RA
• Cooper Close Co-op T&RA
• Cossall T&RA
• Crosby Road T&RA
• Crosby Lockyer & Hamilton T&RA
• Croxted Road E.D.E.T.R.A
• Delawyky Residents Association
• Delawyky T&RA
• D’Eynsford Estate T&RA
• Dickens T&RA
• Dodson & Amigo T&RA
• Downtown T&RA
• Draper Tenants Association
• East Dulwich Estate T&RA
• East Dulwich Grove Estate T&RA
• Elephant Lane Residents Association
• Elizabeth T&RA
• Elmington T&RA
• Esmeralda T&RA
• Four Squares T&RA
• Gateway T&RA
• Gaywood Estate TA
• Gaywood T&RA
• George Tingle T&RA
• Gilesmead T&RA
• Glebe North and South T&RA
• Gloucester Grove T&RA
• Goschen T&RA
• Grosvenor T&RA
• Grove Lane Residents Association
• Haddonhall Residents TMO
• Haddonhall Tenants Co-op
• Halimore TA
• Harmsworth Mews Residents Association
• Hawkstone T&RA
• Hayles T&RA
• Heygate T&RA
• House Buildings T&RA
• Juniper House T&RA
• Keetons T&RA
• Kennington Park House T&RA
• Kinglake T&RA
• Kipling T&RA
• L T&RA
• Lant T&RA
• Lawson Residents Association
• Lawson T&RA
• Leathermarket JMB
• Ledbury T&RA
• Lettsom T&RA
• Library Street Neighbourhood Forum
• Longfield T&RA
• Lordship Lane & Melford Court T&RA
• Magdalene Tenants & Residents Association
• Magdelen T&RA
• Manchester House T&RA
• Manor T&RA
• Mardyke House T&RA
• Mayflower T&RA
• Meadow Row T&RA
• Metro Central Heights RA
• Millpond T&RA
- Neckinger Estate T&RA
- Nelson Square Gardens T&RA
- Nelson Square Community Association
- New Camden T&RA
- Newington T&RA
- Northfield House T&RA
- Nunhead Residents Association
- Oliver Goldsmith T&RA
- Osprey T&RA
- Parkside T&RA
- Pasley Estate T&RA
- Pedworth T&RA
- Pelier T&RA
- Penrose T&RA
- Plough and Chiltern T&RA
- Puffin T&RA
- Pullens T&RA
- Pullens Tenants Association
- Redriff Tenants Association (Planning)
- Rennie T&RA
- Rochester Estate T&RA
- Rockingham Management Committee
- Rockingham TRA
- Rodney Road T&RA
- Rouel Road Estate T&RA
- Rye Hill T&RA
- Salisbury Estate T&RA
- Sceaux Gardens T&RA
- Setchell Estate T&RA
- SHACCA T&RA
- Silwood T&RA
- Southampton Way T&RA
- Southwark Group of Tenants Association
- Southwark Park Estate T&RA
- St Crispins T&RA
- St James T&RA
- Styles House T&RA
- Sumner Residents T&RA
- Surrey Gardens T&RA
- Swan Road T&RA
- Sydenham Hill T&RA
- Tabard Gardens Management Co-op
- Tappesfield T&RA
- Tarney Road Residents Association
- Tenant Council Forum
- Thorburn Square T&RA
- Thurlow T&RA
- Tooley Street T&RA
- Trinity Newington Residents Association
- Two Towers T&RA
- Unwin & Friary T&RA
- Webber and Quentin T&RA
- Wendover T&RA
- West Square Residents’ Association
- Wilsons Road T&RA
- Winchester Estate TA
- Wyndam & Comber T&RA

**Housing**
- Affinity Sutton
- Central & Cecil Housing Trust
- Dulwich Right to Buy
- Excel Housing Association
- Family Housing Association Development
- Family Mosaic
- Habinteg
- Hexagon - Southwark Women’s Hostel
- Hexagon Housing
- Hexagon RSL
- Home-Start
- Housing for Women
- Hyde RSL
- Lambeth & Southwark Housing Society
- London & Quadrant Housing Trust
- Love Walk Hostel
- Metropolitan Housing Trust
- Octavia Hill Housing Trust
- Peabody Estate (Bricklayers)
- Pecan Limited
- Rainer South London Housing Project
- Sojourner Housing Association
- South East London Housing Partnership
- Southern Housing Group
- Southwark & London Diocesan H A
- Southwark Park Housing
- Stopover Emergency & Medium Stay Hostels
- Wandle RSL

**Education/young persons**


• 8th East Dulwich Brownies  
• Active Kids Network  
• After School Clubs  
• All Nations Community Nursery  
• Alliance for African Youth  
• Amott Road Playgroup  
• Anti-Bullying Campaign  
• Aylesbury Early Years Centre  
• Aylesbury Plus SRB Detached Project: Youth Club  
• Aylesbury Youth Centre  
• Aylesbury Youth Club  
• Bede Youth Adventure  
• Bermondsey Adventure Playground  
• Bermondsey Community Nursery  
• Bermondsey Scout Group  
• Bethwin Road Adventure Playground  
• Blackfriars Housing for Young  
• Blackfriars Settlement Youth Club  
• British Youth Opera  
• Camberwell After-School Project  
• Camberwell Choir School  
• Camberwell Scout Group  
• Cambridge House Young People's Project  
• Camelot After School Club  
• Caribb Supplementary School and Youth Club  
• Caribbean Youth & Community Association  
• CASP Playground  
• Charles Dickens After School Clubs  
• Chellow Dene Day Nursery  
• Child and Sound  
• Children's Day Nursery  
• Community Education Football Initiative  
• Community Youth Provision Ass.  
• Copleston Children's Centre  
• Dyason Pre-School  
• Early Years Centre  
• Early-Birds Pre-School Playgroup  
• East Dulwich Adventure Playground Association  
• East Dulwich Community Nursery  
• Ebony Saturday School  
• Emmanuel Youth & Community Centre  
• First Steps Montessori Playgroup  
• Founder Union of Youth  
• Future Generation Youth Club  
• Garden Nursery  
• Geoffrey Chaucer Youth Club  
• Goose Green Homework Club  
• Grove Vale Youth Club  
• Gumboots Community Nursery  
• Guys Evelina Hospital School  
• Half Moon Montessori Playgroup  
• Happy Faces Playgroup Under 5's  
• Hatasu Students Learning Centre  
• Heartbeat After School Project  
• Heber After School Project  
• Hollington Youth Club  
• Joseph Lancaster After School Club  
• Justdo Youth Network  
• Ketra Young Peoples Project  
• Kids Are Us Play centre  
• Kids Company  
• Kinderella Playgroup  
• Kingsdale Youth Centre  
• Kingswood Elfins  
• Lawnside Playgroup  
• Linden Playgroup  
• Louise Clay Homework Club  
• Millwall Community Sports Scheme  
• Mint Street Adventure Playground  
• Mission Youth Centre  
• Mother Goose Nursery  
• NCH Action for Children Eye to Eye Meditation  
• Nunhead Community Education Service  
• Nunhead Green Early Years  
• Odessa Street Youth Club  
• Peckham Drop in Crèche  
• Peckham Park After School Club  
• Peckham Rye After School Care  
• Peckham Settlement Nursery  
• Peckham Town Football Club  
• Pembroke House Youth Club  
• Pickwick Community Centre & Youth Club  
• Playshack Playgroup  
• Rainbow Playgroup  
• Reconcilors Children’s Club  
• Riverside After School Club  
• Rockingham Asian Youth  
• Rockingham Community Day Nursery  
• Rockingham Estate Play  
• Rockingham Playgroup  
• Rotherhithe Community Sports Project  
• Sacred Heart Pre-School Day Care  
• Salmon Youth Centre  
• Save the Children Fund  
• Scallywags Day Nursery  
• Scarecrows Day Nursery
• Sesame Supplementary School
• Sheldon Health Promotion Toddlers Group
• Sixth Bermondsey Scout Group
• Somali Youth Action Forum
• South London Children’s Scrap Scheme
• South London Scouts Centre
• Southwark Catholic Youth Service
• Southwark Childminding Association
• Southwark Children’s Foundation
• Southwark Community Planning & Education Centre
• Southwark Opportunity Playgroup
• Southwark Schools Support Project
• Southwark Somali Homework Club
• Springboard for Children
• St Faiths Community & Youth Association
• St Giles Youth Centre
• St John’s Waterloo YC
• St Marys Pre-School
• St Peters Monkey Park
• St. George’s Youth Project
• St. Peter’s Youth & Community Centre
• Surrey Docks Play Ass.
• Tabard After School Project
• Tadworth Playgroup
• Tenda Road Early Years Centre
• The Ink Tank Arts and Crafts After School Kids Club
• Trinity Child Care
• Tykes Corner
• Union of Youth
• Upstream Children’s Theatre
• Westminster House Youth Club
• YCGN UK (Youth Concern Global Network)
• YHA Rotherhithe
• Youth Concern UK
• Anando Pat Community School
• Archbishop Michael Ramsey Sixth Form Centre
• Beormund School
• Boucher CoE School
• British School of Osteopathy
• Brunswick Park Primary
• Cathedral School
• Cobourg Primary School
• Crampton Primary
• Crampton School (Parents)
• Dachwyng Supplementary School
• Dulwich College
• Dulwich Hamlet Junior School
• Dulwich Village CE Infants School
• Dulwich Wood School
• Emotan Supplementary School
• English Martyrs RC School
• Eveline Lowe School
• Friars School
• Gabriel Garcia Marquez School
• Geoffrey Chaucer School
• Gharweg Saturday School
• Gloucester Primary
• Goodrich Primary
• Grange Primary
• Institute of Psychiatry
• James Allen’s Girls School
• Kingsdale School
• Kintmore Way Nursery School
• Lighthouse Supplementary School
• Little Saints Nursery School Ltd
• London College of Printing
• London School of Law
• London South Bank University
• Morley School
• Mustard Seed Pre-School
• Nell Gwynn School
• Notre Dame RC
• Pui-Kan Community Chinese School
• Robert Browning Primary School
• Sacred Heart School
• South Bank University
• Southwark College (Southampton Way)
• Southwark College (Surrey Docks)
• Southwark College (Waterloo)
• Southwark College Camberwell Centre
• St Anthony’s RC
• St Francesca Cabrini RC
• St Francis RC
• St George’s Cathedral
• St George’s CE
• St John’s CE School
• St Joseph’s Infants School
• St Josephs RC School
• St Jude’s CE School
• St Olave’s & St Saviour’s Grammar School Foundation
• St Paul’s Primary School
• St Peter’s Walworth CE School
• St Saviour’s & St Olave’s CE
• St. George the Martyr School
• Surrey Square Infant and Junior School
• The Archbishop Michael Ramsey Technology College
• The Charter School
• Townsend Primary School
• Victory Primary School
• Walworth Lower School
• Walworth Upper School

Health
• Alzheimer's Disease Society
• Bermondsey & Rotherhithe Mental Health Support Group
• Community Health South London
• Daryeel Health Project
• Dyslexia Association of London
• Guys and St. Thomas’ Hospital Trust
• Health Action Zone
• Health First
• Hospital and Prison Action Network
• London Dyslexia Association
• London Ecumenical Aids Trust
• LSL Health Alliance
• Maudsley Befrienders & Volunteers
• Maudsley Social Work Team
• Maudsley Volunteers
• Mental Health Project
• Oasis Health Centre
• Phoenix Women's Health
• Southwark Health Alliance
• Southwark HIV & Aids Users Group
• Southwark Phoenix Women's Health Organisation
• St Christopher's Hospice
• Terence Higgins Trust
• Aylesbury Health Centre
• Aylesbury Medical Centre
• Bermondsey & Lansdowne Medical Mission
• Blackfriars Medical Centre
• Borough Medical Centre
• Camberwell Green Surgery
• CHSL NHS Trust
• Elm Lodge Surgery
• Falmouth Road Group Practice
• Guy's and St Thomas' NHS Foundation Trust
• Maudsley Hospital
• Old Kent Road
• Parkside Medical Centre
• Princess Street Health Centre
• SHA Strategic Health Authority Southside
• The Diffley Practice
• The Grange Road Practice
• Townley Clinic
• Walworth Clinic
• Walworth Road Health Centre

Transport
• Green Lanes & REPA
• Lambeth and Southwark Community Transport (LASCoT)
• Living Streets
• London Cycling Campaign
• London Transport Users Committee
• Southwark Community Transport
• Southwark Cyclists
• Southwark Living Streets
• Southwark Pedestrian Rights Group
• Southwark Transport Group
• SUSTRANS

Pensioners/older people
• Age Concern Carers Support Group
• Age Concern Southwark Community Support
• Age Concern Southwark Primary Care Project
• Age Concern Southwark: Head Office
• Association of Greater London Older Women (AGLOW)
• Aylesbury Pensioners Group
• Bermondsey Care for the Elderly
• Bermondsey Pensioners Action Group
• East Dulwich Pensioners Action Group
• East Dulwich Pensioners Group
• Fifty+ Activity Club
• Golden Oldies Club
• Golden Oldies Community Care Project
• Golden Oldies Luncheon Club
• Local Authority Elderly Home
• Old Age Directorate
• Over 50's Club  
• Pensioners Club  
• Pensioners' Forum  
• Pensioners Pop-In (Borough Community Centre)  
• Rockingham Over 50's  
• Rotherhithe Pensioners Action Group  
• South Asian Elderly Organisation  
• Southwark Black Elderly Group  

Disability
• Action for Blind People  
• Action for Blind People (Training Centre)  
• Action for Dysphasic Adults  
• Age Concern Southwark Black Elders Mentally Frail  
• Bede Learning Disabilities Project  
• Cambridge House Literacy Project  
• Handicapped Playground Ass  
• IBA for Children & Adults with Mental & Physical Disabilities  
• Keskiidee Arts for Disabled People  
• Latin American Disabled People's Project  

Refugee Groups/Recent Immigrants
• Refugee Housing Association  
• Refugee Youth  
• South London Refugee Youth  
• Southwark Day Centre for Asylum Seekers  
• Southwark Refugee Artists Network  
• Southwark Refugee Communities Forum  
• Southwark Refugee Education Project  
• Southwark Refugee Project  
• The Refugee Council  

Lesbian, gay, bisexual and transgender
• Southwark LGBT Network  

• Southwark Irish Pensioners  
• Southwark Muslim Pensioners Group  
• Southwark Pensioners Action Group  
• Southwark Pensioners Centre  
• Southwark Pensioners Forum  
• Southwark Turkish Elderly  

• Organisation of Blind African Caribbeans  
• Sainsbury's Centre for Mental Health  
• Sherrie Eugene Community Deaf Association  
• Southwark Disabilities Forum c/o CIDU  
• Southwark Disablement Association  
• Southwark Multiple Sclerosis Society  
• Southwark Phoenix and Leisure Club for People with Disabilities
Other Consultees

- Age Concern
- British Waterways, Canal owners and navigation authorities (Port of London)
- Centre for Ecology and Hydrology
- Southwark Chamber of Commerce
- Church Commissioners
- Commission for Architecture and the Built Environment (CABE)
- Commission for New Towns and English Partnerships
- Crown Estate Office
- Civil Aviation Authority
- English Partnerships
- Commission for Racial Equality
- Department of the Environment, Food and Rural Affairs
- Regional Public Health Group - London
- Diocesan Board of Finance
- Disability Rights Commission
- Disabled Persons Transport Advisory Committee
- H.M Prison Service
- Highways Agency
- Home Office
- National Grid
- Council for the Protection of Rural England (CPRE)
- London Wildlife Trust
- Royal Society for the Protection of Birds (RSPB)
- Equal Opportunities Commission
- Fields in Trust (formerly the National Playing Fields Association)
- Fire and Rescue Services
- Friends of the Earth Southwark
- Forestry Commission
- Freight Transport Association
- Gypsy Council
- Health and Safety Executive
- Help the Aged
- Housing Corporation
- Learning and Skills Council
- Southwark Equalities Council
- Regional Housing Boards
- Railfreight Group
- Road Haulage Association
- House Builders Federation
- Traveller Law Reform Coalition
- London Transport Buses
- London Underground
- National Disability Council Secretariat
- National Grid Company Plc.
- Network Rail
- Police/Crime Prevention
- Port of London Authority
- Post Office Property Holdings
- Southern Railway
- Sport England - London Region
- Thameslink Trains
- Transport for London
- Women's National Commission
- Southwark Volunteer Centre
Consultation Report

Appendix C

2004 Mail out letter
Dear


Southwark Council has recently prepared draft supplementary planning guidance (SPG) notes for Dulwich. This guidance will be an important tool in determining future planning applications in the Dulwich area, providing the community, including residents, businesses and prospective developers, with a clear view of the LPA’s expectations for the area.

Southwark Council wishes to obtain the views of local residents, businesses and other interested groups on the draft SPG and the document will be available for public inspection from October 29, 2004 until January 28, 2004. It can be viewed on the Council’s website at www.southwark.gov.uk/OurServices/Planning/planningpublications or alternatively, if you would like a paper copy, please contact Kirstin Clow on 020 7525 5614.

If you wish to comment on the SPG, you can do so until January 28, 2004, by sending written comments to Sarah Beuden, London Borough of Southwark, Regeneration, Chiltern House, Portland Street, London SE17 2ES.

Coinciding with the consultation on the draft Dulwich SPG, the Council’s Conservation and Design Team are seeking views on proposals to extend the existing Dulwich Village conservation area.

A conservation area is officially defined as “an area of special architectural or historic interest, the character or appearance of which it is desirable to preserve or enhance”. Dulwich Village conservation area was designated in 1968, when it was one of the earliest such areas in the country to be declared. Its boundary contains the historic core of the village and the Dulwich College buildings to the south. Apart from a small extension in 1971 the designated Conservation Area has remained unchanged for over 30 years.

The Council is of the view that much of the wider area surrounding the existing Conservation Area is also of special architectural or historic interest and makes a valuable contribution to those qualities that make Dulwich Village special.

We are proposing that two areas be added. They are shown on the enclosed plan with a broken outline. The existing conservation area boundary is marked with a continuous line.

At the same time as proposing extensions to the Dulwich Village conservation area, a draft Conservation Area Appraisal of Dulwich Village has been prepared. This document seeks to define and assess the area’s character and to provide a sound basis for rational and consistent planning.
judgements when considering planning applications within the area. It is also intended to provide a clear indication of the Council’s approach to the preservation and enhancement of the area and a guide for further development.

While we feel that our proposals for the extension of the conservation area would satisfactorily delineate the extent of Dulwich Village’s special architectural or historic interest, the boundaries are still far from being set in stone. We would very much welcome your suggestions on areas that you feel should be included or excluded within the Conservation Area and any comments that you have on the draft Conservation Area Appraisal. For example, should we have included James Allen’s Girls School in East Dulwich Grove? Should we include the group of houses between Alleyn Park and the railway embankment? Is our proposed boundary in Court Lane the right one?

The consultation period on the proposed extension to the Dulwich Village Conservation Area and the draft Conservation Area Appraisal will be from October 29 until November 19 2004. A report on all of the responses to this consultation will be presented to the Council’s Planning Committee on Tuesday 7 December 2004.

Copies of the draft Conservation Area Appraisal are available, free of charge, and can be obtained locally from:

- Dulwich Village Post Office, 84 Dulwich Village;
- Harvey & Wheeler, Estate Agents, 27 Dulwich Village; and
- Wates Residential, Estate Agents, 119 Dulwich Village.

Copies can also be obtained from Paul Calvocoressi, Design and Conservation Team on 020-7525-5392.

If you wish to comment on the proposed extension to the Dulwich Village Conservation Area and the draft Conservation Area Appraisal, you can do so until November 19 2004, by sending written comments to:

Paul Calvocoressi, London Borough of Southwark, Regeneration, Chiltern House, Portland Street, London SE17 2ES.

Yours sincerely

Sarah Beuden
Planning and Regeneration Policy Officer
sarah.beuden@southwark.gov.uk
www.southwark.gov.uk/udp
Consultation Report

Appendix D

2009 Mail out letter
15 June 2009

Dear

Consultation on the draft Dulwich Supplementary Planning Document (SPD) and
Invitation to focus group session on open spaces

The draft Dulwich SPD has been published for your comments
The draft Dulwich SPD is a planning document that provides guidance on how new
development in Dulwich should occur so that it maintains and enhances the area’s
unique character. It covers the following topics; the height, scale and character of new
development, what uses should be included in new development, traffic and parking
issues; providing for pedestrians and cyclists; and protecting and improving open
spaces.

Once adopted the SPD will be used to decide if planning applications in Dulwich
should be approved.

You can comment on the draft SPD from Friday 15 May to Friday 26 June 2009.

Where to get copies
The draft SPD and supporting documents are available to view and download from
our website at:
www.southwark.gov.uk/YourServices/planningandbuildingcontrol/planningpolicy/SPD
sandSPGs/dulwichspd.html

You can also view the SPD at the locations attached to this letter. Paper copies are
available from the Planning Policy team by phoning 020 7525 5471 or emailing
planningpolicy@southwark.gov.uk.

How to comment
To comment on the SPD please fill in our representation form which is available from
the same locations as the SPD.

Please return representation forms to planningpolicy@southwark.gov.uk or by
completing a representation form and posting it to:
All comments must be received by 5pm Friday 26 June 2009.

If you have any further queries about any of these documents please contact us at planningpolicy@southwark.gov.uk or on 0207 525 5471.

Focus group session on open spaces

We are carrying out a study of open spaces in Southwark to help us ensure we plan and create open spaces that meet the needs of local people. We have organised another focus group session and encourage you to come to share your experiences and thoughts about open spaces in Southwark.

Monday June 1 2009, 6pm-8pm
Keyworth Centre, London South Bank University, Keyworth Street, SE1 6NG

The session will be run by sport and leisure consultancy PMP and will help us gain a better understanding of local people’s experiences of open spaces and what you feel is important to improving and managing them.

To find out more and to RSVP please contact PMP on southwarkopenspace@pmpconsult.com or 0161 235 5570.

You can also send us your views by completing the online questionnaire at: www.pmpconsult.com/news/online_questionnaire.html

Yours sincerely

Juliet Seymour
Planning Policy Manager
You can view the draft Dulwich Supplementary Planning Document at the following locations;

**Council offices (Opening times 9am-5pm Monday-Friday)**
- Town Hall - Peckham Road, London, SE5 8UB

**Libraries (Opening times listed individually below)**
- Blue Anchor Library - Market Place, Southwark Park Road, SE16 3UQ  
  *(Monday, Tuesday and Thursday 9am to 7pm, Friday 10am to 6pm, Saturday 9am to 5pm)*
- Brandon Library - Maddock Way, Cooks Road, SE17 3NH  
  *(Monday 10am to 6pm, Tuesday and Thursday 10am to 7pm, Saturday 10am to 5pm)*
- Camberwell Library - 17-21 Camberwell Church Street, SE5 8TR  
  *(Monday, Tuesday and Thursday 9am to 8pm, Friday 10am to 6pm, Saturday 9am to 5pm)*
- Dulwich Library - 368 Lordship Lane, SE22 8NB  
  *(Monday, Thursday and Friday 9am to 8pm, Tuesday 10am to 8pm, Saturday 9am to 5pm Sun 12pm to 4pm)*
- East Street Library - 168-170 Old Kent Road, SE1 5TY  
  *(Monday and Thursday 10am to 7pm, Tue 10am to 6pm, Sat 10am to 5pm)*
- Grove Vale Library - 25-27 Grove Vale, SE22 8EQ  
  *(Monday and Thursday 10am to 7pm, Tuesday 10am to 6pm, Saturday 10am to 5pm)*
- John Harvard Library - 211 Borough High Street, SE1 1JA  
  *(Monday, Tuesday and Thursday 10am to 7pm, Wednesday and Friday 10am to 6pm, Saturday 10am to 2pm)*
- Kingswood Library - Seeley Drive, SE21 8QR  
  *(Monday and Thursday 10am to 2pm, Tuesday and Friday 2pm to 6pm, Sat 1pm to 5pm)*
- Newington Library - 155-157 Walworth Road, SE17 1RS  
  *(Monday, Tuesday and Friday 9am to 8pm, Thursday 10am to 8pm, Saturday 9am to 5pm Sunday 10am to 2pm)*
- Nunhead Library - Gordon Road, SE15 3RW  
  *(Monday, Tuesday and Thursday 10am to 7pm, Friday 10am to 6pm, Saturday 10am to 5pm)*
- Peckham Library - 122 Peckham Hill Street, SE15 5JR  
  *(Monday, Tuesday, Thursday and Friday 9am to 8pm, Wednesday 10am to 8pm, Saturday 10am to 5pm, Sunday 12pm to 4pm)*
- Rotherhithe Library - Albion Street, SE16 7HY  
  *(Monday and Thursday 10am to 7pm, Tuesday 10am to 6pm, Saturday 10am to 5pm)*

**Area Housing Offices (Open 9am- 5pm Monday – Friday)**
- Nunhead and Peckham Rye - 27 Bournemouth Road, Peckham, SE15 4UJ
- Dulwich - 41-43 East Dulwich Road, SE22 9BY
- Borough and Bankside - Library Street Borough, London, SE1 0RG
- Camberwell - Harris Street, London, SE5 7RX
- Rotherhithe - 153-159 Abbeyfield Road, Rotherhithe, SE16 2LS

**One Stop Shops (Open 9am-5pm Monday – Friday)**
- Peckham one stop shop - 122 Peckham Hill Street, London, SE15 5JR
- Walworth one stop shop - 151 Walworth Road, London, SE17 1RY
- Bermondsey one stop shop - 17 Spa Road, London, SE16
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2009 2\textsuperscript{nd} Mail out letter
SE228QP

Dear Sir or Madam

Invitation to consultation event and interactive workshop on the draft Dulwich Supplementary Planning Document (SPD)

The draft Dulwich SPD has been published for your comments

The draft Dulwich SPD is a planning document that provides guidance on how new development in Dulwich should occur so that it maintains and enhances the area's unique character. It covers the following topics; the height, scale and character of new development, what uses should be included in new development, traffic and parking issues; providing for pedestrians and cyclists; and protecting and improving open spaces.

Once adopted, the SPD will be considered when Southwark is deciding if planning applications in Dulwich should be approved.

We have extended our consultation period on this document and you can submit your comments on the draft SPD up until 5.00pm, 11 September 2009.

Consultation event and interactive workshop

We would like to take this opportunity to invite you to a consultation event on the Dulwich SPD.

This event will take place from 1pm - 6pm in the Lindbury Room, Dulwich Picture Gallery on 27 August 2009.

As well as displaying information on the document and answering any questions you may have we will also run an interactive workshop from 5pm - 6pm to allow for a more detailed discussion of the document.

Where to get copies

The draft SPD and supporting documents are available to view and download from our website at: www.southwark.gov.uk/YourServices/planningandbuildingcontrol/planningpolicy/SPDsandSPGs/dulwichspd.html

You can also view the SPD at the locations attached to this letter. Paper copies are available from the Planning Policy team by phoning 020 7525 5471 or emailing planningpolicy@southwark.gov.uk.

How to comment

To comment on the SPD please fill in our representation form which is available from the same locations as the SPD.

Please return representation forms to planningpolicy@southwark.gov.uk or by completing a representation form and posting it to:

Freepost SE1919/14
Planning Policy
Southwark Council
London SE1P 5EX

All comment should be submitted by 5pm 11 September 2009.

If you have any further queries about any of these documents please contact us at planningpolicy@southwark.gov.uk or on 0207 525 5471.
Yours faithfully

Julie Seymour
Planning Policy Manager
Consultation Report
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2009 Press notice
NOTICE OF FORMAL CONSULTATION ON THE DULWICH DRAFT SUPPLEMENTARY PLANNING DOCUMENT (SPD)

The Council of the London Borough of Southwark hereby give notice that to enable some crane operation works by London Tower Cranes or their appointed contractor and in the interest of public safety they made an order the effect of which will be to:

i) Prohibit any person from causing any vehicle to proceed into Grove Lane from its junction with Kerfield Place to its junction with Daneville Road.

ii) No person shall cause or permit any vehicle to wait or load at any time in Grove Lane on either side from its junction with Kerfield Place to its junction with Daneville Road.

1. The Council of the London Borough of Southwark hereby give notice that to enable some crane operation works by London Tower Cranes or their appointed contractor and in the interest of public safety they made an order the effect of which will be to:

2. The Council of the London Borough of Southwark hereby give notice that to enable some crane operation works by London Tower Cranes or their appointed contractor and in the interest of public safety they made an order the effect of which will be to:

3. The alternative route will be De Crespigny Park, Denmark Hill, Orpheus Street. All access to residents will still be available and also indicated by the appropriate diversion signs.

4. Without prejudice to the validity of anything done or to any liability incurred in respect of any act or omission in operation of these orders, no person shall cause or permit any vehicle to wait or load on the roads specified in Section 1 of this notice as required by the progress of the works.

5. Nothing in any other article of the notice shall apply to vehicles engaged in the execution of the said works. All access to residents will still be available and also indicated by the appropriate diversion signs.

6. Nothing in this article shall apply to vehicles engaged in the execution of the said works:

7. Nothing in this notice shall apply to anything done with the permission or at the direction of a police constable or traffic warden in uniform.

8. The restrictions will be lifted in force in accordance with the traffic signs displayed.

9. Nothing in this notice shall apply to anything done with the permission or at the direction of a police constable or traffic warden in uniform, or as agreed with the Metropolitan Police and London Borough of Southwark to enable completion of the said works. All access to residents will still be available and also indicated by the appropriate diversion signs.

10. For information regarding these works contact: Chris Mascar on 020 7525 5285 or Sonny Adegen on 020 7525 5436.

DATED this: 14th May 2009

ANDREW DOWNES
Public Realm Division
Road Network Manager
Municipal Offices
151 Walworth Road
London SE17 1RY

THE LONDON BOROUGH OF SOUTHWARK (549 LORDSHIP LANE, SOUTHWARK, LONDON) COMPULSORY PURCHASE ORDER 2009

COMPULSORY PURCHASE OF LAND IN LORDSHIP LANE, SOUTHWARK, LONDON

Notice is hereby given that the London Borough of Southwark made the London Borough of Southwark (549 Lordship Lane, Southwark, London) Compulsory Purchase Order 2009, under Section 17 of the Housing Act 1985. It is about to submit this order to the Secretary of State for confirmation, and if confirmed, the order will authorise the London Borough of Southwark to purchase compulsorily the land described below for the purpose of providing housing accommodation; in particular to acquire the property the subject of this order which is currently on the acquiring authority's empty homes register and has remained empty for a lengthy period of time and is in a state of disrepair in order for it to be brought back into an acceptable state for beneficial housing occupation.

A copy of the order and the map referred to therein have been deposited at the London Borough of Southwark’s offices, Town Hall, 30-32 Peckham Road, London and may be seen at all reasonable hours.

Any objection to the order must be made in writing to the Secretary of State at The Government Office, Sovereign House, 157-161 Milbank, London SW1P 4RR before 9 June 2009 and state the title of the order, the grounds of objection and the objector’s address and interests in the land.

DESCRIPTION OF LAND

House and adjacent gardens at 549 Lordship Lane, Southwark, London as identified on the Order Map.
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2009 Poster
Dulwich Supplementary Planning Document (SPD)

Southwark Council’s planning policy team are currently consulting on a document for Dulwich. This provides guidance on how new development in Dulwich should occur so that it maintains and enhances the area’s unique character. The Dulwich SPD covers the following topics:

• the height, scale and character of new development
• what uses should be included in new development
• traffic and parking issues including provision for pedestrians and cyclists
• protecting and improving open spaces

Area covered by the Dulwich SPD

Get involved!

Please join us at the Lindbury Room, Dulwich Picture Gallery on the 27th August from 13.00pm - 18.00pm to find out more.

An interactive workshop will take place from 17.00pm – 18.00pm for a more detailed discussion of the Dulwich SPD.

For more information please contact Kate Johnson
Email: kate.johnson@southwark.gov.uk
Phone: 0207 525 5345
Consultation Report
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2009 Representation form
Southwark Council
Draft Dulwich Supplementary Planning Document
Representations Form

Please use this form to set out your objection to, or representation in support of, the draft Dulwich supplementary planning document, using a separate form for each section of the SPD you wish to object to. You may photocopy this form or obtain further copies free of charge from the Southwark Council. It is strongly recommended that representations be made on this form. Please complete all sections using black ink in clear writing or typing.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>01 Your name and address:</th>
<th>If you are submitting a representation on behalf of someone other than yourself please state your agents name and address:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Postcode:</td>
<td>Postcode:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Telephone:</td>
<td>Telephone:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E-mail (if applicable):</td>
<td>E-mail (if applicable):</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Organisation you represent (if applicable):</td>
<td>Company Name (if applicable):</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>02 Which section are you commenting on?</th>
<th>Are you: (please tick as appropriate)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Section number:</td>
<td>☐ Objecting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(&amp; or Paragraph)</td>
<td>☐ Supporting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subject:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Please state fully and clearly the reasons why you are objecting or supporting this part of the SPD, using a continuation sheet if necessary.

If objecting to a policy or a proposal, please indicate what changes you are seeking to the SPD which could solve your objection, using a continuation sheet if necessary.

Signature:  
Date:  

Please return all completed forms to:  
PLANNING POLICY  
SOUTHWARK COUNCIL  
REGENERATION & NEIGHBOURHOODS  
FREEPOST SE1919/14  
LONDON  
SE1P 5EX  
Fax: 020 7525 5471  
E-mail: planningpolicy@southwark.gov.uk

Representations should be received no later than 5pm Friday 26 June 2009. If you make a representation the council will confirm in writing that it has been received. We will also notify you when the council intends to adopt the SPD and how you can get a copy of officer responses to your representation. There is no right for your representation to be heard, and it may not be accepted, if it is received after 26 June 2009. For further information contact the Planning Policy Team on: Tel: 020 7525 5471 e-mail: planningpolicy@southwark.gov.uk

Need help completing this form?  
Willowbrook Centre, a charity offering independent, professional planning advice for Southwark, may be able to assist you if you are unable to afford professional fees. All callers receive basic advice. More complicated cases are assessed for eligibility against set criteria. More details are available on their website or by calling Willowbrook on 020 7732 8856.
Southwark Council is committed to making sure that the consultations that we carry out are done so in a fair and non-discriminatory way. To monitor our consultation on the draft Dulwich supplementary planning document, we would be grateful if you could complete the following sections. This information will be treated as confidential and will be separated from the rest of your representation form.

**Please select one of the following:**

**Do you represent**
- Resident
- Non Resident
- Other (please specify)

**What is your gender?**
- Male
- Female

**What is your age group?**
- Under 16
- 16-24
- 25-35
- 36-55
- 56 and over

**What do you consider your ethnic origin to be?**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>White</th>
<th>Black or Black British</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>British</td>
<td>Caribbean</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Irish</td>
<td>African</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gypsy/Roma</td>
<td>Other black background</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gypsy/traveller British heritage</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Traveller of Irish heritage</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other white background</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mixed</th>
<th>Asian or Asian British</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>White and Black Caribbean</td>
<td>Bangladeshi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White and Black African</td>
<td>Indian</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White and Asian</td>
<td>Pakistani</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other mixed background</td>
<td>Other Asian background</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Chinese or Other Ethnic Group**
- Chinese
- Other South East Asian
- Latin America
- Other ethnic group

**Do you consider yourself to have a disability?**
- Yes
- No

If yes please state the nature of the disability:

**Do you consider yourself to be**
- Christian
- Buddhist
- Muslim
- Jewish
- Hindu
- Other religion
- Sikh
- No religion
Ethnic monitoring guidance

Why do we need this information? We need to check whether the way we carry out our business meets our statutory duties under the Race Relations (Amendment) Act 2000. The Act requires us to take steps to:

- Eliminate unlawful racial discrimination
- Promote equality of opportunity
- Promote good race relations.

To ensure we are doing this we need to monitor our services to ensure we meet the needs of the community.

Do you have to fill in the form? You do not have to fill in the form and whatever you chose to do will not affect the service that you receive from the council.

Who will have access to this information? Southwark Council is registered as a data controller under the Data Protection Act 1998. We have a legal requirement to keep your information safe and secure. We will not share your data with any external parties without your consent, unless we are required by law to do so.

Sometimes we collect this information for one council service and need to use it for another. We may also use it for protection and detection of fraud. If you do not wish certain information about you to be exchanged within the council you may request that this does not happen by writing to, Planning and Transport Coordinator Southwark Council, Chiltern, Portland Street, London SE17 2ES

How to fill out the form: Please study the list overleaf and tick one box only to indicate your ethnic background. Please also give your name and address and sign and date the box below returning this section to us.

If you require this document in large print, Braille or audiotape please contact us on 020 7525 5539.

---

One Stop Shops– 151 Walworth Road, SE17 1OY, Peckham Library, Peckham Hill Street, SE15 5JR
Southwark Town Hall, 31, Peckham Road, SE5 8UB.
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Appendix I

Representations on the 2009 draft SPD and Officer comments
### Comments on the draft Dulwich SPD 2009:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Comment Ref</th>
<th>Name of Respondent</th>
<th>Document</th>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Issue</th>
<th>Details of representation</th>
<th>Officer response</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Dulwich Society</td>
<td>SPD</td>
<td></td>
<td>Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Dulwich SPD. In general the document is a positive and a welcome document on detailed aspects of implementing Planning Policy to both new and existing developments. There are a number of items that the Planning and Architecture Group of the Dulwich Society would like Southwark Planning Department and Dulwich Ward Councillors to consider: -</td>
<td>Support noted.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Dulwich Society</td>
<td>SPD</td>
<td></td>
<td>Description of the Dulwich Estate, controls over external appearance, etc It is usual for principle stakeholders in an area to be included in consultation on documents forming part of the Local Development Framework, yet enquiries with the Dulwich Estate reveal that this influential and active stakeholder in the Dulwich area has neither received a copy of the draft Dulwich SPD document nor invitation to comment on the proposals.</td>
<td>The Dulwich Estate is included on the Council’s planning policy mailing list and were consulted in the draft Dulwich SPD 2009. The Council did not receive any comments from the Dulwich Estate during the consultation period. We will contact the Dulwich Estate as part of the consultation carried out in 2013 to see if they wish to make any comments on the draft SPD.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Dulwich Society</td>
<td>SPD</td>
<td></td>
<td>The general description of the Dulwich Estate given in paragraph 2.2 is insufficient and misses important information. In addition to external changes to enfranchised freehold houses, which require a license within the Scheme of Management,</td>
<td>The description of the Dulwich Estate has been amended in the draft SPD 2013 to reflect the wider remit of works which fall under the Dulwich Estate controls. We will contact the Dulwich Estate as part of the consultation carried out in 2013 to see if they wish to make any comments on the</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comment Ref</td>
<td>Name of Respondent</td>
<td>Document</td>
<td>Section</td>
<td>Issue</td>
<td>Details of representation</td>
<td>Officer response</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>there are controls on tree works, on garden structure including decking and sheds, on parking in front gardens, and on its commercial property and leased residential property. If the description is left as it is then the scope of external controls that the Estate has is not made clear and will lead to incorrect assumptions and wasted enquiries.</td>
<td>draft SPD.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Dulwich Society</td>
<td>SPD</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>It is understood that Southwark Planning refer people who want to install vehicle crossovers to their enfranchised houses to apply for a license from the Scheme on management first. This is because parking in the front garden is subject to obtaining a license on a plan which complies with the Dulwich Estate’s Guidelines for Hardstandings in Front Gardens.</td>
<td>This is beyond the remit of the SPD. The description of the Dulwich Estate has been amended in the draft SPD 2013 to reflect the wider remit of works which fall under the Dulwich Estate controls. We will contact the Dulwich Estate as part of the consultation carried out in 2013 to see if they wish to make any comments on the draft SPD.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Dulwich Society</td>
<td>SPD</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>It would be informative if there is a clear reference to all the current Dulwich Estate Guidelines naming the 14 individual guidelines from No.1 Guidelines for tree works to no. 14 Guidelines for Solar Energy Panels.</td>
<td>This is too detailed for the SPD. The description of the Dulwich Estate has been amended in the draft SPD 2013 to reflect the wider remit of works which fall under the Dulwich Estate controls. We will contact the Dulwich Estate as part of the consultation carried out in 2013 to see if they wish to make any comments on the draft SPD.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Dulwich Society</td>
<td>SPD</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>The Planning and Architecture group would like Southwark Planning Department to consult the Dulwich Estate directly to be able to better provide a pertinent, yet full description of the Dulwich Estate’s relevant activities in the Dulwich SPD and it would not go amiss to describe the basis on which the Dulwich Estate is able to apply these controls through Acts of Parliament.</td>
<td>We will contact the Dulwich Estate as part of the consultation carried out in 2013 to see if they wish to make any comments on the draft SPD.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comment Ref</td>
<td>Name of Respondent</td>
<td>Document</td>
<td>Section</td>
<td>Issue</td>
<td>Details of representation</td>
<td>Officer response</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Dulwich Society</td>
<td>SPD</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>To only refer residents in the general area to consult the Dulwich Estate is insufficient information and misleading. A fuller and better description will aid and make more efficient Planning Application matters.</td>
<td>The description of the Dulwich Estate has been amended in the draft SPD 2013 to reflect the wider remit of works which fall under the Dulwich Estate controls. We will contact the Dulwich Estate as part of the consultation carried out in 2013 to see if they wish to make any comments on the draft SPD.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| 8           | Dulwich Society    | SPD      |         |       | The map showing the area of the Dulwich Estate  
The map, figure 2 which says it covers the area under the Dulwich Estate Scheme of Management is not accurate. There are substantial as well as small areas within the dark blue lined area which are not part of the Dulwich Estate. For example, the Kingswood estate, Dulwich College, the Picture Gallery, Old College Lawn tennis and Cricket club and Dulwich Park which cover substantial areas of the map.  
Leaving the map as it is will result in a mistaken understanding of whether or not external alterations should be referred to the Dulwich Estate as well as or instead of Southwark Planning and unnecessary enquiries being directed to the Dulwich Estate and/or Southwark Planning. It would be helpful to residents and other people consulting the adopted Dulwich SPD if the map were accurate. A much larger scale map would assist in making it more legible, aid and make | The map of the land owned by the Dulwich Estate is the latest information we have. We will contact the Dulwich Estate as part of the consultation carried out in 2013 to see if they wish to make any comments on the draft SPD. |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Comment Ref</th>
<th>Name of Respondent</th>
<th>Document</th>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Issue</th>
<th>Details of representation</th>
<th>Officer response</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Dulwich Society</td>
<td>SPD</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>EAST DULWICH COMMUNITY CENTRE ON DARRELL ROAD, SE 22</td>
<td>Reference to the East Dulwich Community Centre has been included in the draft Dulwich SPD 2013.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>An important Community Facility in East Dulwich is not mentioned. That is the single storey building and the large playground area of East Dulwich Community Centre located on land between Crystal Palace Road and Darrell Road. It provides venues for the East Dulwich Community Association, the Darrell Road Association and about 50 small and large diverse user groups covering all ages. This amenity is fundamental in providing a better quality of life to local residents. The playground is particularly important to young people and the soft landscape areas are a benefit to all age groups.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>It is understood that the playground has protected status in other Local Development Framework documents and it should be noted that the area between Lordship Lane and Peckham Rye has almost no open space and no similar provision of Community Facilities. The Centre is supported by the Dulwich Community Council and is seen by Ward Councillors, who hold there surgeries there, as a key element in providing educational opportunities, a venue for diverse interest groups, and a social venue which benefits the standard of life of a broad range of local residents and promotes community cohesion</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comment Ref</td>
<td>Name of Respondent</td>
<td>Document</td>
<td>Section</td>
<td>Issue</td>
<td>Details of representation</td>
<td>Officer response</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Dulwich Society</td>
<td>SPD</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>in a less affluent area of Dulwich. It is considered that the Centre and its important playground should have a clearly defined statement within the Dulwich SPD which should express support for its continued use and encouragement for its refurbishment along sustainability lines, such as reducing energy consumption and the use of technology to generate energy. It could be identified as a vehicle for promoting low energy consumption within the residential properties of East Dulwich Ward as part of Southwark Sustainability programme.</td>
<td>The Council is preparing an open spaces strategy for the borough which sets out further detail on improvements to existing open spaces. The sub-area strategy for Dulwich has identified some areas where it is considered there is a deficiency in access to parks. We recognise that there is the potential to improve the recreation facilities at Dawson heights. The Southwark Play strategy has also identified that there is insufficient children's play facilities within the sub-area. The open space strategy identifies the potential for new children's play facilities to be secured at Dawson heights and Long Meadow. We have also set out a recommendation for new open space provision to be secured as part of the redevelopment of the East Dulwich Hospital.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

MORE OUTDOOR FACILITIES FOR YOUNG PEOPLE IN EAST DULWICH WARD

If suitable sites became available amidst all the housing in East Dulwich, the Council are asked to include a reference in the Dulwich SPD to consider whether such sites be designated or acquired as Open Space, or for Sports/Play provision. There is a very sad lack of facilities for young people throughout the area which emphasises the important role of the East Dulwich Community Centre for young people. For many it is a long walk to get to Dulwich Park or Peckham Rye Park.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Comment Ref</th>
<th>Name of Respondent</th>
<th>Document</th>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Issue</th>
<th>Details of representation</th>
<th>Officer response</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Dulwich Society</td>
<td>SPD</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Include within the Core Strategy document the community facility of the East Dulwich Community Centre as well as in the Dulwich SPD.</td>
<td>Site. We have amended the Dulwich SPD to reflect the findings of the open space strategy. It should be noted that it is beyond the remit of the SPD to designate new protected open spaces, we will investigate this further during the preparation of the New Southwark Plan which is due to begin in 2013.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>As advised in Ian McInnes email on the SPD this is a facility with a playground that is of great importance to the residents of East Dulwich. It provides a venue for 50 individual groups to undertake their activities. The Centre promotes young learning and sporting activities among others things. It is used by the community for social events and local ward councillors for their workshops. All these activities mean that it is an important focus of community life and community cohesion.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<p>| 12          | Michelle Pearce          | SPD      |         |       | There is no mention in the document of a vital community resource in East Dulwich, namely the East Dulwich Community Centre in Darrell Road. This is a serious omission. There are no open spaces within East Dulwich Ward and, aside from the churches, no other place where local people can meet. For young | The draft Dulwich SPD 2013 has been amended to make reference to the East Dulwich Community Centre.                                                                                                                                                              |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Comment Ref</th>
<th>Name of Respondent</th>
<th>Document</th>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Issue</th>
<th>Details of representation</th>
<th>Officer response</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Michelle Pearce</td>
<td>SPD</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>I am concerned that no mention is made of the pressure on local state primary schools if more Dulwich Village land is designated for housing. There is already a shortage of places for children residing in SE24. If land becomes available in SE24, I believe it should be designated (in part, at least) for education (but see point 5. re 3.10 below).</td>
<td>We recognise that there is a need for new secondary places across the borough. The SPD sets out how we will seek to address this through a new 5FE Aylesbury Academy in Walworth and a new 4FE in Rotherhithe. It is beyond the remit of the SPD to allocate sites for development. We will investigate this further through the preparation of the New Southwark Plan.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Michelle Pearce</td>
<td>SPD</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.3 - The fact that Sydenham Hill, West Dulwich and North Dulwich railway stations are all accessed only by a large number of stairs means that disabled and many elderly people cannot use the trains. Bus transport in the western parts of College and Village Wards is very poor. The Council should encourage the installation of lifts in these stations.</td>
<td>This is beyond the remit of the SPD however our Transport Plan sets out a policy to lobby TfL and other public transport providers to improve service levels and access to public transport.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Michelle Pearce</td>
<td>SPD</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.3 - Therefore, I would also like to see a commitment in the plan to promote a bus route through the Dulwich area, from Crystal Palace through the Village and on to East Dulwich and Camberwell. This route would relieve some of the traffic generated by local schools, almost all of which lie adjacent or near to a</td>
<td>It is recognised in the draft Dulwich SPD that large parts of the area remain relatively poorly served by public transport. Our strategy is to improve public transport in the area. Our Transport Plan sets out a policy to lobby TfL and other public transport providers to improve service levels and</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comment Ref</td>
<td>Name of Respondent</td>
<td>Document</td>
<td>Section</td>
<td>Issue</td>
<td>Details of representation</td>
<td>Officer response</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>Michelle Pearce</td>
<td>SPD</td>
<td>3.10</td>
<td></td>
<td>The excessive traffic generated by the large number of private schools in the Dulwich Village area is the result of past planning failure to limit the expansion of these schools. I would like to see a commitment to the proposition that further expansion of private school provision in Dulwich Village will be resisted because of the additional traffic this would generate. Private school pupils, on the whole, come from outside the immediate area.</td>
<td>It is beyond the remit of the SPD to restrict parking in connection with private schools. However, our Transport Plan sets a policy to work with the school community to encourage more children to travel to school sustainably. Any significant proposals for expansions of existing schools would require a travel plan to be submitted which demonstrate that the proposal would have no adverse impact on the transport network.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>Michelle Pearce</td>
<td>SPD</td>
<td>3.15</td>
<td></td>
<td>I strongly support the Draft Plan wording regarding the future of the Herne Hill Velodrome. Some flexibility regarding buildings on this Metropolitan Open Land site is needed if the facility is to be refurbished and sustainable in future years. The Velodrome is an important facility for local people and the wider community. It has historic associations which predate the development of housing in the surrounding area.</td>
<td>Support noted. We have amended the wording in the Dulwich SPD 2013 to support future proposals that support the long term viability of the velodrome and the club.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>Mark Treasure</td>
<td>SPD</td>
<td>Section 3.1</td>
<td>&quot;Most Development will be smaller, in-fill developments.&quot;</td>
<td>Not only &quot;will be&quot;. Small in-fill has been intensive for many years, there are few gaps left. The Annual Monitoring Report (AMR) records only major developments, and thus records almost no</td>
<td>This section has been removed. Further information on in-fill development is now set in Section 5.5 of the draft Dulwich SPD.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comment Ref</td>
<td>Name of Respondent</td>
<td>Document</td>
<td>Section</td>
<td>Issue</td>
<td>Details of representation</td>
<td>Officer response</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>Mark Treasure</td>
<td>SPD</td>
<td>Section 3.1</td>
<td>“Relevant policies include Policy 3.13, 3.14, 3.15...” (etc. 13 numbers) Why not include 7.8, 7.9, and 3.12 which are specifically relevant to this section? These strings of dissociated numbers are not particularly helpful. This applies to every section.</td>
<td>Policy 3.12 has been included in the list of policies relevant to this section (now section 5 of the SPD). Policies 7.8 and 7.9 have been deleted and are replaced by the visions set out in the Core Strategy. We have amended the approach to setting out our policies in relation to each section of the additional guidance set out in the SPD.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>Mark Treasure</td>
<td>SPD</td>
<td>Section 3.2</td>
<td>The table “PTAL Score” introduces a new banding structure. I suggest you use instead the bandings that are relevant to the policies that you quote, ie 2-3 and 4-6.</td>
<td>The PTAL table has been removed from the draft Dulwich SPD.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>Mark Treasure</td>
<td>SPD</td>
<td>Section 3.2</td>
<td>You should specify that Table 3.2 sets a limit of 450hr/ha where the PTAL is less than 4. The upper limit of 700 applies only where the PTAL is 4-6 which in the Dulwich SPD area occurs only in the immediate vicinity of East Dulwich and Herne Hill Stations. This section has been removed from the draft Dulwich SPD to reflect the fact that all of the SPD area now falls within a suburban zone following the adoption of the Core Strategy in April 2011. The appropriate density for this area is considered to be 200 to 350 habitable rooms per hectare.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>Mark Treasure</td>
<td>SPD</td>
<td>Section 3.2</td>
<td>Your vision is to redesignate the section of urban zone to suburban: “This would mean that lower scale development will be encouraged across all of Dulwich” “Encouraged” sounds like more development in smaller parcels. Do you mean to state that the scale will be lower? This section has been removed from the draft Dulwich SPD to reflect the fact that all of the SPD area now falls within a suburban zone following the adoption of the Core Strategy in April 2011. The appropriate density for this area is considered to be 200 to 350 habitable rooms per hectare.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comment Ref</td>
<td>Name of Respondent</td>
<td>Document</td>
<td>Section</td>
<td>Issue</td>
<td>Details of representation</td>
<td>Officer response</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- How do you propose to achieve the redesignation and will you achieve it before all the back land is gone?
- How, in practice, will the name of the zone restrict the scale of a development? I suggest the required evidence would be examples of developments processed before the final amendments to the UDP, while the area was designated “suburban zone north”, and while the Council was strongly promoting that designation.

I suggest there is much scope for ensuring lower scale development under the present designation:

- Apply the lower density ranges as specified for the lower PTAL levels.
- Measure densities for all applications and record subsequent developments, including extensions?
- Refuse applications that exceed Policy densities?
- Involve the people? Southwark's Statement of Community Involvement (SCI – table 11, Statutory Consultation) states that the site notice and advertisements will inform us, when an application is not in accordance with Policy. This is required by the Town and Country Planning (Development Plans and
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Comment Ref</th>
<th>Name of Respondent</th>
<th>Document</th>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Issue</th>
<th>Details of representation</th>
<th>Officer response</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Consultation</strong> (Departures) Directions 1999. <strong>Review the cumulative effects of the policies in practice and interpret those policies accordingly? Eg Southwark is well ahead on its targets for new housing. The current rate is twice the target and the 2016 target will be delivered up to 5 years early. (AMR Sections 49/50). Should you therefore apply the lower end of a specified density range in favour of other community requirements?</strong></td>
<td><strong>A sustainability appraisal has been prepared alongside the draft Dulwich SPD to assess the social, environmental and economic impacts of the SPD. The sustainability appraisal has identified that the guidance in the SPD will help to ensure development is sustainable. The Dulwich SPD is also in conformity with the Core Strategy which was also tested through a</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>Mark Treasure</td>
<td>SPD</td>
<td>Section 3.5</td>
<td>You cover “Sustainability” in relation to individual developments, but not to the neighbourhood. This would require management of development at a higher level. Eg you report (2.3) that 30% travel to work by car. The need to travel for every purpose increases if every development is for housing with a loss of all other uses. This SDP should coordinate with the Core Strategy, Policy 4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comment Ref</td>
<td>Name of Respondent</td>
<td>Document</td>
<td>Section</td>
<td>Issue</td>
<td>Details of representation</td>
<td>Officer response</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mark Treasure</td>
<td>SPD</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>The place</td>
<td>Section 3.6 “The place” is not all suburban and open, though I believe East Dulwich is, nonetheless, pleasant. Half of the people in the Dulwich SPD area live in that “small section of the SPD area to the north” that is “urban”. You should mention that the UDP map shows that urban part as the largest area of the highest level of open space deprivation in the borough. (UDP Appendix 11 fig 8)</td>
<td>The Council is preparing an open spaces strategy for the borough which sets out further detail on improvements to existing open spaces. The sub-area strategy for Dulwich has identified some areas where it is considered there is a deficiency in access to parks. We recognise that there is the potential to improve the recreation facilities at Dawson heights. We have also set out a recommendation for new open space provision to be secured as part of the redevelopment of the East Dulwich Hospital Site. We have amended the Dulwich SPD to reflect the findings of the open space strategy.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>Mark Treasure</td>
<td>SPD</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>If Dulwich is not suitable for back land development, why is it so common in East Dulwich? Back land development sites are frequently created or enlarged by reducing the area of existing sites, perhaps to create an access route. If an existing housing site is reduced in area, then its density has been increased. Do you require a planning application to increase the density of such donor sites?</td>
<td>Further guidance on back-land development has been set out in section 5.4 of the draft Dulwich SPD 2013. Whilst Dulwich is generally not considered to be an area suitable for back-land development we have set out some criteria to identify where proposals may be acceptable.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

and 2B “Promote sustainable use of resources. New housing is located near to community facilities, shops, offices and leisure facilities.” As Sustainability Appraisals apply only to major development, they are ineffective in Dulwich.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Comment Ref</th>
<th>Name of Respondent</th>
<th>Document</th>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Issue</th>
<th>Details of representation</th>
<th>Officer response</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>Mark Treasure</td>
<td>SPD</td>
<td>Section 3.9</td>
<td>I suggest you also list dedicated community facilities. This would include, for example, the East Dulwich Community Centre, which has 50 member groups, serving all ages, and a wide range of health, cultural and religious groups. It is managed by volunteers and supported by grants from the Dulwich Community Council. Its premises include the only publicly accessible playground in East Dulwich, at a distance of 800m from the nearest open space.</td>
<td>The draft Dulwich SPD 2013 has been amended to make reference to the East Dulwich Community Centre.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>Mark Treasure</td>
<td>SPD</td>
<td>Section 3.9</td>
<td>You state that new developments, where appropriate, should contribute towards the provision of community facilities. “Should” is nice but, if they are not major developments, there is no obligation, so why should they?</td>
<td>Our approach to planning obligations is set out in our S106 SPD. Further information is also set out in our draft Community Infrastructure Levy charging schedule. Major developments are expected to contribute to community facilities. We cannot require contributions from developments of less than 10 units or 1000sqm.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>Mark Treasure</td>
<td>SPD</td>
<td>Section 3.13</td>
<td>In the Kingswood Estate “many of the shops are vacant”. • What percentage of these protected shops are vacant? • For how long and why? • Are the rents perhaps set too high? These shops are continually at risk, because UDP Policy 1.10 permits change of use if a “protected” shop is vacant or unprofitable. The Council has a conflict of interest on these shops as both LPA and Landlord. Has the</td>
<td>This section has been removed from the draft Dulwich SPD 2013. The Council will continue to protect existing shopping frontages using saved Southwark Plan policy 1.9.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comment Ref</td>
<td>Name of Respondent</td>
<td>Document</td>
<td>Section</td>
<td>Issue</td>
<td>Details of representation</td>
<td>Officer response</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Council published its policies on commercial rents? I suggest this is required by article 6 of the Town and Country (Local Development) Regulations 2004: “...documents... which must be specified as LDDs: (a) any document containing statements of (i) the development and use of land which the LPA wish to encourage... (ii) (ii) objectives relating to design and access which the LPA wish to encourage (iii) any environmental, social and economic objectives which are relevant to the attainment of the development and use of land mentioned in paragraph (i) (iv) the authority's general policies in respect to the matters referred to in paragraphs (i) to (iii).”</td>
<td>The Council is no longer asking for development sites to be put forward for inclusion in the SPD. This will be taken forward through the preparation of the New Southwark Plan which is due to begin in 2013. The East Dulwich community centre will continue to be protected by saved Southwark Plan policy 2.1.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>Mark Treasure</td>
<td>SPD</td>
<td>Section 3.16</td>
<td>It seems inconsistant to ask us to nominate sites for development, when the document elsewhere promotes reduced development, by changing “urban” to “suburban”, and by ending backland development. As the Council already knows its own sites, new suggestions would be for private development and therefore unlikely to deliver anything other than housing. I think you should instead ask us to nominate sites of significance in the neighbourhood. I will therefore nominate for continued protection the East Dulwich Community Centre. It was taken off the Council's disposals list, and the</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comment Ref</td>
<td>Name of Respondent</td>
<td>Document</td>
<td>Section</td>
<td>Issue</td>
<td>Details of representation</td>
<td>Officer response</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Dulwich Community Council grant was unfrozen, after the UDP Inquiry Inspector confirmed the entire site was protected.</td>
<td>The Council cannot negotiate funding for smaller development schemes however other sources of funding will also be sought to deliver improvements. We will continue to apply the Council's S106 toolkit to major developments that come forward in the area and we will be introducing a Community Infrastructure Levy, in line with National policy in 2013.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>Mark Treasure</td>
<td>SPD</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Section 3.17 The Council has opted to demand S106 contributions only from major developments and there are no major developments in Dulwich. Furthermore, S106 funds are not transferable across the Borough, so S106 is hardly relevant in this SPD. The Local Area Policies 7.8, 7.9 and 7.10, listed in Appendix 2 include the same wishful thinking: “Proposed developments in (the specified local area) should seek improvements to services by increasing the number and quality of community and health facilities.”</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>Mark Treasure</td>
<td>SPD</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>I note that you make no mention, in this SPD, of affordable housing. It is effectively irrelevant in the Dulwich SPD area because Southwark has opted to demand affordable housing only from major developments. However, all 3 wards in Dulwich include pockets of multiple deprivation, so I suggest a statement on the absence of affordable housing would be appropriate in this document.</td>
<td>Our approach to affordable housing is set out in the adopted Core Strategy and the Affordable Housing SPD 2011.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>Mark Treasure</td>
<td>SPD</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>S106, Sustainability Appraisals and Affordable Housing, all apply only to major developments and therefore are effectively irrelevant to the delivery of the Council's plans for Dulwich. The only significant means available to the</td>
<td>The Dulwich SPD provides further guidance on the policies in the Core Strategy and other planning policy documents and how these should be applied in Dulwich. Whilst it is recognised that there is limited scope of</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Comment Ref</th>
<th>Name of Respondent</th>
<th>Document</th>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Issue</th>
<th>Details of representation</th>
<th>Officer response</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Council for the delivery of its declared social objectives is through the use and development of land that it directly owns. I suggest that the Council is required to disclose its own economic interests by article 6 of the Town and Country (Local Development) Regulations 2004.</td>
<td>major new development, the SPD provides guidance on how smaller proposals should respect the character of the area.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>Burbage Road Resident's Association</td>
<td>SPD</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Introduction</strong>&lt;br&gt;This document sets out the response of the Burbage Road Residents Association to the section of the draft Dulwich Supplementary Planning Document (“draft SPD”) dealing with the Herne Hill Velodrome (“HHV”).</td>
<td>Noted.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>Burbage Road Resident's Association</td>
<td>SPD</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>What is the SPD for?</strong>&lt;br&gt;“This SPD does not create new policy, but provides detailed guidance on how our current planning policies will be applied to different types of development…”&lt;br&gt;&lt;br&gt;The SPD is important (a material consideration) in helping the council make decisions about planning applications.” (Part 1, draft DSPD)&lt;br&gt;&lt;br&gt;1. For the SPD to anticipate, and in effect approve in advance, a specific future proposal for the HHV site for “a health or leisure facility with ancillary offices and hospitality uses” is not the</td>
<td>This section has been amended in the draft Dulwich SPD 2013 (section 9.3). We have set out how any proposals for the site will need to meet the requirements of saved Southwark Plan policy 3.25 and respect the openness of the metropolitan open land.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comment Ref</td>
<td>Name of Respondent</td>
<td>Details of representation</td>
<td>Officer response</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
|             | Burbage Road Resident's Association | expressed intention of this document.  
2. Further, as we set out below, for such a development to be located in this residential location which is "poorly served by public transport" is, on the face of it, contrary to several policies in the Southwark Plan, including those concerning the location of developments and the protection of Metropolitan Open Land. | This section has been amended in the draft Dulwich SPD 2013 to ensure that future proposals should continue to support the use of the velodrome and do not have a negative impact on the residential amenity of the existing surrounding properties. Any proposal for development on the site will need to comply with saved Southwark Plan policies including policies 1.8 location of development for retail and other town centre uses and 5.1 Locating developments. |

Paragraph 3.15 of the draft Dulwich Supplementary Planning Document ("draft SPD") states as follows with regard to the HHV Site:-

*The Herne Hill Velodrome is one of the oldest cycling track venues in the world built in 1891. It hosted the track cycle racing events in the 1908 and 1948 Summer Olympics. The grandstand originally dates from 1891 and still remains on the site although it is now boarded up. In the centre of the track is a football pitch and the Velodrome was home of Crystal Palace F.C. from 1914 until 1918, when the club then moved to The Nest opposite Selhurst Station. The site is poorly served by public transport and therefore means of transport and access to the site will need to be considered. Proposals for this site could include a health or leisure facility with ancillary offices and*
Hospitality uses. The existing Velodrome should be retained and proposals could be for high quality developments that are of exceptional design and would allow for refurbishment of the track and BMX track. Proposals should not have a negative impact on the residential amenity of the existing surrounding properties.

Development Aims:
- Redevelop the site as a sports / leisure facility
- Retain and refurbish the existing velodrome track and turf
- Opportunity to redevelop the existing building as a new 2 to 3 storey
- Sports / leisure facility of exceptional design quality with ancillary offices and hospitality use
- Removal of the demountable buildings to the north of the site offers the opportunity to replace with new buildings of exceptional design quality providing additional leisure facilities
- Refurbish adjacent BMX track
- New development should increase footpaths and cycleways from the site into the surrounding areas

**Retail and leisure uses/location of developments – guidance from the Southwark Plan**

Policy 1.8 of the Southwark Plan provides as follows with regard to the "Location of
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Comment Ref</th>
<th>Name of Respondent</th>
<th>Document</th>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Issue</th>
<th>Details of representation</th>
<th>Officer response</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
|             |                    |          |         |       | “179 Outside of the town and local centres, developments for retail, leisure, entertainment and other town and local centre uses, will only be permitted if the following criteria are met:

- i. A need for the development can be demonstrated; and
- ii. There are no suitable sites available in town and local centres, in which case preference will be given to edge-of-centre sites with good pedestrian access to the town and local centres and then out-of-centre sites close to and potentially linked to the town or local centre; and
- iii. The vitality and viability of the town and local centres will not be harmed; and
- iv. The applicants can demonstrate that they have been flexible about the format, scale, design and parking provision in the sequential search for sites; and

- v. The proposal will be accessible by a choice of means of transport.”

In the Southwark Plan, Policy 5.1 (Locating developments) provides as follows:--

“414 The location of development throughout the borough must be appropriate to the size and trip generating characteristics of the
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Comment Ref</th>
<th>Name of Respondent</th>
<th>Document</th>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Issue</th>
<th>Details of representation</th>
<th>Officer response</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>Burbage Road</td>
<td>Metropolitan Open Land</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>development. Major developments generating a significant number of trips should be located near transport nodes. Where new major developments are not located within easy access of public transport nodes, applicants must demonstrate that sustainable transport options are available to site users. Where these are not available, applicants must propose measures to promote sustainable travel.”</td>
<td>This section has been amended in the draft Dulwich SPD 2013 to ensure that future</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comment Ref</td>
<td>Name of Respondent</td>
<td>Document</td>
<td>Section</td>
<td>Issue</td>
<td>Details of representation</td>
<td>Officer response</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Resident’s Association</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Policy 3.25 of the Southwark Plan states that:-</td>
<td>proposals continue to support the use of the velodrome and do not have a negative impact on the open space character, and function of the site. The Herne Hill velodrome is also designated as a Site of Importance for Nature Conservation and therefore any development proposals should have no net loss of biodiversity in accordance with saved Southwark Plan policy 3.28.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Burbage Road Resident’s Association</td>
<td>Designing Out Crime</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>The proposal to “increase footpaths and cycleways from the site into the surrounding areas” is inconsistent with the advice in Southwark’s guidance on Designing Out Crime which, rather than diffusing pedestrian and cycle traffic, requires that in order to maximise safety:-</td>
<td>This has been amended in the draft Dulwich SPD 2013.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comment Ref</td>
<td>Name of Respondent</td>
<td>Document</td>
<td>Section</td>
<td>Issue</td>
<td>Details of representation</td>
<td>Officer response</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><em>networks should be used wherever possible….</em> Footways away from busy routes should be wide and have clear visibility without blind corners; Parks, communal gardens, play areas, residential car parking areas and other public open spaces should only be laid out where they are directly overlooked by regularly occupied buildings or supervised by staff and closed when not staffed…”</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>Burbage Road Resident’s Association</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Amenity</td>
<td>Paragraph 3.15 of the draft SPD provides that “[p]roposals should not have a negative impact on the residential amenity of the existing surrounding properties” (our emphasis). Policy 3.1 of the Southwark Plan states that “Planning permission for development will not be granted where it would cause loss of amenity, including disturbance from noise, to present and future occupiers in the surrounding area or on the application site.” The relevant statement in paragraph 3.15 should refer to amenity not the more qualified concept of residential amenity.</td>
<td>Policy 3.1 is a saved Southwark plan policy and therefore would apply to any proposal for development on the velodrome site. The reference to residential amenity provides further guidance to the Southwark Plan policy, highlighting the importance of the impact of any development proposal on the surrounding residential properties.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>Burbage Road Resident’s Association</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Conservation Area</td>
<td>The draft SPD makes no reference to the fact that the HHV site is in the Dulwich Village Conservation Area. It should make clear that</td>
<td>This has been amended in the draft Dulwich SPD 2013.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comment Ref</td>
<td>Name of Respondent</td>
<td>Document</td>
<td>Section</td>
<td>Issue</td>
<td>Details of representation</td>
<td>Officer response</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>any development proposal must demonstrate that a high priority has been given to the objective of positively preserving or enhancing the character or appearance of the Conservation Area and confirm the principle that outline proposals are not acceptable for any applications affecting conservation areas.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>Burbage Road Resident's Association</td>
<td>SPD</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Conclusions</td>
<td>This wording has been removed in the draft Dulwich SPD 2013.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1. For the SPD to be consistent with its expressed purpose of “provid(ing) detailed guidance on how our current planning policies will be applied to different types of development” the reference in paragraph 3.15 to “Proposals for this site could include a health or leisure facility with ancillary offices and hospitality uses” should be deleted.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>Burbage Road Resident's Association</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2. Paragraph 3.15 of the draft SPD should specify, for the avoidance of doubt, that:-</td>
<td>We have amended the wording in the draft Dulwich SPD to strengthen the references to the current designations to the site. We have also set out the policies that any proposals for the site would be required to address.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>a. No development of sports and leisure facilities will be permitted unless a need for the development can be demonstrated and the site is accessible by a choice of means of transport.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>b. Noting that the HHV site has poor public transport accessibility, permission for any development at HHV will not be granted unless a</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comment Ref</td>
<td>Name of Respondent</td>
<td>Document</td>
<td>Section</td>
<td>Issue</td>
<td>Details of representation</td>
<td>Officer response</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>Phil Brown</td>
<td>SPD</td>
<td>3.10</td>
<td>Traffic &amp; Parking</td>
<td>Little is said in the plan about traffic management which has a significant impact on quality of life and “usability” of Dulwich. I don’t understand why this subject is handled separately in planning terms as it seems integral to the overall system of a neighbourhood. I am particularly concerned about plans for the main crossroad junction in Dulwich Village, the increasing use of calming measures in the area and the possible spread of controlled parking from Herne Hill. There are all unnecessary. In addition, there needs to be some thinking about cyclists – the numbers of cyclists who think it is OK to (a) ignore the normal rules of the road (when they...</td>
<td>The Dulwich SPD sets out our approach to support development that contributes to transport initiatives and infrastructure as identified in the Council's Transport Plan.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comment Ref</td>
<td>Name of Respondent</td>
<td>Document</td>
<td>Section</td>
<td>Issue</td>
<td>Details of representation</td>
<td>Officer response</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>----------------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>Natural England</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(a) and (b) cycle on Dulwich pavements at risk to pedestrians is completely unacceptable.</td>
<td>Noted.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>Natural England</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Natural England is the Government agency that works to conserve and enhance biodiversity and landscapes, promote access to the natural environment, and contribute to the way natural resources are managed so that they can be enjoyed now and by future generations.</td>
<td>We are currently preparing an open spaces strategy for the borough which has identified measures to improve the quality and value of open spaces in Dulwich. The open space strategy has identified a potential opportunity for the provision of new open space as part of the redevelopment of the hospital site. Section 6.3 of the Dulwich SPD sets out our approach to protecting and improving a network of open spaces in the area. Where major developments do come forward we will seek contributions towards open space improvements.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>Natural England</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Chapter 1: Introduction This section refers to protecting and improving Open Spaces which is welcomed, however the Council should also give consideration to provision of new open spaces, where possible, and in conjunction with new development. This approach would be in line with PPS 9 – Biodiversity and Geological Conservation and The London Plan as detailed below;</td>
<td>Noted.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Biodiversity
Paragraph 14 of PPS9: Biodiversity and Geological Conservation states that “Development proposals provide many opportunities for building-in beneficial biodiversity or geological features as part of good design. When considering proposals,
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Comment Ref</th>
<th>Name of Respondent</th>
<th>Document</th>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Issue</th>
<th>Details of representation</th>
<th>Officer response</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>local planning authorities should maximise such opportunities in and around developments, using planning obligations where appropriate.</td>
<td>Support noted.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>As stated in London Plan Policy 3D.14, “The planning of new development and regeneration should have regard to nature conservation and biodiversity, and opportunities should be taken to achieve positive gains for conservation through the form and design of development. Where appropriate, measures may include creating, enhancing and managing wildlife habitat and natural landscape and improving access to nature.”</td>
<td>Support noted.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>Natural England</td>
<td>Chapter 3: What will Dulwich be like in the Future</td>
<td>Paragraph 3.5 refers to the provision/consideration of Sustainable Urban Drainage systems (SUD’s) which is welcomed, as is the requirement to demonstrate that there will be no detrimental effects on the area’s ecology.</td>
<td>Support noted.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Open Spaces are referenced under paragraph 3.6 providing details of the sites available in the area, their designations and reasons for designation, indicating the</td>
<td>Support noted.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comment Ref</td>
<td>Name of Respondent</td>
<td>Document</td>
<td>Section</td>
<td>Issue</td>
<td>Details of representation</td>
<td>Officer response</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>importance of these to the sustainability of the area and their inclusion is acknowledged and welcomed. The recognition of contributions that new developments can make to the existing open character is welcomed as is the importance of wildlife corridors. The developmental impact on ecology of the area being considered in respect of any new development is also welcomed and is in line with the comments earlier regarding PPS 9 and The London Plan. Green Chains are also mentioned under Paragraph 3.7, and again their recognition is welcomed as is the consideration of adding new areas to the designation, which is both and supported by Natural England.</td>
<td>Support noted.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>Natural England</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Reference to Traffic and Parking as per paragraph 3.10 is welcomed and Natural England is supportive of initiatives and schemes that promote and encourage sustainable transport options.</td>
<td>Support noted.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37</td>
<td>Natural England</td>
<td>SA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Appendix 1: Planning Framework The Council has identified appropriate and relevant Policies, Programmes and Plans.</td>
<td>Support noted.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38</td>
<td>Natural England</td>
<td>SA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Dulwich Supplementary Planning Document – Draft Sustainability Appraisal</strong> This document provides 16 Objectives for the Plan which can be broadly supported by Natural England and in particular the</td>
<td>We consider that the objective is sufficient to cover both improvements to existing open spaces and the provision of additional open spaces. This objective is consistent with that set out in the sustainability</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comment Ref</td>
<td>Name of Respondent</td>
<td>Document</td>
<td>Section</td>
<td>Issue</td>
<td>Details of representation</td>
<td>Officer response</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39</td>
<td>Natural England</td>
<td>SA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>In respect of Objective Indicators the Council may wish to consider the following.</td>
<td>The objective indicators have been amended to include: • the change in quantity of open space.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Following:

**SDO 6:**
"To reduce contributions to Climate Change"

**SDO 13:**
"To protect and enhance open spaces, green corridors and biodiversity"

Although this objective is supported Natural England feels that it is passive, and that the text of the main document indicates the potential and consideration of new open spaces, green corridors and biodiversity potential will be investigated. The Objective could be worded along the lines of the following:

**SDO 13:**
"To protect and enhance existing open spaces, green corridors and biodiversity, and where appropriate, seek to create new open spaces, green corridors and enhance the biodiversity and ecology potential for the area."

**SDO 16:**
"To promote sustainable transport and minimise the need to travel by car"
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Comment Ref</th>
<th>Name of Respondent</th>
<th>Document</th>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Issue</th>
<th>Details of representation</th>
<th>Officer response</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
|            |                   |          |         |       | Open Space and Biodiversity could include details of the numbers or types of schemes contributing to new open space, green corridors or biodiversity/ecology enhancements (green/brown roofs, living walls could be considered) to enable monitoring. | space (ha),
|            |                   |          |         |       | Sustainable Transport could be monitored by details of the numbers and types of scheme’s providing Travel Plans as part of the proposed development. | • Open space deficiency,
|            |                   |          |         |       |                                                                             | • Deficiency in access to nature,
|            |                   |          |         |       |                                                                             | • Number/types of habitats and
<p>|            |                   |          |         |       |                                                                             | • the number of green roofs/facades. |
|            |                   |          |         |       | All major developments are required to submit a travel plan as part of the transport assessment required under saved Southwark Plan policy 5.2. | |
| 40         | Natural England   |          |         |       | The Council may find the following information and data sources of use in consideration of applications within the area, which will also help to secure, as appropriate, measures to enhance the natural environment in accordance with the planning guidance referenced below. | Noted. |
|            |                   |          |         |       | Access to Nature |
|            |                   |          |         |       | As highlighted in PPG17: Planning for open space, sport and recreation, “In planning for new open spaces and in assessing planning applications for development, local authorities should seek opportunities to improve the local open space network, to create public open space from vacant land, and to incorporate open space within new development on previously used land. They should also consider whether use can be made of land which is otherwise unsuitable for development, or procure public use of |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Comment Ref</th>
<th>Name of Respondent</th>
<th>Document</th>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Issue</th>
<th>Details of representation</th>
<th>Officer response</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><em>privately owned areas of land or sports facilities.</em>&quot;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Additionally, as outlined in Policy 3D.14 of the London Plan, your Council should be aiming to improve people’s access to nature, and priority should be given to sites within or near to areas deficient in accessible wildlife sites.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| 41          | Natural England    |          |         |       | **Climate Change Adaptation**  
It is important that, in line with ‘Planning Policy Statement: Planning and Climate Change, your Council takes account of the contribution to be made from existing and new opportunities for green infrastructure to urban cooling, sustainable drainage systems, and conserving and enhancing biodiversity.  
Policy 4A.9 of the London Plan also states that “The Mayor will, and other agencies should, promote and support the most effective adaptation to climate change, including protecting and enhancing green infrastructure.” | Noted. |
| 42          | Natural England    |          |         |       | There are a number of resources available to assist you and developers when considering the implications of development proposals on the natural environment in Greater London. For further information please refer to:  
Design for Biodiversity  
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Comment Ref</th>
<th>Name of Respondent</th>
<th>Details of representation</th>
<th>Officer response</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>43 Natural England</td>
<td>Monitoring the natural environment To ensure that your Council’s planning decisions are based on the best available evidence on the natural environment your Council should give consideration to entering into an agreement with Greenspace Information for Greater London (GIGL) for the provision of a variety of natural environment</td>
<td>The council has an agreement with Greenspace Information for Greater London (GIGL) and we use the data to help us monitor the effectiveness of our policies in relation to biodiversity.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comment Ref</td>
<td>Name of Respondent</td>
<td>Document</td>
<td>Section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>---------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| 44 | Highways Agency | | | | The HA, on behalf of the Secretary of State for Transport, is responsible for managing and operating a safe and efficient Strategic Road Network (SRN) (i.e. the Trunk Road and Motorway Network) in England as laid down in the Department for Transport (DfT) Circular 02/2007 (Planning and the Strategic Road Network).
The HA do not wish to comment on the draft SPD. | |
<p>| 45 | Dulwich Society | Clause 2.2 - The Dulwich Estate | | | The description of the Dulwich Estate has been amended in the draft SPD 2013 to reflect the wider remit of works which fall under the Dulwich Estate controls. We will contact the Dulwich Estate as part of the consultation carried out in 2013 to see if they wish to make any comments on the draft SPD. | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Comment Ref</th>
<th>Name of Respondent</th>
<th>Document</th>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Issue</th>
<th>Details of representation</th>
<th>Officer response</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>46</td>
<td>Dulwich Society</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2.3 Local Population and Economic Characteristics</td>
<td>The statement is negative towards over-ground trains, which serve Dulwich very well. Again there is no distinction between East Dulwich and the other parts of Dulwich – the former is well served by public transport.</td>
<td>This has been amended in the draft Dulwich SPD 2013 (Section 7).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>47</td>
<td>Dulwich Society</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3.1 Scale and design of new development</td>
<td>The suggestion that buildings up to ten stories may be acceptable in the Dulwich area should be removed.</td>
<td>This has been removed the draft Dulwich SPD 2013. Our approach to tall buildings is set out in Core Strategy policy 12 and saved Southwark Plan policy 3.21.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48</td>
<td>Dulwich Society</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3.5 Sustainable Design and Construction</td>
<td>The draft does not mention the considerable flooding problems that have been experienced in parts of Dulwich Village over the last few years due to a lack of capacity in the drainage system. Any new development should be seen to minimise any additional load on the existing system till Thames Water carry out their proposed remedial works by 2020.</td>
<td>Flooding is a borough wide issue and is therefore addressed in the Core Strategy and saved Southwark Plan policies. The council is preparing a Local Flood Risk Management Strategy and further references to flood risk in Dulwich have been included in section 6.8 of the draft Dulwich SPD 2013 to reflect this. Our sustainable design and construction SPD also sets out measures that should be incorporated into new developments such as Sustainable Urban Drainage systems.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49</td>
<td>Dulwich Society</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3.6 Open Spaces</td>
<td>Final paragraph - amend statement that &quot;development might be appropriate to upgrade or improve buildings on site or provide new...&quot; to match core strategy policy 12.</td>
<td>Change?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comment Ref</td>
<td>Name of Respondent</td>
<td>Document</td>
<td>Section</td>
<td>Issue</td>
<td>Details of representation</td>
<td>Officer response</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>facilities to ensure the viability of the site in exceptional circumstances.&quot; to “refurbishment will be appropriate to upgrade or improve buildings on site but should be restricted to the existing built area on the site.&quot;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>Dulwich Society</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>3.8 Backland Development</strong></td>
<td>Additional guidance on in-fill development has been included in the draft Dulwich SPD.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>There is no mention of the similar pressing problem of the demolition of existing houses and their proposed replacement by much larger houses, thus altering the character of those parts of Dulwich which have had medium sized houses on relatively large plots.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51</td>
<td>Dulwich Society</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>3.9 Community facilities and schools</strong></td>
<td>This section has been amended in the draft Dulwich SPD.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Paragraph 2 is inaccurate and requires clarification regarding the Schools for the future programme.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>There is no mention of the large number of private sports clubs in the area nor the fact that several of the public schools open up their sports facilities to local residents.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52</td>
<td>Dulwich Society</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>3.10 Traffic and parking</strong></td>
<td>It is beyond the remit of the SPD to restrict parking in connection with private schools. However, the Council’s transport plan sets a policy to work with the school community to encourage more children to travel to school sustainably. Any significant proposals for expansions of existing schools would</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comment Ref</td>
<td>Name of Respondent</td>
<td>Document</td>
<td>Section</td>
<td>Issue</td>
<td>Details of representation</td>
<td>Officer response</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>of school traffic not commuter traffic. It would be appropriate for any new development proposals to require a green travel plan with the aim of promoting walking and cycling.</td>
<td>require a travel plan to be submitted which demonstrates that the proposal would have no adverse impact on the transport network.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>53</td>
<td>Dulwich Society</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3.13</td>
<td>Kingswood Estate</td>
<td>This section has been removed from the draft Dulwich SPD.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>There should be more positive comments on proposals to integrate this area into Dulwich as a whole.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54</td>
<td>Dulwich Society</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Clause 3.15 - Herne Hill Velodrome Site</td>
<td>This section has been amended in the draft Dulwich SPD.</td>
<td><strong>Clause 3.15 - Herne Hill Velodrome Site</strong> Second paragraph should be changed to read “Any proposals for this site should only be ancillary to its use as a venue for cycling. Any development should be restricted to the current built area on the site, reflecting the site’s Metropolitan Open Land status in the Southwark Plan. In addition any development proposals should not have a negative impact on the residential amenity of the existing surrounding properties. New buildings should be of good design and generally restricted to a single storey.”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55</td>
<td>Dulwich Society</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>S G Smith site between Calton Avenue and Gilkes Crescent in Dulwich Village</td>
<td>The SPD cannot designate new sites for development. We will look at this through our New Southwark Plan which we are due to begin work on in 2013.</td>
<td>This is not mentioned in the draft SPG. It should be considered to be an opportunity site as the current occupant, a car dealership with repair facilities, is out of character with the surrounding area. The SPG should set</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comment Ref</td>
<td>Name of Respondent</td>
<td>Document</td>
<td>Section</td>
<td>Issue</td>
<td>Details of representation</td>
<td>Officer response</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56</td>
<td>Dulwich Society</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>aspirations for the redevelopment of this site primarily residential with particular emphasis on the possibility of providing sheltered accommodation for the elderly, something lacking in the Dulwich area.</td>
<td>The SPD cannot designate new sites for development. We will look at this through our New Southwark Plan which we are due to begin work on in 2013.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| 57          | Lindsay Rosser      |          |         |       | Kings College Site in Half Moon Lane  
This is the only potential large development site remaining in Dulwich. At some point in the relatively near future Kings College will leave the site (the buildings are currently unused) and the Dulwich estate will put forward development proposals. The SPG should restrict these to residential or educational uses. | The vision for Herne Hill includes encouraging the use of the railway arches. We will work with Network Rail to offer the opportunity for businesses or other activities that add to the vibrancy to the town centre. This is set out in the draft Dulwich SPD 2013. This approach is supported by saved Southwark Plan policy 1.5 |

Following Thursday’s meeting at the Picture Gallery, I wish to confirm my remarks about Norwood Road Herne Hill. 
The section of road with shops that lies in Southwark functions as a link between parts of Lambeth. It is currently of little use to Southwark and the quality of the shops is poor and has been poor for many years. Given the location, I believe this is unlikely to change. Shops of quality are very unlikely to be attracted if the economy does not change; the shops themselves are poor quality buildings that need replacing. Those who use the street now are merely passing through. Its best function appears to be to support fast food outlets of which there are already more than...
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Comment Ref</th>
<th>Name of Respondent</th>
<th>Document</th>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Issue</th>
<th>Details of representation</th>
<th>Officer response</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>enough in neighbouring parts of Lambeth. There is easy short term on-road parking to support this. However that stretch of road easily becomes congested and the parking slows up traffic flow.</td>
<td>Support noted.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>58</td>
<td>Herne Hill Society</td>
<td>Herne Hill Society observations on the Dulwich SPD</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>I would suggest that, to improve the quality of the environment, thought be given to upgrading the proposed functions in the railway arches area. Small businesses rather than light industrial uses could be encouraged to use the space available in this venue and benefit from the rail links to both Croydon and central London. If such businesses could be attracted, their presence could upgrade any attached shops and services and make use of the off street parking space.</td>
<td>Support noted.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>59</td>
<td>Herne Hill Society</td>
<td>Herne Hill Society observations on the Dulwich SPD</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>We note that the Council has also been consulting on the preferred options for the core strategy of the Local Development Framework. Although both documents do make the distinction between the UDP and</td>
<td>Support noted.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>The Council adopted the Core Strategy in April 2011. We will hold a 12 week consultation period on a revised draft of the SPD 2013, taking into account the new core strategy policies to allow for a further</td>
<td>Support noted.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comment Ref</td>
<td>Name of Respondent</td>
<td>Document</td>
<td>Section</td>
<td>Issue</td>
<td>Details of representation</td>
<td>Officer response</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>core strategy we note that this may have caused some confusion amongst consultees and in particular that there are references to potential changes to density policy in the core strategy which are not reflected in the SPD.</td>
<td>opportunity for people to comment on the SPD.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>Herne Hill Society</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>We welcome the vision for the SPD in particular the reference to ‘a suburban area where the existing character and form of buildings is maintained.’ We would like an assurance that there has been consultation with Lambeth and that policies in both boroughs in relation to new development and protection of the existing character are similar.</td>
<td>We are working closely with Lambeth to ensure a joined up approach to development in Herne Hill. We have included a section on working with our neighbours in the draft Dulwich SPD 2013 to reflect this. Further information is also set out in our consultation plan.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>61</td>
<td>Herne Hill Society</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>We welcome the proposal for the site of Dulwich Hospital. However there appears to be an error on figure 8 where it states that the site is within the urban zone and therefore development should be at the upper end of 200-350 hrpa. However the urban zone density range is 200-700. We feel that a figure around 350 is more appropriate than 700, bearing in mind the surrounding development is largely 2 storey houses.</td>
<td>This has been amended in the draft Dulwich SPD 2013. The hospital is within the suburban zone and therefore a density of between 200-350 habitable rooms per hectare is considered appropriate for the site.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>62</td>
<td>Herne Hill Society</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>We welcome the proposal to encourage the appropriate refurbishment of the velodrome</td>
<td>Support noted. We have amended this section in the draft Dulwich SPD to refer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comment Ref</td>
<td>Name of Respondent</td>
<td>Document</td>
<td>Section</td>
<td>Issue</td>
<td>Details of representation</td>
<td>Officer response</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>site although it is essential to ensure that any office and hospitality use is genuinely ancillary to the main purpose as a sports venue.</td>
<td>specifically to proposals supporting the long term viability of the club.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>63</td>
<td>Gillian Portwine</td>
<td>SPD</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>Issue</td>
<td>Page 4 – “This guidance further protects the current low density in the area”</td>
<td>This has been amended in the draft Dulwich SPD 2013.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>To be consistent at the moment with the rest of the document this should read “protects the current low density and extends the area of suburban density to include the East Dulwich area.”</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>64</td>
<td>Gillian Portwine</td>
<td>SPD</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>Issue</td>
<td>Infill should be defined in full in glossary as postwar infill was due to bomb damage. Modern infill has different implications for amenity space/gardens etc.</td>
<td>We have included further detail on in-fill development in section 5.5 of the draft Dulwich SPD 2013. The glossary has been removed from the SPD and the glossary for the Core Strategy and the saved Southwark Plan will be used to cover all of our planning documents.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65</td>
<td>Gillian Portwine</td>
<td>SPD</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>Issue</td>
<td>‘Small area on the edge of the Peckham Rye ward’</td>
<td>We have included an updated map as figure 1 of the draft Dulwich SPD 2013 showing the boundary of the area covered by the SPD more clearly.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Name the area precisely and indicate on the map. Superimpose the Dulwich Estates on the map and add to key (pg 5) Pg 6 delete appendix 8.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>66</td>
<td>Gillian Portwine</td>
<td>SPD</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>Issue</td>
<td>Put 3 wards in brackets and then give overview and subsections for each ward or Peckham Rye bit page 7. Include more up to date figures.</td>
<td>This section has been updated in the draft Dulwich SPD 2013.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>67</td>
<td>Gillian Portwine</td>
<td>SPD</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>Issue</td>
<td>Put SPD in full at first time of mentioning in this section. Should read for any new development.</td>
<td>This has been amended in the draft Dulwich SPD 2013.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comment Ref</td>
<td>Name of Respondent</td>
<td>Document</td>
<td>Section</td>
<td>Issue</td>
<td>Details of representation</td>
<td>Officer response</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>68</td>
<td>Gillian Portwine</td>
<td>SPD</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td></td>
<td>At the end of the first para;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Should read differently to reflect bomb damage infill as opposed to infill between e.g. semi’s.</td>
<td>This section has been removed from the draft Dulwich SPD 2013 and further information on in-fill development is set out in section 5.5 of the revised SPD.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>69</td>
<td>Gillian Portwine</td>
<td>SPD</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td></td>
<td>At the end of the first para;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Add ‘...in-fill developments. There is one large proposals site in the area where the Dulwich Community Hospital is at present.’</td>
<td>This section has been removed from the draft Dulwich SPD 2013. Information on the Dulwich Hospital site is set out in section 9.1.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70</td>
<td>Gillian Portwine</td>
<td>SPD</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td></td>
<td>Northern strip being urban</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Check consistency e.g. “all of the area is suburban; although the northern strip was designated urban in 2008, this has since reverted to its original designation.”</td>
<td>Since the adoption of the Core Strategy in April 2011, the whole of the SPD area is designated as suburban zone. The draft Dulwich SPD 2012 has been amended to reflect this.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>71</td>
<td>Gillian Portwine</td>
<td>SPD</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td></td>
<td>‘Significant alterations’</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Be more specific on the meaning of significant.</td>
<td>This section has been removed from the draft Dulwich SPD. Further detail on the scale and design of new development is set out in section 5 of the revised SPD.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>72</td>
<td>Gillian Portwine</td>
<td>SPD</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td></td>
<td>Changing density</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>This should be updated and extended showing TIL’s up to date PTAL figures for each area ward. Date needs to be mentioned.</td>
<td>This has been amended in the Dulwich SPD 2013.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>73</td>
<td>Gillian Portwine</td>
<td>SPD</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td></td>
<td>Page 10 urban 200-700</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>‘will not be appropriate’ – what will be appropriate exactly? Why mention ‘small section of SPD area urban’ – better to say ‘was urban but since been redesignated.’ Even where PTAL between 2-3</td>
<td>Since the adoption of the Core Strategy in April 2011, the whole of the SPD area is designated as suburban zone. The draft Dulwich SPD 2012 has been amended to reflect this. The appropriate density for this area is considered to be 200 to 350 habitable rooms per hectare.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comment Ref</td>
<td>Name of Respondent</td>
<td>Document</td>
<td>Section</td>
<td>Issue</td>
<td>Details of representation</td>
<td>Officer response</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>74</td>
<td>Gillian Portwine</td>
<td>SPD</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td></td>
<td>Bottom page 11 – last 8 lines</td>
<td>We have amended the approach to setting out our policies in relation to each section of the additional guidance set out in the SPD.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>This needs to be more specific and would be helpful if density and other policies be listed e.g. 3.1, 3.2, 3.13, 3.15, 3.22, 4.2 and 5.6.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75</td>
<td>Gillian Portwine</td>
<td>SPD</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td></td>
<td>Page 12 top</td>
<td>Since the adoption of the Core Strategy in April 2011, the whole of the SPD area is designated as suburban zone. The draft Dulwich SPD has been amended to reflect this.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>We will be looking no longer valid as will have accepted or not</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>76</td>
<td>Gillian Portwine</td>
<td>SPD</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td></td>
<td>Instead of ‘there may be’</td>
<td>The Core Strategy was adopted in April 2011 and the draft Dulwich SPD has been amended to reflect this. Further guidance on sub-division of properties is now set out in section 5.3 of the SPD.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Change to ‘there is a presumption against...’</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>77</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Car parking study</td>
<td>This has been amended in the draft Dulwich SPD. Guidance on sub-division of large properties is now set out in section 5.3.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Include the fact that the study should demonstrate different times of day and different months. Maybe put this in a different section as it should apply to all development.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78</td>
<td>Gillian Portwine</td>
<td>SPD</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td></td>
<td>‘historic locations... landmark buildings...’</td>
<td>This section has been amended in the draft Dulwich SPD. Further guidance on heritage is now set out in section 4 of the SPD. This includes figure 8 which shows all of the heritage assets including buildings of townscape merit or of heritage value covered by the SPD.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>This section should contain a reference to many of the Dulwich Community Hospital buildings.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>79</td>
<td>Gillian Portwine</td>
<td>SPD</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td></td>
<td>Reference should be made to Oct 2008 ruling</td>
<td>Support noted. In section 6.5 of the draft Dulwich SPD we set out our approach to ensure that development considers the impacts on ecology on the surrounding area especially on sites close to areas with</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comment Ref</td>
<td>Name of Respondent</td>
<td>Document</td>
<td>Section</td>
<td>Issue</td>
<td>Details of representation</td>
<td>Officer response</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td>Gillian Portwine</td>
<td>SPD</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>Mention protection for railway embankments and Borough Action Plan. Totally support.</td>
<td>Support noted. The railway embankments are largely protected as open spaces and sites of importance for nature conservation as set out in Section 6.3 and 6.5 of the draft Dulwich SPD.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>81</td>
<td>Gillian Portwine</td>
<td>SPD</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>Totally support – more sites should be identified, made accessible and nurtured.</td>
<td>Support noted. The SPD cannot designate new sites as Green Chain or change existing site designations. We will look at this further through the new Southwark Plan which we are due to begin working on in 2013.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>82</td>
<td>Gillian Portwine</td>
<td>SPD</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>Instead of 'can have a significant impact' Replace with ‘usually does have a significant impact’ Totally support not suitable for backland development.</td>
<td>This section has been amended in the draft Dulwich SPD. Further guidance on backland development is now set out in section 5.4 of the SPD.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>83</td>
<td>Gillian Portwine</td>
<td>SPD</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>This section should mention the Community facilities at Dulwich Community Hospital and those planned for the future on the same site ‘Transforming Southwark’</td>
<td>The importance of the Dulwich Hospital site is set out separately in section 9.1 of the draft Dulwich SPD.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>84</td>
<td>Gillian Portwine</td>
<td>SPD</td>
<td>3.10</td>
<td>Mention should be made to lack of disabled access to stations</td>
<td>This is too specific to be considered through the SPD. Access to stations is not within the remit of the Council, however the Councils Tranport Plan sets out a policy to lobby TfL and other public transport providers to improve service levels and access to public transport.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85</td>
<td>Gillian Portwine</td>
<td>SPD</td>
<td>3.12</td>
<td>It is difficult to understand how another superstore is identified as needed – more</td>
<td>This has been deleted from the draft Dulwich SPD.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comment Ref</td>
<td>Name of Respondent</td>
<td>Document</td>
<td>Section</td>
<td>Issue</td>
<td>Details of representation</td>
<td>Officer response</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>86</td>
<td>Gillian Portwine</td>
<td>SPD</td>
<td>3.14</td>
<td></td>
<td>would definitely prejudice the health of existing A1 retail outlets</td>
<td>The Dulwich Hospital buildings have been identified as buildings of townscape merit or heritage value in figure 8 of the draft Dulwich SPD.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>87</td>
<td>Gillian Portwine</td>
<td>SPD</td>
<td>Page 31, figure 8</td>
<td></td>
<td>“This is a very large proposals site with a working hospital on half of it. The other half has had buildings demolished. A new community hospital is planned, in line with the southwark Plan for a community hospital with ancillary uses.”</td>
<td>Since the adoption of the Core Strategy in April 2011, the whole of the SPD area is designated as suburban zone. The draft Dulwich SPD has been amended to reflect this.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>88</td>
<td>Bryce Caller</td>
<td>SPD</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>On page 11 you say that a small section of the SPD area to the north falls within the urban density zone.</td>
<td>We have included an updated map as figure 1 of the draft Dulwich SPD showing the boundary of the area covered by the SPD more clearly.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comment Ref</td>
<td>Name of Respondent</td>
<td>Document Section Issue</td>
<td>Details of representation</td>
<td>Officer response</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>precisely coincide with the PTAL’s shown on fig. 6. As I live in the north of the area I may which to comment. Is there a zoning map available on-line?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>89</td>
<td>English Heritage</td>
<td></td>
<td>As the Government’s statutory adviser on the historic environment English Heritage is keen to ensure that the historic environment is fully taken into account at all stages and levels of the local planning process. English Heritage therefore welcomes the opportunity to comment on the draft Dulwich SPD.</td>
<td>Noted.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90</td>
<td>English Heritage</td>
<td></td>
<td>Overall English Heritage supports the approach taken in this consultation; however we would like to highlight areas where greater consideration of the historic environment is needed to inform LB of Southwark’s emerging SPD for Dulwich. Our recommendations are set out below and we hope our advice will help to ensure your SPD is technically sound in accordance with government planning policy, such as PPG15 and 16, and the assessment is based on robust evidence.</td>
<td>Support noted.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>91</td>
<td>English Heritage</td>
<td></td>
<td><em>The role and Purpose of this Document (Para 1.1)</em> English Heritage welcomes the commitment to maintaining and enhancing Dulwich’s unique character, including heritage.</td>
<td>Support noted.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comment Ref</td>
<td>Name of Respondent</td>
<td>Document</td>
<td>Section</td>
<td>Issue</td>
<td>Details of representation</td>
<td>Officer response</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| 92          | English Heritage   |          |         |       | Background to this Document (Para 2)  
Please note the map of the SPD area on page 5 is illegible. | We have included an updated map as figure 1 of the draft Dulwich SPD showing the boundary of the area covered by the SPD more clearly. |
| 93          | English Heritage   |          |         |       | What will Dulwich be like in the Future? (Para 3)  
English Heritage welcomes the commitment to ensuring new development is in keeping with the local context in terms of scale and design (Para 3.1) and that lower density areas will be retained (Para 3.2). We are pleased to note that a generic density matrix will not be applied and “the actual density that would be acceptable on a site will depend upon an assessment of the specific local context” (pg 11). We would also suggest the heritage value of a property should be part of the assessment criteria for ensuring appropriate conversion of houses (Para 3.3).  
English Heritage strongly supports section 3.4 on Heritage. Although the map on page 5 is unclear, we believe that Belair is not the only registered Historic Park and Garden in the SPD area as suggested on page 15. There is also grade II Dulwich and Peckham Rye Parks. We also believe that Belair Park is Grade II (not II”) and the correct term is ‘registered’, not ‘listed landscape’. These comments also apply to page 16 on Open Spaces. | Support noted. We have amended the references to registered parks and gardens in section 4 of the draft Dulwich SPD and figure 8 has been amended to show the heritage assets more clearly. |
<p>| 94          | English Heritage   |          |         |       | English Heritage supports polices to retain the existing street patterns (Para 3.10) and ensure | Support noted. |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Comment Ref</th>
<th>Name of Respondent</th>
<th>Document</th>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Issue</th>
<th>Details of representation</th>
<th>Officer response</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>changes to shop frontages are in keeping with the area’s existing character (Para 3.11). In relation to Kingswood House, please note this is a Grade II listed building and maintaining/enhancing its setting (including the entrance gates) are important (Para 3.13).</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95</td>
<td>English Heritage</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>We would recommend that Planning Obligations for heritage conservation and public realm improvements should also be considered in section 3.17. Where appropriate, types of contribution can include repair, restoration or maintenance of a heritage asset(s) and their setting; increased public access, improved signage to and from heritage assets; interpretation panels/historical information; production and implementation of up-to-date Conservation Area management plans and appraisals; measures for preservation or investigation and recovery of archaeological remains and sites; and, dissemination for public/school education and research. This list is by no means exhaustive but provides an indication of the type of planning obligations that are used and could be incorporated in this SPD.</td>
<td>We will review our approach to S106 with the introduction of the Community Infrastructure Levy (CIL). The Community Infrastructure Levy (CIL) will be a new charge on development which local planning authorities can choose to set and which is designed to help fund needed infrastructure identified in their plans.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>96</td>
<td>English Heritage</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Conclusion</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Finally, English Heritage would strongly advise that the local authority’s conservation and archaeology staff are involved throughout the preparation and implementation of the SPD as</td>
<td>Noted.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comment Ref</td>
<td>Name of Respondent</td>
<td>Document</td>
<td>Section</td>
<td>Issue</td>
<td>Details of representation</td>
<td>Officer response</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>they are often best placed to advise on local historic environment issues and priorities; sources of data; and, consideration of options relating to the historic environment. This advice is based on the information provided by you and for the avoidance of doubt does not affect our obligation to advise you on, and potentially object to any specific development proposal which may subsequently arise from this or later versions of the Dulwich SPD and its Sustainability Appraisal.</td>
<td>Core Strategy policy 2 and saved Southwark Plan policy 5.2 which require a transport assessment to be submitted with all large applications. Further information on traffic and parking is set out in section 7 of the SPD which states that proposed developments that are likely to result in a significant transport impact will be required to provide a transport assessment. Saved policy 5.2 of The Southwark Plan requires developments with significant transport implications to submit a Travel Plan. We apply this to developments of 1000sqm or more, or 10 or more residential units. Travel Plans must be in line with current requirements and guidance.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>97</td>
<td>Transport for London (TfL)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>The SPD in section 3.10 is very specific in the detail of most sustainable transport requirements but does not mention travel plans. Travel Plans can play a significant role in reducing congestion and improving air-quality. Travel plans can also help to ensure a development is sustainable and reduces its impact on the local transport network. TfL suggests that travel plans should be required according to policy 3C.2 of the London Plan; however Southwark, through this SPD, may wish to lower the thresholds on the size of development to cover smaller schemes. This would be particularly beneficial in areas with good levels of public transport accessibility, and/or areas where cumulative small scale development may create greater stress on the network.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comment Ref</td>
<td>Name of Respondent</td>
<td>Document</td>
<td>Section</td>
<td>Issue</td>
<td>Details of representation</td>
<td>Officer response</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>----------------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>98</td>
<td>Transport for London (TfL)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>It is stated in section 3.10 (traffic and parking) that new developments within Dulwich should not exceed the car parking and cycle parking standards set out in Policy 5.6 and appendix 15 of the Southwark Plan. It would be helpful to make this clearer, as whilst car parking standards should not be exceeded as they are maximum standards, cycle parking standards should be encouraged to be exceeded wherever possible as they are based on minimum expected provision.</td>
<td>This has been amended in the draft Dulwich SPD to set out our approach to maximise cycle parking to provide. We will require safe and secure cycle parking to be provided within all development and in public areas. This will need to meet the requirements set out in Sustainable Transport SPD. We encourage all developers to exceed the minimum standards for cycle parking in order to encourage cycling.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>99</td>
<td>Transport for London (TfL)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>TfL welcomes the statement that “there are a significant number of cycle paths already in the SPD area, and where appropriate, new developments should contribute to increasing provision by linking up the existing paths and improving facilities for cyclists”. TfL suggest a similar statement be included with regards to pedestrian facilities. Although it is stated that the existing street pattern and pedestrian scale should be retained, and pedestrian supportive design should be incorporated, this is not quite explicit enough to ensure that the pedestrian environment will be significantly improved.</td>
<td>We consider that the reference to pedestrian supportive design is sufficient to secure improvements to pedestrian facilities. Further guidance is set out in the Council’s Core Strategy policy 12 and the Council’s transport plan.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>Transport for London (TfL)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>The statement that safe and secure cycle parking should be provided within residential development and in public areas, which will need to meet the requirements set out in appendix 15 of the Southwark plan and any new standards set out in the Core Strategy is</td>
<td>This has been amended in the draft Dulwich SPD to set out our approach to maximise cycle parking to provide. We will require safe and secure cycle parking to be provided within all development and in public areas. This will need to meet the</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comment Ref</td>
<td>Name of Respondent</td>
<td>Document</td>
<td>Section</td>
<td>Issue</td>
<td>Details of representation</td>
<td>Officer response</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>101</td>
<td>Transport for London (TfL)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>These comments represent an officer level view from Transport for London and are made entirely on a &quot;without prejudice&quot; basis. They should not be taken to represent an indication of any subsequent Mayoral decision in relation to this document. These comments also do not necessarily represent the views of the Greater London Authority.</td>
<td>Noted.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>102</td>
<td>Metropolitan Police Authority (MPA)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>The MPA provide a vital community service to the borough of Southwark and policing is recognised within the 2008 London Plan as being an integral part of social infrastructure. The MPA have previously made representations to a number of documents within the Southwark LDF, including the Core Strategy, the Walworth Road SPD, the Canada Water Area Action Plan and the S106 Planning Obligations SPD. Initially I review the context to the representations, then set out the relevant planning policy framework in support of the MPA’s position and finally provide a number of representations.</td>
<td>Noted.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comment Ref</td>
<td>Name of Respondent</td>
<td>Document</td>
<td>Section</td>
<td>Issue</td>
<td>Details of representation</td>
<td>Officer response</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>103</td>
<td>Metropolitan Police Authority (MPA)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Planning Policy Framework</td>
<td>Noted.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The relevant planning policy framework can be found in CgMs’s letter dated 11th December 2008, in which representations were made on behalf of the MPA to the Core strategy. Below I outline relevant development plan policy, extracted from the Southwark UDP (2007) and relevant SPDs which have not previously been highlighted.

Policy SP9 (meeting community needs) states that ‘All developments should, where appropriate, enable growth and development of education, community and welfare services in line with the community’s need.’ Furthermore, Policy 2.1 (Enhancement of community facilities) states that “planning permission will not be granted unless the applicant demonstrates that another locally accessible facility with similar or enhanced provision can meet the identified needs of the local community users.”

Section 1, paragraph 14, of the Council’s adopted SPD on Planning Obligations states that planning obligations may be sought for police and fire services.

Mindful of the planning policy framework referred to above, it is clear that the statutory development plan supports continued effective policing and therefore I present further representations regarding the emerging
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Comment Ref</th>
<th>Name of Respondent</th>
<th>Document</th>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Issue</th>
<th>Details of representation</th>
<th>Officer response</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>104</td>
<td>Metropolitan Police Authority (MPA)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Noted.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Representations to the Dulwich Supplementary Planning Document

I refer below to relevant topics within the emerging Supplementary Planning Document (SPD) and provide comment in order that the emerging SPD is concurrent with the statutory development plan regarding policing, as outlined above.

| 105 | Metropolitan Police Authority (MPA) | 3.1 | | | | |

Section 3: What will Dulwich be like in the future?

3.1 Scale and design of new development

The MPA welcome the Council’s approach to designing out crime when new development is proposed. However the MPA suggest the following addition to the text

- The principles of designing out crime, as referred to in ‘Secured by Design and ‘Safer Places’ should be included.

This section has been removed from the draft Dulwich SPD and replaced by the visions set out in the Core strategy. However, the Council’s approach ensuring safety through design is set out in the adopted Core Strategy, policy 12 and saved Southwark Plan policy 3.14.

| 106 | Metropolitan Police Authority (MPA) | | 3.9 | Community facilities and schools | | |

The MPA support the Council approach that ‘New Developments should take account of the location of community facilities in the area.’ However, the MPA believe that a specific reference to policing is required. PPS1 states that development plans should promote inclusive, healthy, safe and crime free

The glossary has been removed from the draft Dulwich SPD 2012. All LDF documents will refer to the glossary set out in the adopted Core Strategy.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Comment Ref</th>
<th>Name of Respondent</th>
<th>Document</th>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Issue</th>
<th>Details of representation</th>
<th>Officer response</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
|             | Metropolitan Police Authority (MPA) | 3.12 Lordship Lane additional guidance for shops |         |       | communities, and the London Plan, reiterates this point further within policies 3A.17 and 3A.18 as outlined in our letter on 11th December 2008; accordingly the MPA believe policing should be specifically defined within ‘community facilities’. The MPA therefore suggest the following wording be included within the Glossary at Appendix 3 between ‘Affordable housing’ and ‘Density’  
  • “Community facilities: including education, health, and social care facilities, leisure facilities, community halls, meeting places, places of worship, and police facilities.” | This section has been deleted from the draft Dulwich SPD. Saved Southwark Plan Policy 1.7 sets out the types of uses that are considered acceptable in town and local centres. |

This section has been deleted from the draft Dulwich SPD. Saved Southwark Plan Policy 1.7 sets out the types of uses that are considered acceptable in town and local centres.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Comment Ref</th>
<th>Name of Respondent</th>
<th>Document</th>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Issue</th>
<th>Details of representation</th>
<th>Officer response</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>108</td>
<td>Metropolitan Police Authority (MPA)</td>
<td>3.17 Planning obligations</td>
<td>3.17 Planning obligations</td>
<td>The MPA welcomes the Council’s decision to make ‘reducing crime and improving community safety’ a primary objective in its planning policy. The MPA further consider that this should be taken into account when formulating other LDF documents, where relevant.</td>
<td>Support noted.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comment Ref</td>
<td>Name of Respondent</td>
<td>Document</td>
<td>Section</td>
<td>Issue</td>
<td>Details of representation</td>
<td>Officer response</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>109</td>
<td>Turney Road Resident’s association</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>We have seen the comments from Burbage Road Residents’ Association (primarily on the Herne Hill Velodrome) and the Dulwich Society on this document and support their comments.</td>
<td>Noted.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>110</td>
<td>Turney Road Resident’s association</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Section 2.1: What is the hectare size of the area covered by the SPD (so we can compare it with the size of the Dulwich Estate referred to in 2.2)?</td>
<td>The area covered by the Dulwich SPD is approximately 755 hectares. The Dulwich Estate also manages land that is outside of the Dulwich SPD boundary.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>111</td>
<td>Turney Road Resident’s association</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Section 2.2: You might want to make reference to the website for the Dulwich Estate and Scheme of Management: <a href="http://www.thedulwichestate.org.uk">www.thedulwichestate.org.uk</a></td>
<td>The draft Dulwich SPD has been amended to include a link to the Dulwich Estate website.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>112</td>
<td>Turney Road Resident’s association</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Sections 2.4 and 2.5: Shouldn’t these paragraphs be in Section 1?</td>
<td>The draft Dulwich SPD has been revised to make the structure of the document clearer.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>113</td>
<td>Turney Road Resident’s association</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Section 3.4: Can a map of conservation areas be provided?</td>
<td>A map of all the heritage assets in Dulwich, including the conservation areas is included as figure 8 of the draft Dulwich SPD.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>114</td>
<td>Turney Road Resident’s association</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Section 3.5, para 1: You mention not increasing the amount of hardstandings but there should be a stronger reference to the increased risk of flooding in Dulwich from the spread of hardstandings. This has badly affected Turney Road which has a high percentage of hardstandings particularly in the section from Croxted Road to Burbage Road, and which is prone to surface water flooding.</td>
<td>Further guidance as been set out in section 6.8 of the draft Dulwich SPD.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>115</td>
<td>Turney Road</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Section 3.5: Have you taken into account the</td>
<td>Thames Water are a statutory consultee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comment Ref</td>
<td>Name of Respondent</td>
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<td>Details of representation</td>
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</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>116</td>
<td>Turney Road Resident’s association</td>
<td>Section 3.10 and Section 3.16 (reference to top five priorities):</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Thames Water plans for investment in tactical and strategic sewer remedial works from 2010-2020 in particular how the short term will be managed until the works come to fruition and how the position will be managed if TW are unable to attract sufficient investment to implement the proposed improvements?</td>
<td>and have been consulted on the preparation of this SPD. Our approach to the provision of necessary infrastructure to support new development was also considered through the preparation of the Core Strategy. Our Local Flood Risk Management Strategy sets out further information on local flood risk measures that can be implemented to help reduce flood risk in Dulwich.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>117</td>
<td>Turney Road Resident’s association</td>
<td>General – Are these plans aligned with the Greater London Authority’s published strategy for climate change and global warming?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>The Dulwich SPD must be in conformity with national and regional policies, including the London plan and supporting strategies. We have included an additional section on green infrastructure and climate change in the draft Dulwich SPD.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>118</td>
<td>Philip Kolvin QC</td>
<td>First, page 20, the Green Chain. The only policy specified is</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>This section has been updated in the draft Dulwich SPD to reflect the fact that the Green Chain parks and walking routes were</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comment Ref</td>
<td>Name of Respondent</td>
<td>Document</td>
<td>Section</td>
<td>Issue</td>
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<td>Officer response</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>We will consider designating the green spaces in figure 5 as green chains in the core strategy as part of the south east London green chain walk.</td>
<td>adopted through the Core Strategy in April 2011. Policy 11 of the core strategy sets out our approach to promoting and improving the Green Chain.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>This is a rather weak reflection of the value of the spaces themselves and of Southwark’s commitment to them reflected in its successful application to become a Green Chain authority based on these very spaces. There needs to be a positive commitment to designate the sites together with policies which give the sites greater protection reflecting their location in this strategic chain of spaces.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>119</td>
<td>Philip Kolvin QC</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Second, page 26, Herne Hill. The shopping area badly needs a lift. The SPD should commit Southwark to joint working with the community and Lambeth to improve the environment of the area and to help to promote its regeneration as a shopping area for the benefit of the local community. It should also reflect what is now clear – that the night time economy has caused crime and disorder difficulties in the local area, and that any further development requires to be strictly controlled both as to nature of operation, hours and operating conditions. Food led venues should be encouraged, drink-led venues discouraged.</td>
<td>We are working closely with Lambeth to ensure a joined up approach to development in Herne Hill. We have included a section on working with our neighbours in the draft Dulwich SPD to reflect this.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>We have included an additional section on the evening economy in the draft Dulwich SPD.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>120</td>
<td>Philip Kolvin QC</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Third, page 32, the Herne Hill Velodrome Site. This does not acknowledge that the site is</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>This has been amended in the draft Dulwich SPD.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
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<tr>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>121</td>
<td>Stephen Rosser</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Metropolitan Open Land, and that any development should comply with London and local policy on MOL.</td>
<td>It is beyond the remit of the SPD to allocate sites for development. We will investigate this further through the preparation of our New Southwark Plan which we are due to start work on in 2013.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>121</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>An issue I would like to raise in this context is the apparently vacant land on the west side of Red Post Hill almost opposite North Dulwich station. Anyone standing at the adjacent bus stop, as I regularly do, cannot fail to notice the sorry state of the site. The land itself has clearly been neglected for a long time and is strewn with litter. More seriously, the wall abutting the road remains in a state of advanced disrepair and would seem to constitute a danger to passers-by and an invitation to further vandalism. At one point there was a formal notice on display indicating the Council’s intention to intervene in respect of the land but that seems now to have been removed and there is no evidence of any responsibility being taken for the site. Are you able to say anything about the current position regarding this site, please?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>122</td>
<td>Thames Water</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Thames Water is the statutory sewerage and water undertaker for the London Borough of Southwark and as such have the following comments on the above mentioned document.</td>
<td>Noted.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>123</td>
<td>Thames</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>General Comments</td>
<td>All major new development is required to</td>
</tr>
<tr>
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<tr>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>----------------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Water</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Section 3.5 (p15) – Sustainable Design and Construction</td>
<td>meet Code for Sustainable Homes level 4 as set out in policy 13 of the Core Strategy. We also require all major new development to achieve a potable water use target of 105 litres per person per day.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>124</td>
<td>Thames Water</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Section 3.17 (p34) – Planning Obligations</td>
<td>This is a borough wide issue and is beyond the remit of the Dulwich SPD. During the examination in public of the Council’s Core Strategy an approach to water and waste water infrastructure was agreed with Thames Water.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>It is our understanding that Section 106 Agreements can not be used to secure water and waste water infrastructure upgrades. However, it is essential to ensure that such infrastructure is in place to avoid unacceptable impacts on the environment such as sewage flooding of residential and commercial property, pollution of land and watercourses plus water shortages with associated low pressure water supply problems. Water and sewerage undertakers also have limited powers under the water industry act to prevent connection ahead of infrastructure upgrades and sewer flooding can be caused when developers make connections to the existing sewerage network without proper consultation and authorisation from the relevant water company. We therefore rely heavily on the planning system to ensure infrastructure is provided ahead of development either through phasing or the use of Grampian style conditions.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comment Ref</td>
<td>Name of Respondent</td>
<td>Document</td>
<td>Section</td>
<td>Issue</td>
<td>Details of representation</td>
<td>Officer response</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>It is essential that developers demonstrate that adequate capacity exists both on and off the site to serve the development and that it would not lead to problems for existing users. In some circumstances this may make it necessary for developers to carry out appropriate studies to ascertain whether the proposed development will lead to overloading of existing water &amp; sewerage infrastructure. Where there is a capacity problem and no improvements are programmed by the water company, then the developer needs to contact the water authority to agree what improvements are required and how they will be funded prior to any occupation of the development.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>We rely heavily on the planning process to ensure we have the necessary infrastructure in areas where development is clearly identified and seek planning conditions where it is not. Capacity problems, possibly leading to flooding, could occur in some cases if we have not been given the opportunity, either through advance planning or through conditional planning approvals, to provide the capacity prior to the development taking place.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>It is considered that the most suitable way to address the above issues should be through the inclusion of a specific water and wastewater infrastructure policy within the</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
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<tr>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Core Strategy with reference to such a policy in other Development Plan Documents and Supplementary Planning Documents. Comments have been made regarding the need to incorporate a policy in the Core Strategy relating to water and sewerage infrastructure during the Core Strategy Issues and Options consultation and further comments will be made on the preferred options document.</td>
<td>This is beyond the remit of the Dulwich SPD. The Council’s approach to family housing is set out in Policy 5 of the Core Strategy which requires 10% of all major developments to be 3 or more bedrooms.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>125</td>
<td>Charles Wild</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>In Section 3.3 on page 12 of the document, it talks about the general need for more family accommodation, however it only identifies a requirement for 10% of new dwellings to be three bedroom (and I assume above). Surely this 10% is not enough. Perhaps 20-25% would be more suitable. This can help alleviate parking and traffic problems, whilst supporting the density characteristics desired.</td>
<td>Core Strategy policy 2 and the Council’s Transport Plan set out our approach to promoting cycling in the borough. The SPD recognises that there are a significant number of cycle paths already in the SPD area. Where appropriate, new developments should contribute to increasing provision by linking up the existing path and improving facilities for cyclists throughout. Safe and secure cycle parking should be provided within all development and in public areas. This will need to meet the requirements set out in</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>126</td>
<td>Karen Orchard</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>transport – more cycle paths, separated from traffic, safer for children, cheap cycles for hire in main areas, more cycle racks, cycle lanes joining green chain</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comment Ref</td>
<td>Name of Respondent</td>
<td>Document</td>
<td>Section</td>
<td>Issue</td>
<td>Details of representation</td>
<td>Officer response</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>127</td>
<td>Karen Orchard</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>improve pavements, less traffic, road humps and 20mph limit on side roads (Whatley road), pedestrian only areas- north cross road (market day) and lordship lane, better street lighting in side roads, more seating on lordship lane for shoppers etc</td>
<td>Some of these issues are beyond the remit of the SPD. Our transport plan sets out further information on our approach to 20mph speed limits. Improvements to pavements, seating and better street lighting are issues for the public realm, our strategy is to ensure new developments contribute to improving the public realm including creating vibrant areas for people to congregate and interact associating them with public crossings and environmental improvements.</td>
<td>Sustainable Transport SPD. We encourage all developers to exceed the minimum standards for cycle parking in order to encourage cycling.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>128</td>
<td>Karen Orchard</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>6 shops - no more multiples, fill empty shops</td>
<td>Further details on projecting and improving the vitality and viability of the shopping areas is set out in section 8 of the SPD.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>129</td>
<td>Karen Orchard</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>more allotments, green areas, community gardens, trees</td>
<td>Our approach to protecting and improving open spaces in Dulwich is set out in section 6.3 of the draft Dulwich SPD. Further information is also set out in our open space strategy.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>130</td>
<td>Karen Orchard</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Less rubbish and more social responsibility</td>
<td>This issue is beyond the remit of the Dulwich SPD.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>131</td>
<td>Karen Orchard</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>simpler recycling system</td>
<td>This issue is beyond the remit of the Dulwich SPD.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>132</td>
<td>Karen Orchard</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Micro generation grants for solar and wind power</td>
<td>This issue is beyond the remit of the Dulwich SPD.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>133</td>
<td>Karen Orchard</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>local food</td>
<td>Our approach to protecting and improving open spaces in Dulwich is set out in section 6.3 of the draft Dulwich SPD. Further information is also set out in our open space strategy.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comment Ref</td>
<td>Name of Respondent</td>
<td>Document</td>
<td>Section</td>
<td>Issue</td>
<td>Details of representation</td>
<td>Officer response</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>6.3 of the draft Dulwich SPD. Further information is also set out in our open space strategy which includes a recommendation for encouraging more allotments and food growing opportunities to be provided as part of new development.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>134</td>
<td>Karen Orchard</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>revive Nunhead community centre</td>
<td>This falls within the boundary of the Peckham and Nunhead Area Action Plan (AAP).</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>135</td>
<td>Karen Orchard</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>leave fence on goose green</td>
<td>This issue is beyond the remit of the Dulwich SPD.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>136</td>
<td>Karen Orchard</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>retain swimming pool</td>
<td>This issue is beyond the remit of the Dulwich SPD.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>137</td>
<td>Karen Orchard</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>cinema in Camberwell</td>
<td>Detailed planning guidance for Camberwell will be set out in the forthcoming Camberwell SPD.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>138</td>
<td>Karen Orchard (</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>legoland in Dulwich</td>
<td>This issue is beyond the remit of the Dulwich SPD.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>139</td>
<td>Karen Orchard</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Kirkwood road - stop drug dealing, better lighting, more trees, paint underpass</td>
<td>Improvements to underpasses and better street lighting are issues for the public realm, our strategy is to ensure new developments contribute to improving the public realm including creating vibrant areas for people to congregate and interact associating them with public crossings and environmental improvements. Our open space strategy and the Tree Management strategy set out our approach to the provision of street trees in the borough.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Dulwich Supplementary Planning Document

Introduction

The council is preparing a supplementary planning document (SPD) for the Dulwich area. It provides guidance on how new development in Dulwich should occur so that it maintains and enhances the area’s unique character.

The document will form part of the Local Development Framework and it will set further planning guidance for the local area expanding on the policies set out in the Southwark Unitary Development Plan (2007) and the emerging Core Strategy. Although the document is being prepared ahead of the adoption of the Core Strategy, the SPD will also refer to policies in the Core Strategy Issues and Options paper and the draft Preferred Options paper. This is because some policies in the UDP will be replaced by Core Strategy policies and the SPD must be consistent with the policies of the adopted development plan. The Core Strategy is due to be adopted in December 2010 and the Dulwich SPD is expected to be adopted in November 2011. The Dulwich SPD will also reflect the aims and objectives of Southwark: 2016, the Sustainable Community Strategy. Once agreed by the council, the SPD will be a material consideration to be taken into account in determining planning applications in the area.

As an SPD falls within the definition of a ‘plan or programme’ under the European Directive 2001/42, it must also undergo a Strategic Environmental Assessment (SEA), as part of a sustainability appraisal, to produce an SA/SEA report. A scoping report must also be prepared as a prerequisite of the drafting of the sustainability appraisal.

As part of the council’s responsibility under the Race Relations Amendment Act 2000, the council is also required to prepare an Equalities Impact Assessment (EQIA) to assess how their policies, services and practices affect the local community across their areas of responsibility.
Consultation process

As part of the planning system, we are required to produce a statement setting out how we have complied with our Statement of Community Involvement (2007). This is called the statement of compliance. The statement of Community Involvement sets out how the council will consult when preparing supplementary planning documents. The minimum approach to consultation the council will undertake, in line with the Statement of Community Involvement is as follows:

- A mail out must be sent to statutory consultees
- The SPD and supporting documents must be made available at the council offices
- There must be an advertisement in the local press stating when and where the documents can be inspected and ensuring adequate publicity is given to documents
- The SPD and supporting documents must be put on the council website
- A 12 week consultation period. This includes 6 weeks informal consultation and 6 weeks formal consultation

The council also take a wider approach to consultation as highlighted in appendix A of the Statement of Community Involvement. This includes mail outs to local groups and residents and attending and arranging meetings and/or workshops where appropriate.

The following consultation plan sets out who will be consulted, when and by what means. This consultation plan is a live document and may be extended throughout the consultation process. The statement of compliance will be prepared after the consultation on this document has concluded. This will detail all of the consultation that has been carried out in compliance with the SCI.
CONSULTATION PLAN:

The SPD will be consulted for 12 weeks.
The INFORMAL consultation period will be for 6 weeks from 23 March to 4 May 2009
The FORMAL consultation period will be for 6 weeks from 5 May to 16 June 2009

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CONSULTATION TIMETABLE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>PRE-PRODUCTION STAGE</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Scoping and collecting evidence base, reviewing relevant plans, policies and documents</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultation on SEA/SA scoping report (appendix 3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Place SEA/SA scoping reports on the council’s website</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11 March 2009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Respond to comments on Dulwich SPG (2004) (appendix 2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11 March 2009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CONSULTATION TIMETABLE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshops and meetings with internal staff</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Present EqIA (Stage 1) to Equalities &amp; Diversity Panel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Planning Officers will hold several public exhibitions in the local area over the consultation period</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Revisions after consultation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADOPTION STAGE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Submit final draft to Planning and Executive Committees</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adoption</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Individual/ Organisation Name</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local groups</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Councillors</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Statutory consultees (Listed in Appendix 1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultees on planning policy database</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General public</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Staff</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equalities Target Groups</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Appendix 1: Statutory consultees mailing list

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Organisation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Natural England (London Region)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>London Fire &amp; Civil Defence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metropolitan Police</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The National Trust</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bromley Council</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DEFRA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Highways Agency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lambeth Council</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lewisham Council</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>London Transport Buses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National Grid Company Plc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>British Telecommunications</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>British Waterways Board</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Church Commissioners for England</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Civil Aviation Authority</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commission for Architecture &amp; Built Environment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commission for Racial Equality</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corporation of London</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DEFRA - GO South East</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Department of Trade and Industry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diocesan Board of Finance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>English Heritage (London Region)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environment Agency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Government Office for London</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greater London Authority</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Highway Agency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>London Ambulance Service</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>London Ambulance Service NHS Trust</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>London Development Agency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>London Fire and Emergency Planning Authority</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>London Fire Brigade</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>London Transport Buses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metropolitan Police Service Property Services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National Grid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Network Rail</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Port of London Authority</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southwark Police</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sport England - London Region</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strategic Rail Authority</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thames Water Property Services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thameslink Trains</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transport for London</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ref</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
|   | Metropolitan Police Authority | CgMs Ltd | 4.2 | Community Facilities: In light of the MPA's evolving operational strategy a specific requirement for a policy facility in the Dulwich area may emerge during the period covered by the SPG. In addition, to the "police shops" described in our representations to Section 4.2, the MPA are developing a series of Safer Neighbourhood Teams (SNT). These SNT's comprise of police officers and Policy Community Support Officers with responsibility for working together with partners and members of the local community to drive down the incidence of crime disorder and anti-social behaviour in the neighbourhood in which they work. The neighbourhood teams will patrol local areas on foot and bicycle to deliver a proactive response to community issues. A base will be required to serve as an office for one or more SNT. No charging or reporting facilities are provided at these offices. Office accommodation is therefor being sought as close to the local community as possible and where possible this will be shared accommodation with partners. Examples of this are schools, hospitals, shopping centres and local authority offices. In some cases, stand alone officers are being sought.

Proposed Change: The MPA recommend additional text should be added to the end of Section 4.4 which states: "The Council is committed to the delivery of a range of community facilities to meet local needs. Where appropriate development proposals may be required to contribute towards community facilities where a need arises". |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>Urban Design Requirements: The MPA welcome the recognition at Section 7.3 of the SPG of the need to take into account safety and security issues in considering the design and layout of both buildings and surrounding spaces. The need to create a safe and secure environment is an important urban design objective which the MPA believe should be specified at Section 5.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Proposed Change: A further criteria should be added to Section 5.1 which states: "The design and layout of buildings and the surrounding spaces should minimise opportunities for crime and create a safe and secure environment" | Noted. This is addressed in Section 3.6 of the Dulwich SPD. |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>9</th>
<th>Planning Obligations: The MPA are mindful that the majority of development proposals generate an additional demand for the services provided by the MPA. The MPA therefore believes the range of potential impacts should be expanded to include contributions towards &quot;community safety needs&quot;. Proposed Change: A further criteria is added to Section 9.0 as follows: &quot;towards community safety needs&quot;</th>
<th>Noted. The Dulwich SPD has been changed to reflect this.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>New section: Consultation on major planning applications: The MPA believe guidance should be provided on the range of bodies who will be consulted on any major planning application, which should include the property services of MPA. Proposed Change: A further section should be added identifying relevant bodies that will be consulted on major planning applications, which should include the Property Services of the MPA</td>
<td>Noted. Information on Planning Obligations is set out in the S106 SPD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.2 &amp; 8.0</td>
<td>Commercial Development and Guidelines for Dulwich and Herne Hill Neighbourhood Areas</td>
<td>Noted. This is an issue for the emerging Core Strategy.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>The MPA are undertaking a review of the way their services are delivered and the associated property infrastructure requirements. A new initiative to emerge from this review is a series of &quot;police shops&quot;, which provide the front of office facilities for the MPA, and offer the public a more accessible and positive environment in encouraging direct face to face contact with officers. The units do not include any holding cells or charging facilities, as found in traditional police stations. These &quot;policy shops&quot; provide a direct service to the public, therefore ideally need to be located in accessible areas, such as town centres and other shopping frontages. A need for such a facility or facilities could emerge in the part of Southwark covered by this Supplementary Planning Guidance. The guidance in Sections 4.2 and 8.0 seeks to protect the Dulwich and Herne Hill Local Centres and other local shopping parades, with cross reference made to the retail policies in the emerging UDP. Part ii) of section 8.3 of the draft SPG specifically states that changes of use from retail in these locations will be resisted. The MPA are concerned that the rigid application of this policy approach could restrict the opportunities for &quot;police</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
shops” which is likely to prejudice the delivery of their operational needs in this part of Southwark. Typically the “police shops” have open frontages similar to shop units, and sustain pedestrian footfall throughout usual shopping hours. Therefore they will play an important part in maintaining, and will contribute towards the vitality and viability of a town centre and other shopping areas. These characteristics are identified as being important by part (iii) of section 8.2

Accordingly, the MPA recommend a more flexibly approach is adopted towards existing retail units, whereby other uses are considered acceptable provided the alternative use generates pedestrian footfall, maintains an open frontage and contributes to the vitality and viability of the local area.

Proposed Change: The MPA recommend a flexible approach should endure to retail units within the area covered by the Dulwich SPG, whereby non A1 uses may be acceptable, for example where the use meets an operational need and contributes towards the vitality and viability of the areas. An example of such a facility is a “police shop”. The MPA recommend the text at Sections 4.2, 8.1 and 8.3 is updated accordingly. In particular the text “changes of use from retail should be resisted” at part (ii) of Section 8.3 should be removed.

<p>| 7   | Dulwich Picture Gallery | Thank you very much for your letter of 3rd November and for sending the information on the proposed extensions of the Dulwich Village Conservation area. I very much approve of the proposed additions. The Gallery benefits a great deal from its location within the Conservation area of Dulwich Village and I am delighted that Southwark Council continues to take such a proactive stance on preserving the nature of the Village and its environs. Thank you for including the Gallery in your consultation process and I wish you all the best with the implementation of the proposal | Noted. No action required |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>8</th>
<th>Pamela Dowling</th>
<th>Para 3.0 page 9</th>
<th>I support the Sustainability Appraisal as a means by which conservation may be pro-actively pursued to help provide the attractive built and natural environment referred to in the Vision for Dulwich' (SPG para 3.1). Sustainability can be considered to include the 'natural environment' of gardens providing important ecological habitats for plants and wildlife and soak-away drainage at times of heavy rainfalls/flash flooding as well as health giving aspects of trees, hedges and other plants against air, noise and light pollution.</th>
<th>Noted. No action required</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Ms Dowling</td>
<td>Para 1.2</td>
<td>The Dulwich SPG and Conservation Area Appraisal is based on weak national legislation. Conservation Area controls have a loophole in that they do not apply to areas not in the public realm, i.e. where most permitted development takes place in an area like Dulwich. The present controls are not robust enough to counteract or slow down what individual freeholders might consider their right to develop their property profit and pension provision based on assumed continued rising property values. Ecology and sustainability are vague notions that benefit a wider society and following generations. Houses in Dulwich are advertised by estate agents as having lofts to convert, gardens big enough for an extension and front gardens for OSP, obviously already being able to buy here as it exists is not enough. Being in a conservation area brings a benefit which only exists because it has been conserved. Would like Conservation Area Controls to apply equally stringently to areas not in the public realm or visible from the street. Those controls to be ungraded so they really protect and conserve and permitted development is properly scrutinised. The single most unsustainable, light and noise pollution introducing structure is a UPVC conservatory to which no planning legislation of conservation area controls apply. Developers only need to establish one precedent which they can point to and neutralise objections on subsequent occasions and if this precedent is of poor quality the low standard is set.</td>
<td>Noted. Section 3.4 of the Dulwich SPD seeks to protect against the subdivision of large properties and infill development in gardens</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| 10 | Dulwich Society Wildlife Committee | 1.1.1 | **Changes sought:** Delete “built” in “built heritage.” Substitute "maintaining the heritage of Dulwich including buildings, trees and open spaces and its unique character."  
**Reason for objections:** The Dulwich Estate is responsible for more than the built heritage: it has oversight of trees, for example, and the users of open space.  
Noted. The Dulwich SPD has been changed to reflect this. (See section 2.1) |
|---|---|---|---|
| 2.4. | **Changes Sought** Delete "Modern infill developments have been built in the context of the existing character of the area, complementing the current built form."  
**Reasons for objections:** This is subjective and somewhat meaningless statement with which many residents would disagree. Much modern infill looks out of place and does not complement the current built form.  
Noted. This text is not included in the Dulwich SPD. |
| 3.1. | **Changes sought** "Modern developments should complement the existing built form and, where appropriate, should maintain and improve the quality of open spaces, improve public transport and make it more accessible by foot or cycle." Delete this sentence. Replace with "Modern developments should complement the existing built form, maintain and improve the quality of open spaces and their ecological value, contribute to sustainability, improve public transport and increase accessibility by foot or cycle."  
**Reasons for objection:** The words "where appropriate" vitiate the aims of the rest of the sentence. They introduce an unacceptable degree of subjectivity and scope for dilution. All development should fulfil the aims set out here. These aims need to be broader and specified.  
Noted. Sections 3.4 and 3.6 of the Dulwich SPD seek to address these issues. |
| 5.1. | Changes sought: Urban design requirements Introduce new features to protect existing local habitats, wildlife populations and migratory species, trees and seed banks. It should promote biodiversity and ecology through measures such as species-rich green space and landscape features, mixed-species hedges, green roofs and walls and integrated bat and bird boxes/bricks. It should also promote linkages with other nearby habitats to preserve and enhance green corridors and safeguard the mobility of species and thus their evolutionary health.

**Reasons for objection**: These elements were previously omitted but are crucial to a biodiversity strategy which Southwark is committed to. In an era of climate change, species of invertebrates and flora may be "stranded" because of moving climate zones. Development must be designed to compliment and enhance biodiversity, not extirpate it.

| 5.5. | Changes Sought: Delete the following sentence "This places an general presumption against any inappropriate development on this land." Replace with "This places a general presumption against any development on this land." Delete following sentence. "Many open spaces in the Dulwich area consist of playing fields and in exceptional circumstances development might be appropriate to upgrade or improve buildings on site or provide ancillary facilities to ensure the viability of the site." Substitute following sentence: "Many open spaces in the Dulwich area consist of playing fields. Development will only be allowed on these spaces in exceptional circumstances and in strict conformity with MOL guidance. Any development on Metropolitan Open Land, Borough Open Land and Other Open Space must be clearly ancillary to the use of the open space and must not compromise its open character." Delete all remainder of 5.5 from "Any planning applications with proposals for new development..." to end of 5.5.

**Reason for objection**: The mayors plan says that MOL "will be protected as a permanent feature, and afforded the same level of protection as the green belt. Appropriate development should minimise any adverse impact on the
open character of MOL through sensitive design and siting and be limited to small scale structures to support outdoor open space uses.” MOL guidance states that any development should be clearly ancillary to its current use and should not diminish its openness. The provision of 5.5. in the draft SPG are at odds with this statement and with government guidance on MOL in that they introduce new economic criterion for permitted development - " to ensure the viability of the site.” By introducing a list of requirements for would be developers of open space, they also introduce a de facto element of permissiveness which would have the effect of normalising development of open space. Open space in Dulwich would be at greater risk of erosion and loss under these provisions, which run counter to both the spirit and the letter of current MOL policies and advise also to other sections of the plan which refer to Dulwich's distinctive identity as a leafy, open and green area south east London. (2.4) The economic viability criterion in particular is a cover all argument that could be used to justify or rationalise a great number of developments: it could thus be the thin end of the wedge, serving in the long term to unravel open space protection in Dulwich. The SPG must hold to the view that open land in Dulwich should remain open land: the SPG as drafted seriously compromises this position.

<p>| 5.6  | Change sought. New policy 5.6. on infill Proposed wording &quot;Dulwich is rich in larger gardens and backland sites which are part of its low density, suburban character and are also valuable in terms of wildlife and biodiversity, sustainable drainage and flood prevention, air quality, retention of large trees, quality of life and human well being. Continuing infill on such sites is a long term threat to the character of Dulwich: there will thus be a strong presumption against such development, which will only be permitted in exceptional circumstances.&quot; Reason for objection Self explanatory. Many &quot;leafy open and green&quot; areas (2.4) have been destroyed over the longer term by over development. The same process is currently happening in Dulwich and if left unchecked will have the same result. |
|      | Noted. Section 3.4 of the Dulwich SPD seeks to protect against development in large gardens |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Page</th>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Changes Sought</th>
<th>Reason for objections</th>
<th>Noted</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 7.2  |        | **Changes Sought** 7.2 Ecology and wildlife. In the following sentence - "All development proposals are required to demonstrate how the effects on ecology will be mitigated during construction and that the development will no longer have no long term detrimental effects on ecology of the site and its surrounds" - replace "mitigated with "avoided" and delete "long term".  

**Reason for objection** The SPG as drafted affords inadequate protection to the ecology. The changes as proposed would strengthen them, in line with a policy that seeks to maintain and enhance biodiversity. The changes proposed would require developers to think seriously about issues which they currently ignore. | Noted. Section 3.7 of the Dulwich SPD has been amended to reflect this. |
| 11   | The Dulwich Society | **Changes sought**. Delete "built" in "built heritage." Substitute "maintaining the heritage of Dulwich including buildings, trees and open spaces and its unique character.." | Noted. The Dulwich SPD has been changed to reflect this. (See section 2.1) |
| 1.3  |        | **Changes Sought** In second paragraph substitute "Mayor of London" for "Mayor", for Clarity. | Noted. This text is not included in the Dulwich SPD. |
| 1.3.1|        | **Changes Sought** Include in list relevant PPGs: PPG 2 Green belts ( including MOL) | Noted. This has been amended in the Dulwich SPD. (See appendix 1) |
| 2.4  |        | **Changes Sought** Delete "Modern infill developments have been built in the context of the existing character of the area, complementing the current built form."  

**Reasons for objections** This is subjective and somewhat meaningless statement with which many residents would disagree. Much modern infill looks out of place and does not complement the current built form. | Noted. This text is not included in the Dulwich SPD. |
| 3.1. | **Changes sought**  
Modern developments should complement the existing built form and, where appropriate, should maintain and improve the quality of open spaces, improve public transport and make it more accessible by foot or cycle. Delete this sentence. Replace with "Modern developments should complement the existing built form, maintain and improve the quality of open spaces and their ecological value, contribute to sustainability, improve public transport and increase accessibility by foot or cycle."

**Reasons for objection** The words "where appropriate" vitiate the aims of the rest of the sentence. They introduce an unacceptable degree of subjectivity and scope for dilution. All development should fulfil the aims set out here. These aims need to be broader and specified. |
| Noted. Sections 3.4 and 3.6 of the Dulwich SPD seek to address these issues. |

| 5.1. | **Changes sought**  
Urban design requirements Introduce new as follows: "new development should incorporate features to protect existing local habitats, wildlife populations and migratory species, trees and seed banks. It should promote biodiversity and ecology through measures such as species - rich green space and landscape features, mixed - secies hedges, green roofs and walls and integrated bat and bird boxes/bricks. It should also promote linkages with other nearby habitats to preserve and enhance green corridors and safeguard the mobility of species and thus their evolutionary health."

**Reasons for objection** These elements were previously omitted but are crucial to a biodiversity strategy which Southwark is committed to. In an era of climate change, species of invertebrates and flora may be "stranded" because of moving climate zones. Development must be designed to complement and enhance biodiversity, not extirpate it. |
| Noted. Section 3.4 of the Dulwich SPD seeks to protect existing local habitats and promote biodiversity and ecology. |

| 5.5. | **Changes Sought**  
Delete the following sentence "This places an general presumption against any inappropriate development on this land." Replace with "This places a general presumption against any development on this land." Delete following sentence. "many open spaces in the Dulwich area consist of playing fields and in exceptional circumstances development might be appropriate to |
| Noted. Section 3.4 of the Dulwich SPD has been amended to reflect this. |
upgrade or improve buildings on site or provide ancillary facilities to ensure the viability of the site." Substitute following sentence: "Many open spaces in the Dulwich area consist of playing fields. Development will only be allowed on these spaces in exceptional circumstances and in strict conformity with MOL guidance. Any development on Metropolitan Open Land, Borough Open Land and Other Open Space must be clearly ancillary to the use of the open space and must not compromise its open character." Delete all remainder of 5.5 from "Any planning applications with proposals for new development..." to end of 5.5.

**Reason for objection** The mayor's plan says that MOL "will be protected as a permanent feature, and afforded the same level of protection as the green belt. Appropriate development should minimise any adverse impact on the open character of MOL through sensitive design and siting and be limited to small scale structures to support outdoor open space uses." MOL guidance states that any development should be clearly ancillary to its current use and should not diminish its openness. The provision of 5.5. in the draft SPG are at odds with this statement and with government guidance on MOL in that they introduce new economic criterion for permitted development - "to ensure the viability of the site." By introducing a list of requirements for would be developers of open space, they also introduce a de facto element of permissiveness which would have the effect of normalising development of open space. Open space in Dulwich would be at greater risk of erosion and loss under these provisions, which run counter to both the spirit and the letter of current MOL policies and advise also to other sections of the plan which refer to Dulwich's distinctive identity as a leafy, open and green area south east London. (2.4) The economic viability criterion in particular is a cover all argument that could be used to justify or rationalise a great number of developments: it could thus be the thin end of the wedge, serving in the long term to unravel open space protection in Dulwich. The SPG must hold to the view that open land in Dulwich should remain open land: the SPG as drafted seriously compromises this position.
| 5.6 | **Change sought.** New policy 5.6. on infill Proposed wording "Dulwich is rich in larger gardens and backland sites which are part of its low density, suburban character and are also valuable in terms of wildlife and biodiversity, sustainable drainage and flood prevention, air quality, retention of large trees, quality of life and human well being. Continuing infill on such sites is a long term threat to the character of Dulwich: there will thus be a strong presumption against such development, which will only be permitted in exceptional circumstances."

**Reason for objection** Self explanatory. Many "leafy open and green" areas (2.4) have been destroyed over the longer term by over development. The same process is currently happening in Dulwich and if left unchecked will have the same result. |

|  | Noted. Section 3.4 of the Dulwich SPD seeks to protect against development in large gardens |
### Appendix 3: Table or representations received on the draft Dulwich SPD Sustainability Appraisal Scoping Report

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RESPONDANT</th>
<th>COMMENT</th>
<th>PROPOSED CHANGE</th>
<th>OFFICER COMMENT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>David Hammond, Natural England</td>
<td>Overall natural England broadly supports the Scoping Opinion and has no objections to the proposed approach or Methodology planned by the Council. Paragraph 3.3 refers to Appendix 3 Relationship to other Plans and Programmes this should read Appendix 2 as per question 1.</td>
<td>National government guidance, regional guidance and local plans and strategies prepared by the council and other organisations that are relevant to the SPD have been reviewed and are included in Appendix 3.</td>
<td>Comment noted. The information referring to relationship to other plans and programmes is set out in Appendix 3. The reference to appendix 2 will be corrected in Section 2 of the draft Sustainability Appraisal.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>David Hammond, Natural England</td>
<td>Question 1. Natural England considers the Plans and Programmes referenced as suitable and appropriate; we have no other Programmes or Plans to recommend.</td>
<td>None.</td>
<td>Comment noted.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>David Hammond, Natural England</td>
<td>Question 2. Chapter 4 Baseline information covers the areas and issues that Natural England would wish to see considered by such a document, such as open space and biodiversity, together with sustainable transport. Under open space and biodiversity, Natural England are pleased to see consideration of the promotion and access to the South East London Green Chain Walk.</td>
<td>None.</td>
<td>Comment noted.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>David Hammond, Natural England</td>
<td>Question 3. Chapter 5 Sustainability Issues and Objectives section, this</td>
<td>None.</td>
<td>Comment noted.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RESPONDANT</th>
<th>COMMENT</th>
<th>PROPOSED CHANGE</th>
<th>OFFICER COMMENT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Natural England            | section again covers the areas and issues that Natural England would like to see considered by such a document so we have nothing to add to this section in response to question 3.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   |                  | None. Comments noted. The council already collects this information and it is used to inform policies and guidance set out in LDF documents.  
The wording of SDO 16 has been amended in the draft Sustainability Appraisal. |
| David Hammond, Natural England | Sustainability Appraisal Framework provides a breakdown of the proposal Sustainability Objectives for this document, of which there are sixteen listed and which can be broadly supported by Natural England, especially;  
SDO 6  
To reduce contributions to Climate Change  
SDO 13  
To protect and enhance Open Spaces, Green Corridors and Biodiversity  
Under the indicators for this objective the Council may also wish to consider the numbers of agreed management plans in place/negotiated for open space within the plan area.  
SDO 16  
To (the word promote is missing here) Sustainable Forms of Transport  
The council may wish to give consideration to targets for Green Travel Plans for applications above a certain size e.g. Units, Floor space or Employees. | SDO 16: To promote Sustainable Forms of Transport  
The wording of SDO 16 has been amended in the draft Sustainability Appraisal.                                                                                      |                  |
| David Hammond, Natural England | The following provides links below to information sources that the council may find of benefit and of use;  
Design for Biodiversity http://www.d4b.org.uk/                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                         | None.            | Comments noted. We recognise that background information is important to inform the planning guidance set out in the SPD and therefore welcome all signposts to useful sources of information. |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RESPONDANT</th>
<th>COMMENT</th>
<th>PROPOSED CHANGE</th>
<th>OFFICER COMMENT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| David Hammond, Natural England | Monitoring the Natural Environment  
To ensure that you council’s planning decisions are based on the best available evidence on the natural environment your council should give consideration to entering into an agreement with Greenspace Information for Greater London (GIGL) for the provision of a variety of natural environment and greenspace datasets. This information is essential for making effective planning decisions and for ensuring compliance with planning guidance. You can contact GIGL at enquiries@gigl.org.uk | None. | The council subscribes to GIGL and the planning policy team consult with people who regularly use this information including the council’s ecology officer. |
| Charles Muriithi, Environment Agency | Flood Risk  
We welcome the inclusion of policy SDO14. The SFRA identifies Dulwich as an area which has previously been subject to surface water flooding. Residential and commercial properties suffered flood damage in 2004 and 2007.  
The Dulwich SPD should therefore aim to minimise risk of flooding by reducing surface water runoff in new developments in line with the London Plan. A Surface Water Management Plan should be developed for Dulwich.  
We also note the comments on reducing surface water runoff in Dulwich | None. | Comments noted. Strategic flood risk policy will be developed in the council’s LDF documents. Further guidance on reducing surface water run-off in Dulwich is also set out in the draft SPD. |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RESPONDANT</th>
<th>COMMENT</th>
<th>PROPOSED CHANGE</th>
<th>OFFICER COMMENT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Charles Muriithi, Environment Agency</td>
<td><strong>Sustainable Drainage Systems (SUDS)</strong>&lt;br&gt;SUDS are of particular importance within national planning policy (including Planning Policy Statement 25: Development &amp; Flood Risk). Annex F, (Paragraph F6) states “Surface water arising from a developed site should, as far as is practicable, be managed in a sustainable manner to mimic surface water flows arising from the site prior to the proposed development. ……” SUDS are promoted as the preferred drainage option by other National planning policy statements. These include: PPS1, PPS3, PPS9, PPS23 and the London Plan (February 2008) – Policy 4A.3 Sustainable design and construction&lt;br&gt;Policy 4A.9 Adaptation to Climate Change&lt;br&gt;Policy 4A.11 Living Roofs and Walls&lt;br&gt;Policy 4A.14 Sustainable drainage&lt;br&gt;Policy 4A.17 Water quality&lt;br&gt;&lt;br&gt;Throughout the London Plan SUDS is cited as one of the most effective means of reducing flood risk. A drainage impact assessment / surface water management plan is to be submitted as part of development proposals, demonstrating how the rates and volumes of surface water runoff from sites will be reduced in accordance with the London Plan, using the most sustainable methods and techniques. Developers should aim to achieve greenfield run off from their site through incorporating rainwater harvesting and sustainable drainage.&lt;br&gt;&lt;br&gt;For good practice we recommend the following:&lt;br&gt;- New development should provide appropriate sustainable drainage systems (SUDS) for the disposal of surface water&lt;br&gt;- SUDS should be applied within the curtilage of the development site. If this is not possible, developments should contribute towards the cost of off-site SUDS&lt;br&gt;- SUDS can be designed to provide multi-use benefits, such as public amenity and wildlife improvements</td>
<td>None.</td>
<td>Comments noted. Guidance in the draft Dulwich SPD requires new developments to minimise their impact on the environment through incorporating sustainable design and construction including sustainable urban drainage systems. More information on incorporating SUDS in development is set out in the council’s Sustainable Design and Construction SPD which will apply to new development in the area.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RESPONDANT COMMENT</td>
<td>PROPOSED CHANGE</td>
<td>OFFICER COMMENT</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Use permeable paving rather than concrete. This type of paving allows rainwater to infiltrate into the ground, topping up groundwater supplies. By reducing the rate of surface water run-off it can help to reduce the risk of flooding. For technical guidance you are referred to The SUDS manual, CIRIA C697. Box 2.1, on page 2-15, Chapter 2 of the CIRIA C697 document provides a comprehensive list entitled “Basic requirements of drainage assessments”. The CIRIA document can also be downloaded freely at <a href="http://www.ciria.org/suds/publications.htm">http://www.ciria.org/suds/publications.htm</a>. The C697 document provides advice on:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• SUDS techniques: their principles and benefits and compares them to conventional drainage systems</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Design requirements for SUDS systems and their appropriateness for site conditions</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Maintenance and adoption of SUDS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Regulation and responsibilities for SUDS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Construction of SUDS and the requirements to protect the SUDS features and watercourses during the construction process.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>There is likely to be SUDS techniques suitable for almost all sites, even sites of limited size of area and infiltration capacity. The Environment Agency requires that the drainage proposals for a site achieve the following criteria:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Greenfield discharge rates on greenfield sites (8l/s/ha)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Aspire to greenfield rates on brownfield sites, where possible (8l/s/ha). If not brownfield sites needs to show a reasonable reduction in existing runoff rates.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Demonstrate that opportunities to implement sustainable drainage techniques at the site have been maximised.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Demonstrate that the surface water design can accommodate any storm event up to the critical duration 1 in 100 year storm (with climate change) event for the site without the flow balancing system being bypassed.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RESPONDANT</td>
<td>COMMENT</td>
<td>PROPOSED CHANGE</td>
<td>OFFICER COMMENT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Charles Muriithi, Environment Agency | Sufficient information must be provided to demonstrate that the critical duration storm event has been used.  
- Demonstrate that surface water discharges to watercourses do not exceed a velocity of 1m/s.  
- Consider climate change when designing your drainage system. | None. | Comments noted. Guidance in the draft Dulwich SPD requires new developments to minimise their impact on the environment through incorporating sustainable design and construction which could include green roofs. Further guidance on the use of green roofs is set out in the council’s Sustainable Design and Construction SPD. |
| Charles Muriithi, Environment Agency | **Green Roofs**  
Green roofs deliver on many fronts including flood risk. Living roofs and walls help maintain pre-development or greenfield run-off volumes and rates from development sites. They can also enhance biodiversity, improve a building’s thermal performance, thus reducing associated energy costs, help counter the Urban Heat Island Effect, support higher density more sustainable development and improve the appearance of urban areas. For more information please refer to our [Green Roofs Toolkit](#). | None. | |
| Charles Muriithi, Environment Agency | **Flood resilient construction**  
More information on sustainability and householder development would be obtained from ‘Improving the Flood performance of new buildings’ Flood resilient construction (Defra May 2007). This document aims to provide guidance to developers and designers on how to improve the resilience of new properties in low or residual flood risk areas by the use of suitable materials and construction details. These approaches are appropriate for areas where the probability of flooding is low (e.g. flood zone 1 as defined by PPS 25) or areas where flood risk management or mitigation measures have been put in place. Specifically this guidance document provides:  
- practical and easy-to-use guidance on the design and specification of new buildings (primarily housing) in low or residual flood risk areas in order to reduce the impacts of flooding  
- recommendations for the construction of flood resistant and resilient buildings  
All buildings must be located and designed to reduce the flood risk over the lifetime of the development. This should be taken | None. | Comments noted. We recognise that background information is important to inform the planning guidance set out in the SPD and therefore welcome all signposts to useful sources of information. Considerable detail on flood resilient construction is set out in the Sustainable Design and Construction SPD which will apply to development in Dulwich. |
### Respondant: Charles Muriithi, Environment Agency

**Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy**

We support sustainability objective SDO6. The SPD should ensure that a significant proportion of the energy supply of substantial new development is gained on-site and renewably, and/or from decentralised, renewable or low carbon energy supply and support the use of renewables, CHP and bio fuels. It should promote the use of recycled building materials and materials that have low embodied energy and also promote retrofitting existing buildings to make them more energy efficient.

The policy performance would be monitored by comparing - total electricity and gas use, electricity generated from renewable energy sources and CHP located in the area, embodied energy in new buildings and percentage of new homes conforming to recognised codes for sustainable buildings. This information would be obtained from the following sources:

- Audit Commission Area Profiles-household and individual energy use, by local authority
- Department of trade and Industry (Currently Department for Business and Enterprise)- energy trends
- Environment Change Institute-emissions from buildings, appliances
- Renewable Energy Statistics Database-renewable energy

None. Comments noted. This approach to energy efficiency and renewable energy has been taken forward in the draft Dulwich SPD. Further information is contained in the Sustainable Design and Construction SPD.

**Waste Management**

We support objective SDO8. In addition we recommend that all planning applications for development projects costing over £300k are required at validation stage to be accompanied by a Site Waste Management Plan (SWMP) in line with new regulations that came into force in April 2008. The SWMP’s purpose is to ensure that building materials are managed efficiently, waste is disposed of legally and material recycling, reuse and recovery is maximised. Both local authorities and ourselves have power to enforce these regulations via fixed penalty notices or prosecution.

None. Guidance on site waste management plans is contained within the Sustainable Design and Construction SPD which will apply to development in Southwark.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RESPONDANT</th>
<th>COMMENT</th>
<th>PROPOSED CHANGE</th>
<th>OFFICER COMMENT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Charles Muriithi, Environment Agency</td>
<td><strong>Water Resources</strong>&lt;br&gt;We welcome the SPD’s objective SDO9 to encourage the sustainable use of water resources and its target to reduce demand to 105 litres per person per day (CSH 3/4) in line with the London Plan policy 4A.16. You may also want to consider the BREEAM excellent ratings for commercial premises.&lt;br&gt;&lt;br&gt;Although we recognise that limited development is planned for Dulwich, there is still scope for residents and businesses to reduce the water they consume through improved water efficiency. Key water efficiency measures include:&lt;br&gt;&lt;ul&gt;&lt;li&gt;Installation of water savings fittings - dual/low flush toilets, spay taps, low flow showers;&lt;/li&gt;&lt;li&gt;Retrofitting ‘variable flush’ devised in existing toilets;&lt;/li&gt;&lt;li&gt;Use of water efficient appliances - dish washers and washing machines;&lt;/li&gt;&lt;li&gt;Opportunities for greywater (i.e. used wastewater, such as bath water, for non potable uses, such as toilet flushing) and rain water use;&lt;/li&gt;&lt;li&gt;Low water-demand planting in gardens;&lt;/li&gt;&lt;li&gt;Use of sustainable urban drainage systems (such as green roof tops);&lt;/li&gt;&lt;li&gt;Behavioural changes - i.e. turn off taps when not required, full load washes.&lt;/li&gt;&lt;/ul&gt;We produce a number of publications about Water Conservation. To receive a hard copy of any of these publications, please email <a href="mailto:savewater@environment-agency.gov.uk">savewater@environment-agency.gov.uk</a> or telephone 01903 832275. For more information on our publications, please use the link below: <a href="http://www.environment-agency.gov.uk/subjects/waterres/286587/286911/?version=1&amp;lang=_e">http://www.environment-agency.gov.uk/subjects/waterres/286587/286911/?version=1&amp;lang=_e</a></td>
<td>None.</td>
<td>Comments noted. Guidance in the draft Dulwich SPD requires new developments to minimise their impact on the environment through incorporating sustainable design and construction including minimising water use. More information is set out in the council’s Sustainable Design and Construction SPD.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Charles Muriithi, Environment Agency</td>
<td><strong>Open Space and Biodiversity</strong>&lt;br&gt;We welcome the inclusion of objective SDO13. Improving and linking green spaces to local residents and wider population and visitors is crucial and we welcome proposals for the</td>
<td>None.</td>
<td>We recognise that background information is important to inform the planning guidance set out in the SPD and therefore welcome all signposts to useful sources of information.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
improvement and enhancement of the public realm. In particular we see development as an opportunity for the green spaces to become a major educational and community resource. Providing new and attractive green grid style development, improving entrance ways and knowledge of parks, enhancing and possible extension of the existing green spaces, would be welcome development. The key issues we have identified for the SPD relate to:

- Improving and linking open spaces to local residents and wider borough population and visitors.
- Providing new and attractive green grid style development
- Improving entrance ways and knowledge of open spaces
- Increased environmental recreation in and around parks e.g. increased access to environmental education.
- Improved environmental links (e.g. cycle ways, walkways, extensions and links to existing green space areas).

Charles Muriithi, Environment Agency

**Climate Change**

Climate change considerations should be integrated into all spatial planning concerns. Mitigation and adaptation should not be considered in isolation of each other, and opportunities for their integration in the development of spatial strategies, and their delivery, should be maximised. Consideration should be accorded to the area's vulnerability to climate change, using the most recent scenarios available from UKCIP and specifically the implications for built development, infrastructure and services and biodiversity.

New development should be avoided in those areas with likely increased vulnerability to climate change, particularly where it is not viable to manage likely risks through suitable measures to provide resilience; and bring forward adaptation options for existing development in likely vulnerable areas.

The council should require development proposals to take account of the expected changes in local climate conditions, throughout the proposed lifetime of the development, by adaptation or flexibility to allow future adaptation. Information on these measures must be submitted with an application.

None.

Comments noted. Guidance set out in the draft Dulwich SPD seeks to promote development that will reduce the areas vulnerability to climate change in line with other LDF documents including the Sustainable Design and Construction SPD which provides guidance on mitigating and adapting to climate change.
Specifically, the council should require major developments to:

- identify the type of and extent of the main changes expected in the local climate throughout the lifetime of the proposed development,
- identify the potential impacts of these changes on the proposed development and its neighbours,
- indicate the ways in which the proposed development design overcomes the hazards and exploits the opportunities associated with these impacts whilst meeting other sustainable development criteria, particularly the need to achieve overall reductions in greenhouse gas emissions.

Charles Muriithi, Environment Agency

Expected Environmental characteristics of Dulwich

From the perspective of environmental sustainability we expect Dulwich to display the following characteristics:

- The quality of the environment needs to be protected and improved
- The demands on natural resources need to be managed sustainably
- Both new and existing development needs to contribute to a low Carbon region and designed to adapt to climate change
- The necessary environmental infrastructure to support both new and existing development needs to be in place

Environmental standards

High environmental standards can only be met if the environment is factored in at the beginning of all development plans. Outlined below is our list of desired outcomes for Dulwich SPD:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Outcomes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Improving environmental quality</td>
<td>- Water quality is improved</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Land quality is improved and Brownfield Land is appropriately developed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Cleaner and healthier air</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Comments noted. Guidance set out in the draft Dulwich SPD seeks to promote development that meets these characteristics in line with other LDF documents including the Sustainable Design and Construction SPD.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RESPONDANT</th>
<th>COMMENT</th>
<th>PROPOSED CHANGE</th>
<th>OFFICER COMMENT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
|            | • Biodiversity is protected and enhanced  
• Access to the environment is improved and promoted |                |                 |
|            | **Using resources efficiency**  
• Water is managed wisely and we have enough water for people and the environment  
• Waste is managed sustainably  
• A Sustainable construction approach is adopted on all developments |                |                 |
|            | **Responding to Climate change**  
• Energy resource is used efficiently and carbon emissions reduced  
• A Climate change adaptation plan is in place |                |                 |
|            | **Providing appropriate environmental infrastructure**  
• The impact of flooding understood and the risks appropriately managed  
• Appropriate water supply and waste water infrastructure is provided  
• Appropriate waste infrastructure is in place  
• A network of Green infrastructure is in place |                |                 |
| Charles Muriithi, Environment Agency | **Additional strategies**  
**Land Contamination**  
Most of the sites anticipated for housing development will occur on previously developed land. PPS23: Planning and Pollution Control, (Nov 2004) has changed the basis for dealing with land affected by contamination. It rests firmly on the precautionary principle. The precautionary principle should be invoked when  
• there is good reason to believe that harmful effects may occur to human, animal or plant health or to the environment;  
• the level of scientific uncertainty about the | None. | Comments noted.  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RESPONDANT</th>
<th>COMMENT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Charles Muriithi, Environment Agency | **Protection of Groundwater**  
Sites identified as suitable for development should meet the objectives of Water Framework Directive. Our 'Policy and Practice for the Protection of Groundwater' states that only roof water may discharge to ground at sites within inner source protection zones. |
| | **Appendix 2**  
*Relevant Plans, Programmes and Environmental Protection Objectives*  
**PPG25: Development and Flood Risk**  
The document should refer to PPS25 not PPG25.  
We recommend that the following additional guidance and policies be taken into account when preparing the SPD.  
**Code for Sustainable Homes: A step change in sustainable home building practice**  
This guidance was published by CLG in December 2006. It is a standard for key elements of the design and construction, which offers the sustainability of a new home. It will become the single | **PPS 25: Development and Flood Risk (2001)**  
The reference to PPS25 will be updated in the draft Sustainability Appraisal  
We recognise that background information is important to inform the planning guidance set out in the SPD and therefore welcome all signposts to useful sources of information. |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RESPONDANT</th>
<th>COMMENT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>national standard for sustainable homes, used by home designers and builders as a guide to development, and by home–buyers to assist in their choice of home</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
|            | **Planning Policies for Sustainable Building**  
We recommend the inclusion of "Planning Policies for Sustainable Building"—a Guidance to Local Development Frameworks (Local Government Association-Oct 2006). It recommends ways of integrating benchmarks for sustainable building into Local Development Frameworks. The report provides a set of suggestions and guidance, which reflect emerging and current good practice, and will help to deliver key policy objectives in areas such as energy, water and the use of materials.  
**Light Pollution**  
Guidance on reducing light pollution has been prepared by the Institution of Lighting Engineers (ILE) Guidance notes for the reduction of obtrusive light, GN01, 2005  
The council should require development proposals to demonstrate how it is intended to contribute towards reducing light pollution. Information on these measures may be submitted with an application. The council will require that major developments provide lighting schemes that are designed to reduce the occurrence of light pollution and will expect such schemes to employ energy efficient forms of lighting that also reduce light scatter.  
**Adapting to Climate Change: A checklist for development**  
This guidance on designing developments in a changing climate was published by the Greater London Authority in November 2005. The main actions are summarised in a simple to use checklist, however, it is not intended to be a design manual, although it does contain signposts to more detailed guidance.  
**Adapting to climate change: a case study companion to the checklist for development (March 2007)**  
It provides built environment case studies that incorporate climate change adaptation in their design and construction. The
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RESPONDANT</th>
<th>COMMENT</th>
<th>PROPOSED CHANGE</th>
<th>OFFICER COMMENT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Charles Muriithi,</td>
<td><strong>Conclusion</strong>&lt;br&gt;By continuing to work closely together at all stages we can ensure new development addresses environmental issues and achieves environmental protection and enhancement. The SPD offers the opportunity to produce development with the highest environmental standards. We would like to work with you on the best ways to manage and improve the green infrastructure.</td>
<td>None.</td>
<td>Comments noted.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environment Agency</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adina Brown, English</td>
<td>Overall English Heritage supports the approach taken in this consultation; however we would like to highlight areas where greater consideration of the historic environment is needed to inform LB of Southwark’s emerging SPD for Dulwich. Our recommendations are set out below and we hope our advice will help to ensure your SPD is technically sound in accordance with government planning policy, such as PPG15 and 16, and the</td>
<td>None.</td>
<td>Comments noted.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heritage</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>latest UK climate change scenarios indicate that, on average, summers will become hotter and drier; there will also be an intensification of the urban heat island effect in urban areas. Winters will be milder and wetter leading to increased flood risk. As well as seasonal changes, there will be more extreme climate events for example, very hot days and intense downpours of rain. The companion guide provides case studies of developments or buildings that use techniques relevant to key climate change adaptation issues.</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Thames Corridor Catchment Abstraction Management Strategy (CAMS) - Produced by the Environment Agency June 2004 - looks at water resources management.</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>CAMS are strategies for management of water resources at a local level. They make available information on water resources and licensing practice publicly available and allow the balance between the needs of the water abstractors, other water users and the aquatic environment to be considered in consultation with the local community and interested parties.</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RESPONDANT</td>
<td>COMMENT</td>
<td>PROPOSED CHANGE</td>
<td>OFFICER COMMENT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Adina Brown, English Heritage | Q1. Relationship to other Plans and Programmes  
English Heritage notes and welcomes that PPG15, PPG16, EH/CABE Guidance on Tall Buildings and the Dulwich Conservation Area Appraisals has been identified as key documents to be taken into account in preparation of the SPD. In addition, English Heritage recommends the European Landscape Convention, which was ratified by the United Kingdom government in 2006, also be included in the International Plans and Programmes section. It is the first international convention for the management and protection of landscape, for the text of the treaty please see: http://conventions.coe.int/Treaty/en/Treaties/Html/176.htm. | None.                      | Comments noted. Guidance set out in the SPD will be in conformity with the European Landscape Convention.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                     |
| Adina Brown, English Heritage | Q2. Baseline Information  
English Heritage notes that baseline data is being gathered for the historic environment to inform the evidence base for the Dulwich SPD. We welcome inclusion of data on Conservation Areas and the Archaeology Priority Zone, however the full range of heritage assets should also be considered. For example, an understanding of listed historic buildings, areas and their setting; historic parks and gardens (such as grade II Dulwich and Peckham Rye Parks); heritage landscapes and the wider historic environment, such as those elements that may not be statutorily protected, yet help define the Boroughs local distinctiveness. There are also a number of ‘Buildings at Risk’ that should be identified in the area. The appropriate information sources that should be consulted, in respect of the historic environment, are as follows:  
- Greater London Sites and Monuments Record (archaeology, including Scheduled Ancient Monuments and Archaeology Priority Areas);  
- The Schedule of Buildings of Architectural and Historic Interest (listed buildings); | None.                      | Comments noted. We have worked closely with our design and conservation colleagues in collecting the baseline relating to the historic environment. This has been drawn from a range of sources.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                     |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RESPONDANT</th>
<th>COMMENT</th>
<th>PROPOSED CHANGE</th>
<th>OFFICER COMMENT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
|            | - The Borough’s conservation area records and adopted guidance (including conservation area designation reports, statements, appraisals and design guidance);  
- The borough’s list of Locally Listed Buildings (or equivalent);  
- The Register of Historic Parks and Gardens  
- The London Heritage at Risk Register [www.english-heritage.org.uk/BAR];  
- The HELM website [www.helm.org.uk]; and  
- The Heritage Counts website [www.heritagecounts.org.uk] | | We are intending to produce a heritage and design SPD that will cover the issue of historic ‘characterisation’ in the borough. We will continue to work closely with the design and conservation team on the Dulwich SPD and other LDF documents. |

English Heritage would also encourage LB of Southwark to undertake historic ‘characterisation’ of the SPD areas as part of the character assessment, and to inform how future change can be managed in the historic environment. Your in-house conservation and archaeological staff would be able to advise you on this, as well as the Greater London Sites and Monuments Record ([http://www.english-heritage.org.uk/server/show/nav.8900](http://www.english-heritage.org.uk/server/show/nav.8900)).

Adina Brown, English Heritage

Q3. Sustainability Issues and Objectives
The wider benefits of the historic environment could also be emphasised in the sustainability issues section. For example how the historic environment contributes to the vibrancy and vitality of Dulwich. The historic environment has a vital role to play in providing sense of place and identity, and enhancement of this resource is a key component of maintaining local character/ distinctiveness in the Borough. For example better interpretation of key heritage assets and improved access for community enjoyment could also be an objective.

None.

The draft Dulwich SPD recognises the unique character of the area and seeks to protect and enhance its distinctive characteristics. The issue of heritage assets and community facilities will be addressed further in other LDF documents.

Adina Brown, English Heritage

Q4. Sustainability Appraisal Framework
English Heritage notes and welcomes that a specific sustainability objective on the historic environment has been included (SDO 12). Regarding the criteria, LB of Southwark could consider including a question as to whether it will enhance access to the historic environment and also contribute to better understanding of the historic environment. Although we

None.

All the conservation areas in the area currently have a conservation area profile. Information on monuments at risk and conservation areas at risk can be included in the annual monitoring report once this information is available.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RESPONDANT</th>
<th>COMMENT</th>
<th>PROPOSED CHANGE</th>
<th>OFFICER COMMENT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Adina Brown, English Heritage</td>
<td>welcome inclusion of buildings at risk as indicators, there should be indicators to reflect the full range of heritage assets. For example the number of Conservation Areas with up-to-date appraisals and management plans are potential indicators (e.g. Dulwich Wood is not yet completed). There are also ‘monuments at risk’ and ‘conservation areas at risk’ will be published in June this year (<a href="http://www.english-heritage.org.uk/BAR">www.english-heritage.org.uk/BAR</a>).</td>
<td>None.</td>
<td>Comments noted. We will continue to work closely with the design and conservation team on the preparation of the draft Dulwich SPD.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cllr Toby Eckersley</td>
<td>The baseline data refers to parts of College ward having poor public transport links. Parts of Village ward also have poor public transport links and this should be referred to in the report.</td>
<td>None.</td>
<td>Comment noted. Reference to poor public transport links are now referred to in this report.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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2013 Mail out letter
Dear Sir/Madam

I am writing to notify you that we are currently consulting on two planning documents.

1. **DRAFT COMMUNITY INFRASTRUCTURE LEVY (CIL) CHARGING SCHEDULE**

   **What is the Community Infrastructure Levy (CIL) and the Charging Schedule?**
   The Community Infrastructure Levy (CIL) is a new levy that local authorities can choose to charge on new developments in their area. The money can be used to support new development by funding strategic infrastructure that the council, local community and neighbourhoods want.

   Southwark is intending to become a CIL charging authority under the Planning Act 2008 and Community Infrastructure Levy Regulations 2010 (as amended). In order to do so, Southwark must prepare and consult on a charging schedule which sets out the charging rate(s) (per square metre of new floorspace) to be levied on new development in the borough. These rates need to be supported by evidence including a study of the economic viability of new development and an Infrastructure Plan which sets out Southwark’s infrastructure needs over the next 15 years.

   We consulted on a ‘Preliminary’ draft CIL Charging Schedule from July to October 2012. We are now at the second stage of preparation. Following this second round of consultation we will be submitting the Draft CIL Charging Schedule and all of the representations received during consultation to the Government’s Planning Inspectorate office. The Draft CIL Charging Schedule will then be subject to an Examination in Public held by an independent Examiner during the Summer 2013.

   The CIL Charging Schedule will eventually replace the section 106 tariffs set out in the adopted Section 106 planning obligations supplementary planning document (SPD). Section 106 planning obligations will continue to be used for affordable housing and anything required just for the specific site (like a new access road). We will consult on a revised Section 106 planning obligations SPD later in the year.

   **How to make a representation on the Draft CIL Charging Schedule (CIL Regulation 16 (2))**
   The draft CIL Charging Schedule was published on 20 February 2013 and is available for consultation for a period of six weeks. All comments must be received by 5pm Wednesday 3 April 2013.

   The draft CIL Charging Schedule and its supporting documents are available to view on the council’s website at: [http://www.southwark.gov.uk/info/856/planning_policy/2696/community_infrastructure_levy](http://www.southwark.gov.uk/info/856/planning_policy/2696/community_infrastructure_levy)
   You can see a hard copy of all of the documents at the locations listed on the back of this letter.

   Comments can be made in writing or via email and sent to the following addresses. At this stage you are also able to request if you would like the right to be heard by the CIL Examiner at the forthcoming Examination in Public hearing.
In your representation to us please also let us know if you would like to be notified at a specified address of any of the following:

(i) that the draft CIL charging schedule has been submitted to the CIL Examiner in accordance with section 212 of PA 2008,
(ii) the publication of the recommendations of the CIL Examiner and the reasons for those recommendations, and
(iii) the approval of the CIL charging schedule by the council.

2. DRAFT DULWICH SUPPLEMENTARY PLANNING DOCUMENT (SPD)

The draft Dulwich SPD is a planning document that provides guidance setting out how we will ensure that new development respects the historic character and reflects local distinctiveness. The SPD provides guidance on a number of issues including; conserving heritage assets, appropriate types of new development, protecting and improving open spaces and protecting and improving shopping areas. The SPD will be used to decide if planning applications in Dulwich should be approved.

How to make a representation on the draft Dulwich SPD

The draft Dulwich SPD was published on **28 January 2013** and is available for consultation for a period of 12 weeks. All comments must be received by **5pm Monday 22 April 2013**.

The draft SPD and supporting documents are available to view and download from our website at [http://www.southwark.gov.uk/info/200151/supplementary_planning_documents_and_guidance/1247/dulwich_spd](http://www.southwark.gov.uk/info/200151/supplementary_planning_documents_and_guidance/1247/dulwich_spd)

You can see a hard copy of the SPD at the locations listed on the back of this letter. Paper copies are available from the Planning Policy team by phoning 020 7525 5471 or emailing planningpolicy@southwark.gov.uk.

We will also be holding two consultation events on the Dulwich SPD at the following venues;

- **Saturday 2 March, 2pm-5pm** drop in session, (3.30pm-5pm workshop) at Dulwich Picture Gallery, Gallery Road, Dulwich, London SE21 7AD
- **Wednesday 10 April, 5pm-8pm** drop in session at Dulwich Leisure Centre, 2b Crystal Palace Road, London SE22 9HB

Comments can be made in writing or via email and sent to the following addresses.

Post: FREEPOST SE1919/14
Kate Johnson
Planning Policy
Southwark Council
London SE1P 5EX
Email: planningpolicy@southwark.gov.uk

If you have any queries about these documents, please contact the Planning Policy team on 020 7525 5471 or by email at planningpolicy@southwark.gov.uk

**Planning Policy** – Chief Executive’s Department, PO Box 64529, London SE1P 5LX
**Switchboard** - 020 7525 5000  **Website** - www.southwark.gov.uk
**Chief Executive** – Elena Kelly

Email: planningpolicy@southwark.gov.uk.
Post: FREEPOST SE1919/14
Barbara-Ann Overwater
Planning Policy
Chief Executive’s Department
London SE1P 5EX
Yours faithfully

Juliet Seymour
Planning Policy Manager
LOCATIONS

Southwark Council, 160 Tooley Street, London, SE1 2QH

Libraries (Opening times listed individually below)

- **Blue Anchor Library**: Market Place, Southwark Park Road, SE16 3UQ  
  (Monday; Tuesday & Thursday 09:00 – 19:00, Friday 10:00 – 18:00, Saturday 09:00 – 17:00)
- **Brandon Library**: Maddock Way, Cooks Road, SE17 3NH  
  (Monday, Tuesday & Thursday 14:00 – 17:00, Friday 10:00 – 15:00, Saturday 10:00 – 17:00)
- **Camberwell Library**: 17-21 Camberwell Church Street, SE5 8TR  
  (Monday, Tuesday & Thursday 9:00 – 20:00, Friday 10:00 – 18:00, Saturday 09:00 – 17:00)
- **Canada Water Library**: 21 Surrey Quays Road, SE16 7AR  
  (Monday – Friday 09:00 – 20:00, Saturday 09:00 - 17:00, Sunday 12:00- 16:00)
- **Dulwich Library**: 368 Lordship Lane, SE22 8NB  
  (Monday, Wednesday, Thursday & Friday 09:00 – 20:00, Tuesday 10:00 – 20:00,  
  Saturday 09:00 – 17:00, Sunday 12:00 – 16:00)
- **East Street Library**: 168-170 Old Kent Road, SE1 5TY  
  (Monday & Thursday 10:00 – 19:00, Tuesday 10:00 – 18:00, Saturday 10:00 – 17:00)
- **Grove Vale Library**: 25-27 Grove Vale, SE22 8EQ  
  (Monday, Tuesday & Thursday 14:00 – 17:00, Friday 10:00 – 15:00, Saturday 10:00 – 17:00)
- **John Harvard Library**: 211 Borough High Street, SE1 1JA  
  (Monday – Friday 09:00 – 19:00, Saturday 09:00 – 17:00)
- **Kingswood Library**: Seeley Drive, SE21 8QR  
  (Monday – Friday 10:00 – 14:00, Tuesday & Friday 14:00 – 18:00, Saturday 13:00  
  17:00)
- **Newington Library**: 155-157 Walworth Road SE17 1RS  
  (Monday, Tuesday & Friday 09:00 – 20:00, Wednesday & Thursday 10:00 – 20:00,  
  Saturday 09:00 – 17:00, Sunday 12:00 – 14:00)
- **Nunhead Library**: Gordon Road, SE15 3RW  
  Monday, Tuesday & Thursday 14:00 – 19:00, Friday 10:00 – 18:00, Saturday 10:00 – 17:00)
- **Peckham Library**: 122 Peckham Hill Street, SE15 5JR  
  (Monday, Tuesday, Thursday & Friday 09:00 – 20:00, Wednesday 10:00 – 20:00,  
  Saturday 10:00 – 17:00, Sunday 12:00 – 16:00)

Area Housing Offices (Open Monday – Friday, 09:00 – 17:00)

- Nunhead & Peckham Rye – 27 Bournemouth Road, SE15 5TY
- Camberwell – Harris Street, SE5 7RX
- Rotherhithe – 153-159 Abbeyfield Road, SE16 2LS

One Stop Shops (Open Monday – Friday 09:00 – 17:00)

- Peckham one stop shop – 122 Peckham Hill Street, SE15 5JR
- Walworth one stop shop – 151 Walworth Road, SE17 1RY
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2013 Press notice
NOTICE OF FORMAL CONSULTATION


DRAFT COMMUNITY INFRASTRUCTURE LEVY (CIL) CHARGING SCHEDULE

The Community Infrastructure Levy (CIL) is a new levy that local authorities can choose to charge on new developments in their area. The money can be used to support new development by funding strategic infrastructure that the council, local community and neighbourhoods want.

Southwark is intending to become a CIL charging authority under the Planning Act 2008 and Community Infrastructure Levy Regulations 2010 (as amended). In order to do so, Southwark must produce and consult on a charging schedule which sets out the charging rate(s) (per square metre of new floorspace) to be levied on new development in the borough. These rates need to be supported by evidence including a study of the economic viability of new development and an Infrastructure Plan which sets out Southwark’s infrastructure needs over the next 15 years.

We consulted on a ‘Preliminary’ draft CIL Charging Schedule from July to October 2012. We are now at the second stage of preparation. Following this second round of consultation we will be submitting the Draft CIL Charging Schedule and all of the representations received during the consultation to the Government’s Planning Inspectorate office. The Draft CIL Charging Schedule will then be subject to an Examination in Public held by an independent Examiner during the Summer 2013.

How to make a representation on the Draft CIL Charging Schedule (CIL Regulation 16(2))
The draft CIL Charging Schedule was published on 20 February 2013 and is available for consultation for a period of six weeks. All comments must be received by 5pm Tuesday 3 April 2013.

The draft CIL Charging Schedule and its supporting documents are available to view on the council’s website at: http://www.southwark.gov.uk/info/856/planning_policy/2696/community_infrastructure_levy

You can see a hard copy of all of the documents at the locations listed below.

Comments can be made in writing or via email and sent to the following addresses. At this stage you are also able to request if you would like the right to be heard by the CIL Examiner at the forthcoming Examination in Public hearing.

Email: planningpolicy@southwark.gov.uk
Post: FREEPOST SE1919/14, Barbara-Ann Overwater, Planning Policy, Chief Executive’s Department, London SE1P 5EX

You can also see a hard copy of the SPD at the locations listed on the back of this letter. Paper copies are available from the Planning Policy team by phoning 02075255471 or by email.

How to make a representation on the draft Dulwich SPD

The draft Dulwich SPD was published on 28 January 2013 and is available for consultation for a period of 12 weeks. All comments must be received by 5pm Monday 22 April 2013.

The draft SPD and supporting documents are available to view and download from our website at http://www.southwark.gov.uk/info/200151/supplementary_planning_documents_and_guidance/1247/dulwich_spd

You can see a hard copy of the SPD at the locations listed below.

We will also be holding two consultation events on the Dulwich SPD at the following venues;

- Saturday 2 March, 2pm-5pm drop in session, (3.30pm-5pm workshop) at Dulwich Picture Gallery, Gallery Road, Dulwich, London SE21 7AD
- Wednesday 10 April, 5pm-8pm drop in session at Dulwich Leisure Centre, 2b Crystal Palace Road, London SE22 9HB

Comments can be made in writing or via email and sent to the following addresses.

Email: planningpolicy@southwark.gov.uk
Post: FREEPOST SE1919/14, Kate Johnson, Planning Policy, Southwark Council, London SE1P 5EX

LOCATIONS

Southwark Council, 160 Tooley Street, London, SE1 2QH

Libraries (Opening times listed individually below)

- Blue Anchor Library: Market Place, Southwark Park Road, SE16 3UQ
  (Monday – Thursday 09:00 – 18:00, Friday 10:00 – 18:00, Saturday 09:00 – 17:00)
- Brandon Library: Magdock Way, Cops Road, SE17 3NH
  (Monday – Thursday 14:00 – 17:00, Friday 10:00 – 15:00, Saturday 10:00 – 17:00)
- Camberwell Library: 17-21 Camberwell Church Street, SE5 8TR
  (Monday – Thursday 09:00 – 20:00, Friday 10:00 – 18:00, Saturday 09:00 – 17:00)
- Canada Water Library: 21 Surrey Quays Road, SE16 7AR
  (Monday – Friday 09:00 – 20:00, Saturday 09:00 – 17:00, Sunday 12-00 – 16:00)
- Dulwich Library: 368 Lordship Lane, SE22 8NB
  (Monday, Wednesday, Thursday & Friday 09:00 – 20:00, Tuesday 10:00 – 20:00, Saturday 09:00 – 17:00, Sunday 12:00 – 16:00)
- East Street Library: 168-170 Old Kent Road, SE1 5TY
  (Monday – Thursday 09:00 – 18:00, Friday 10:00 – 18:00, Saturday 10:00 – 17:00)
- Grove Vale Library: 25-27 Grove Vale, SE22 BEQ
  (Monday – Thursday 14:00 – 17:00, Friday 10:00 – 15:00, Saturday 10:00 – 17:00)
- John Harvard Library: 211 Borough High Street, SE1 1UA
  (Monday – Friday 09:00 – 19:00, Saturday 09:00 – 17:00)
- Kingswood Library: Seeley Drive, SE21 9OR
  (Monday – Friday 10:00 – 14:00, Tuesday & Friday 14:00 – 18:00, Saturday 13:00 – 17:00)
- Newington Library: 155-157 Walworth Road SE17 1RJ
  (Monday, Tuesday & Friday 09:00 – 20:00, Wednesday & Thursday 10:00 – 14:00, Saturday 09:00 – 17:00, Sunday 12:00 – 14:00)
- Nunhead Library: Gordon Road, SE15 3RW
  (Monday, Tuesday & Thursday 14:00 – 19:00, Friday 10:00 – 18:00, Saturday 10:00 – 17:00)
- Peckham Library: 122 Peckham Hill Street, SE15 5JR
  (Monday, Tuesday, Thursday & Friday 09:00 – 20:00, Wednesday 10:00 – 20:00, Saturday 10:00 – 17:00, Sunday 12:00 – 16:00)

Area Housing Offices (Open Monday – Friday 09:00 – 17:00)

- Nunhead & Peckham Rye – 27 Bournemounth Road, SE15 5TY
- Camberwell – Harris Street, SE5 7RX
- Rotherhithe – 153-159 Abbeylefield Road, SE16 2LS

One Stop Shops (Open Monday – Friday 09:00 – 17:00)

- Peckham one stop shop – 122 Peckham Hill Street, SE15 5JR
- Walworth one stop shop – 151 Walworth Road, SE17 1RY
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2013 Leaflet
What is the Dulwich SPD?
The Dulwich Supplementary Planning Document (SPD) sets out our visions for Dulwich, as set out in the adopted Core Strategy. The SPD provides further planning guidance on the policies set out in the Core Strategy and the saved Southwark Plan for issues that relate specifically to development in Dulwich.

Dulwich is an attractive, historic area and our strategy is to ensure that new development continues to protect and enhance its character and historic value.

The SPD provides guidance on:
• Conserving heritage assets
• Appropriate types of new development
• Protecting and improving open spaces
• Improving transport and accessibility
• Protecting and improving shopping areas
• Development opportunities
• Section 106 planning obligations

How will we use the Dulwich SPD?
The SPD will assist members and council officers in implementing the policies effectively, inform the community of the issues associated with development in the area and will provide detailed advice to guide developers to inform their proposals. The SPD will be a material consideration to be taken into account in determining planning application.

Consultation events
We will be holding two consultation events on the Dulwich SPD at the following venues

- **Saturday 2 March, 2pm-5pm** drop in session, (3.30pm-5pm workshop) at Dulwich Picture Gallery, Gallery Road, Dulwich, London SE21 7AD

- **Wednesday 10 April, 5pm-8pm** drop in session at Dulwich Leisure Centre, 2b Crystal Palace Road, London SE22 9HB

For more information please come along to one of the consultation events or contact the planning policy team on 020 7525 5471 (email:planningpolicy@southwark.gov.uk)

Please tell us what you think
The draft Dulwich SPD was published on **28 January 2013** and is available for consultation for a period of 12 weeks. All comments must be received by **5pm Monday 22 April 2013**.

The draft SPD and supporting documents are available to view and download from our website at http://www.southwark.gov.uk/info/200151/supplementary_planning_documents_and_guidance/1247/dulwich_spd

You can also view a hard copy of the SPD at the Dulwich Library, Kingswood Library and Grove Vale Library. Paper copies are available from the Planning Policy team by phoning 0207 525 5471 or by email.

Comments can be made in writing or via email and sent to the following addresses.

FREEPOST SE1919/14
Kate Johnson
Planning Policy
Southwark Council
London SE1P 5EX
Email: planningpolicy@southwark.gov.uk

www.southwark.gov.uk
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2013 Consultation plan
Dulwich
Supplementary Planning Document

Consultation Plan

January 2013
1. INTRODUCTION

1.1 Our Sustainable Communities Strategy (Southwark 2016) sets the vision of securing the future wellbeing of local people and improving the places where they live, work and have fun. Our planning policies help us to do this.

1.2 We are preparing a supplementary planning document (SPD) to guide new development in Dulwich. Supplementary planning documents (SPDs) make up part of our planning framework for the borough. Other planning documents include the Core Strategy, the saved Southwark Plan policies and our area actions plans which set out the overarching strategic planning policies for Southwark. SPDs provide further guidance and information on implementing these policies. This SPD is being prepared to set out guidance to support the policies in the Core Strategy and saved Southwark Plan. The SPD will be a material consideration when making decisions on planning applications in Dulwich.

1.3 The SPD provides guidance for the whole of the Dulwich community council area (College, Village and East Dulwich wards) and a small part of the Peckham and Nunhead community council area (part of Peckham Rye ward).

1.4 The SPD will replace the following guidance documents:

- Adopted Lordship Lane Town Centre supplementary planning guidance (2002)
- Draft Dulwich supplementary planning guidance (2004)

2. HOW WE ARE CONSULTING ON THE SPD

2.1 This consultation plan sets out the consultation we are planning to carry out on the draft SPD. This is in accordance with our adopted Statement of Community Involvement (2008), which explains how we will consult the community in the preparation of planning policy documents. The following sections set out how we plan to meet the minimum statutory consultation requirements and how we will exceed these requirements where appropriate.

2.2 Once we have finished consulting on the SPD we will collate all the responses we receive and see whether we need to amend the SPD to take into account the responses. We will provide officer comments on all the responses we receive which will set out whether we have changed the SPD to reflect the response, and will explain our reasoning for why we have/have not amended the
SPD. The SPD will then be taken to our Cabinet for adoption. We will provide Cabinet with a consultation report setting out a summary of the responses we receive and how we have taken the comments into consideration, and also a statement showing how we have met the requirements of our Statement of Community Involvement. We will also provide them with the original responses and our officer comments on these responses.

2.3 This consultation plan should be read alongside the following documents:

- Draft Dulwich SPD 2013: The SPD sets out further guidance to the Core Strategy and saved Southwark Plan policies for development in Dulwich.
- The draft sustainability appraisal: This document looks at the economic, environmental and social impacts of the SPD.
- The Equalities Analysis: This document assesses the likely impact of the SPD on the nine protected characteristics groups (age, disability, gender re-assignment, marriage and civil partnership, pregnancy and maternity, race, religion or belief, sex and sexual orientation). It looks at the impact of the SPD in relation to equality, diversity and social cohesion.
- The interim consultation report: This document sets out how we have consulted on the previous guidance prepared for Dulwich so far and sets out how we have taken into consideration all of the responses we received on the draft Dulwich SPD 2009.

2.3 All of these documents can be found on our website at: [http://www.southwark.gov.uk/info/200151/supplementary_planning_documents_and_guidance/1247/dulwich_spd/1](http://www.southwark.gov.uk/info/200151/supplementary_planning_documents_and_guidance/1247/dulwich_spd/1)

2.4 They will also be available in all of our libraries and locations listed in appendix A.
3. THE TIMETABLE AND METHODS OF CONSULTATION

Consultation timeframe

3.1 The Dulwich supplementary planning document will be:
   • Available to the public from 28 January 2013
   • Available for formal consultation from 11 March 2013 to 22 April 2013.

3.2 All responses must be received by 5pm on Monday 22 April 2013.

Consultation methods

3.2 The tables below set out the different consultation methods we propose to use. We will consult with as many people as possible, from a range of groups and organisations so that the final SPD reflects the needs and aspirations of our diverse community. If you would like us to attend your community meeting to discuss the SPD please let us know. We set out the statutory minimum required to meet our Statement of Community Involvement minimum requirements and the further methods of additional consultation that we propose to carry out. We also set out the key consultee group that the consultation method is aimed at.

3.3 Please check our website for an up-to-date list of dates of meetings and events at: http://www.southwark.gov.uk/info/200151/supplementary_planning_documents_and_guidance/1247/dulwich_spd
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method of Consultation</th>
<th>Consultee</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Display the SPD and accompanying documents on the council’s website.</td>
<td>All</td>
<td>By 28 January 2013</td>
<td>Our website will continually be updated. The final draft SPD will be available on our website by the start of the formal consultation period.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mail out to all prescribed bodies on the planning policy mailing database.</td>
<td>All on planning policy consultee database (see list in Appendix B)</td>
<td>By 11 March 2013</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Display the SPD and its supporting documents at libraries, one-stop shops and area housing offices .A list of these locations is shown in Appendix A.</td>
<td>All</td>
<td>By 11 March 2013</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Place a press notice in the local newspaper to advertise the start of the formal consultation period</td>
<td>All</td>
<td>7 March 2013</td>
<td>Southwark News</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Method of Consultation</td>
<td>Consultee</td>
<td>Date</td>
<td>Comments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mail-out to all non-statutory consultees on planning policy database. This will set out the timescale for consultation and how people can comment on the SPD. The letter will also invite local groups to contact us if they would like us to attend their community meeting to discuss the SPD.</td>
<td>All on planning policy consultee database</td>
<td>By 11 March 2013</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attend community councils and provide a presentation or information at a stall</td>
<td>All who attend community councils</td>
<td>Dulwich Community Council</td>
<td>The meeting agendas can be found at: <a href="http://www.southwark.gov.uk/info/200137/community_councils">http://www.southwark.gov.uk/info/200137/community_councils</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>30 January 2013</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Peckham and Nunhead Community Council</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2 March 2013</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshops with stakeholders</td>
<td>All</td>
<td>Venue and dates to be confirmed</td>
<td>We will run two workshops on the SPD.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local stakeholder group meetings</td>
<td>Members of individual groups and organisations</td>
<td></td>
<td>Where invited we will attend community meetings to discuss the SPD.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Discussions with our neighbouring boroughs and where appropriate the prescribed bodies.*</td>
<td>All prescribed bodies and neighbouring boroughs. (see list in Appendix B)</td>
<td>Throughout the consultation period</td>
<td>The National Planning Policy Framework has introduced the Duty to Co-operate. We will send our neighbouring boroughs and prescribed bodies a copy of the Dulwich SPD for comment and</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
meet with them to discuss the SPD further if required.

* Section 110 of the Localism Act requires ongoing co-operation between local authorities and a range of prescribed bodies. It requires the body required to carry out the duty to engage constructively, actively and on an ongoing basis. The prescribed bodies are defined in section 4 of the Town and Country Planning (Local Planning) (England) Regulations 2012 and are:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Environmental Bodies</th>
<th>Local Bodies</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Environment Agency</td>
<td>Civil Aviation Authority</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>English Heritage</td>
<td>The Office of Rail Regulation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Natural England</td>
<td>Homes and Communities Agency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mayor of London</td>
<td>Primary Care Trusts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transport for London</td>
<td>Maritime Management Organisation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Highway Authority</td>
<td>Local Enterprise Partnerships (LEPs)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Our neighbouring boroughs are:
- Lewisham
- Lambeth
- Croydon
- Tower Hamlets
- Westminster
- The City
- Bromley
4. HOW TO COMMENT ON THE SPD

4.1 We welcome your comments on the Dulwich Supplementary Planning Document and the supporting documents. Please contact us if you would like to know more about the SPD or to find out more about our consultation.

4.2 All comments must be received by **5pm on Monday 22 April 2013**

4.3 Representations can be emailed or sent to:

Kate Johnson  
Senior Planner  
Planning Policy  
Regeneration  
FREEPPOST SE1919/14  
London SE1P 5LX

Email: planningpolicy@southwark.gov.uk  
Tel: 0207 525 5471
Appendix A
List of locations where documents made available

Libraries

Blue Anchor Library - Market Place, Southwark Park Road, SE16 3UQ
  (Monday, Tuesday and Thursday 9am to 7pm, Friday 10am to 6pm, Saturday 9am to 5pm)

Brandon Library - Maddock Way, Cooks Road, SE17 3NH
  (Monday 10am to 6pm, Tuesday and Thursday 10am to 7pm, Saturday 10am to 5pm)

Camberwell Library - 17-21 Camberwell Church Street, SE5 8TR
  (Monday, Tuesday and Thursday 9am to 8pm, Friday 10am to 6pm, Saturday 9am to 5pm)

Canada Water Library – 21 Surrey Quays Road, SE16 7AR
  (Monday to Friday 9am-8pm, Saturday 9-5pm, Sunday 12-4pm)

Dulwich Library - 368 Lordship Lane, SE22 8NB
  (Monday, Wednesday, Thursday and Friday 9am to 8pm, Tuesday 10am to 8pm, Saturday 9am to 5pm Sun 12pm to 4pm)

East Street Library - 168-170 Old Kent Road, SE1 5TY
  (Monday and Thursday 10am to 7pm, Tuesday 10am to 6pm, Saturday 10am to 5pm)

Grove Vale Library - 25-27 Grove Vale, SE22 8EQ
  (Monday and Thursday 10am to 7pm, Tuesday 10am to 6pm, Saturday 10am to 5pm)

John Harvard Library - 211 Borough High Street, SE1 1JA
  (Monday, Tuesday, Wednesday and Thursday, Friday 9am to 7pm, Saturday 9am to 5pm)

Kingswood Library - Seeley Drive, SE21 8QR
  (Monday and Thursday 10am to 2pm, Tuesday and Friday 2pm to 4pm, Sat 1pm to 5pm)

Newington Library - 155-157 Walworth Road, SE17 1RS
  (Monday, Tuesday and Friday 9am to 8pm, Wednesday and Thursday 10am to 8pm, Saturday 9am to 5pm, Sunday 10am to 4pm)

Nunhead Library - Gordon Road, SE15 3RW
  (Monday, Tuesday and Thursday 10am to 7pm, Friday 10am to 6pm, Saturday 10am to 5pm)

Peckham Library - 122 Peckham Hill Street, SE15 5JR
  (Monday, Tuesday, Thursday and Friday 9am to 8pm, Wednesday 10am to 8pm, Saturday 10am to 5pm, Sunday 12pm to 4pm)
Area Housing Offices

Nunhead and Peckham Rye - 27 Bournemouth Road, Peckham, SE15 4UJ
Dulwich - 41-43 East Dulwich Road, SE22 9BY
Borough and Bankside - Library Street Borough, London, SE1 0RG
Camberwell - Harris Street, London, SE5 7RX
Rotherhithe - 153-159 Abbeyfield Road, Rotherhithe, SE16 2LS
(All open Monday – Friday, 9am-5pm)

Peckham -122 Peckham Hill Street, London SE15 5JR
(Also open Saturday 9am to 1pm)

Walworth - The Municipal Buildings, 151 Walworth Road, London SE17 1RY
(Open Monday - Friday, 8.30am - 4.45pm & Saturday 8.30am to 2.45pm)

One Stop Shops

Bermondsey -17 Spa Road, London, SE16
Walworth - 151 Walworth Road, London, SE17 1RY
Peckham - 122 Peckham Hill Street, London, SE15 5JR
(All open Monday-Friday 9am-5pm)
(Peckham also open Saturday 9am to 1pm)
Appendix B
List of consultees including prescribed bodies

*Please note this list is not exhaustive and also relates to successor bodies where re-organisations occur.

Prescribed bodies

Section 110 of the Localism Act requires ongoing co-operation between local authorities and a range of prescribed bodies. It requires the body required to carry out the duty to engage constructively, actively and on an ongoing basis. The prescribed bodies are defined in section 4 of the Town and Country Planning (Local Planning) (England) Regulations 2012 and are:

Environment Agency
- English Heritage
- Natural England
- Mayor of London
- Transport for London
- Highway Authority
- Civil Aviation Authority
- The Office of Rail Regulation
- Homes and Communities Agency
- Primary Care Trusts
- Maritime Management Organisation
- Local Enterprise Partnerships (LEPs)

Our neighbouring boroughs are:
- Lewisham
- Lambeth
- Croydon
- Tower Hamlets
- Westminster
- The City
- Bromley
Local Consultees

All Councillors
- Liberal
- Labour
- Conservatives
- Independent

Voluntary organisations and community groups
- Aaina Women’s Group
- Abbeyfield Society
- ABC Southwark Housing Co-op
- Aborigine
- ACAPS
- Access London
- Action Southwark
- ADDACTION - Maya Project
- Adult Education
- Advice UK London Region
- AFFORD
- Agenda for Community Development
- Albert Academy Alumni Association
- Albert Association
- Albrighton Cricket Club
- Alcohol Counselling & Prevention Services - 1
- Alcohol Counselling & Prevention Services - 2
- Alcohol Recovery Project
- Alcohol Recovery Project
- Alleyn Community Centre Association
- Alone in London
- Anada Fund
- Anchor Sheltered Housing
- Apex Charitable Trust Ltd
- Art in the Park
- ARTLAT
- Artsline
- Artstree / Oneworks
- Ashbourne Centre
- Association of Waterloo Groups
- ATD Fourth World
- Aubyn Graham (The John Graham Group)
- Aylesbury Academic Grassroots
- Aylesbury Day Centre
- Aylesbury Everywoman’s Group
- Aylesbury Food and Health Project
- Aylesbury Healthy Living Network
- Aylesbury Learning Centre
- Aylesbury NDC
- Aylesbury Nutrition Project
- Aylesbury Plus SRB
- Aylesbury Plus Young Parent Project
- Aylesbury Sure Start
- BAKOC
- Beacon Project
- Bede Café Training
- Bede House Association and Education Centre
- Bede House Community Development Women’s Project
- Bells Garden Community Centre
- Beormund Community Centre
- Bermondsey and Rotherhithe Development Partnership
- Bermondsey Artists Group
- Bermondsey Citizens Advice Bureau
- Bermondsey Forum
- Bermondsey St Area Partnership
- Bermondsey St Community Association
- Bermondsey Street Area Partnership
- Bermondsey Street Association
- Bermondsey Village Action Group
- Better Bankside
- Blackfriars Advice Centre
- Blackfriars Settlement (Community Care Team)
- Blackfriars Work Centre
- Blue Beat Community Centre
- Blue Beat Police Centre
- Blue Elephant Theatre Company
- Book-Aid International
- Borough Community Centre
- Borough Music School
- Borough Partnership Team, Southwark Police Station
- Bosco Centre
- Bradfield Club in Peckham
- Breast Cancer Campaign
- Bredinghurst (day and residential)
- British Film Institute
- Brook Advisory Centre
- Bubble Youth Theatre & Adult Drama
- Burgess Park (Colts) Cricket Club
- Camberwell Advocacy Office
- Camberwell Arts Week
- Camberwell Community Forum
- Camberwell Credit Union
- Camberwell Green Magistrates Court
- Camberwell Grove
- Camberwell ME Support Group
- Camberwell Police Station 212a
- Camberwell Rehabilitation Association
- Camberwell Society
- Camberwell Supported Flats
- Camberwell Working Party
- Cambridge House & Talbot
- Cambridge House Advocacy Team
- Cambridge House Legal Centre
- Canada Water Campaign
- Canada Water Consultation Forum
- Carers Support Group
- Carers of Life
- Carnival Del Pueblo
- Castle Day Centre
- CDS Co-operatives
- Centre Point (40)
- Chair - Dulwich Sector Working Group
- Charterhouse - in- Southwark
- Cheshire House(Dulwich)
- Cheshire House(Southwark)
- Childcare First
- Childcare Support
- Childminding Project
- Children's Rights Society
- Choice Support Southwark
- Choices
- Chrysalis
- Citizen Advice Bureau - Peckham
- Clublands
- Coin Street Community Builders
- Coin Street Festival and Thames Festival
- Colby Road Daycare Project
- Colombo Street Sports and Community Centre
- Committee Against Drug Abuse
- Communicate User Group
- Community Alcohol Service
- Community Care Choices
- Community Drug Project
- Community Metamorphosis
- Community Music Ltd
- Community of DIDA in the UK
- Community Radio Station
• Community Regeneration
• Community Support Group
• Community TV Trust
• Confederation of Passenger Transport UK
• Connect
• Consumers Against Nuclear Energy
• Contact A Family In Southwark
• Cooltan Arts
• Corazon Latino
• Cornerstone Community Project
• Council of Igbo Communities
• CRISP / LSE / Balance for Life
• Crooke Green Centre Association
• Crossways Centre
• Crossways Housing
• CWS Southeast Co-op
• Delfina Studios Trust
• Detainee Support & Help Unit
• Diamond Project
• Divine Outreach Community Care Group
• Dockland Settlement
• Dominica Progressive Charitable Association
• Drugs Apogee
• Drum
• Dulwich Credit Union
• Dulwich Festival
• Dulwich Hamlet Supporters Trust
• Dulwich Helpline
• Dulwich Orchestra
• Dulwich Society
• East Dulwich Society
• East Dulwich Womens Action
• ECRRG
• Education 2000 Project
• Education Action Zone
• Education Links
• Education Support Centre
• Elephant Amenity Network
• Elephant Enterprises
• Elephants Links Project Team
• Elibariki Centre
• Employing People Responsibly
• Empowerment Projects Trust
• Encore Club
• Environmental Computer Communications
• Equinox
• ESOL Project
• Evelina Children's Hospital Appeal
• Evelyn Coyle Day Centre
• EYE (Ethio Youth England)
• Faces in Focus (TIN)
• Fair Community Housing Services
• Fairbridge in London
• Fairbridge South London
• Families Experiencing Drug Abuse
• Fast Forward
• First Place Children and Parents Centre
• First Tuesday Club
• Five Bridges Centre
• Five Steps Community Centre
• Flex-Ability
• Fortress Charitable Trust
• Foundation for Human Development/ Free Press Europe
• Friends of East Dulwich Station
• Friends of Fast Forward
• Funding Advice Consultancy & Training Service
• Garden House Project
• Gateway Project
• Gateway Training Centre
• GEMCE
• Globe Education Centre
• Gloucester Grove Community Association
• Goose Green Centre
• Goose Green Lunch Club
• Grange Rd Carers Support Group
• Greenhouse Trust
• Gye Nyame for Performing Arts
• Habitat for Humanity Southwark
• Herne Hill Society
• Holmhurst Day Centre (Social Services)
• HOURBank
• Ideas 2 Vision
• ILETO
• In Tolo Theatre
• Independent Adoption Service
• Independent Advocacy Service
• Inner City Link
• Inspire
• Integratus
• International Family Welfare Agency
• International Shakespeare Globe Centre Ltd
• Isigi Dance Theatre Company
• JAA
• Jennifer Cairney Fundraiser
• John Paul Association
• Joshua Foundation Superkid
• Jubilee Renewal Projects
• Jump
• Juniper House Co-op
• Kairos Community Trust
• Kaizen Initiative
• Keyworth

• Kick Start
• Kite
• Lady of Southwark
• Lambeth Crime Prevention Trust
• Lambeth MIND
• Laura Orsini (New Group)
• Levvel Ltd
• Lewisham & Southwark Jobshare Project
• Liberty Club
• Life Builders
• Lighthours Informal Learning & Support Project
• Lighthouse Developments Ltd.
• Linden Grove Community Centre
• Links Community Hall
• Living in Harmony
• Local Accountancy Project (LAP)
• London Roses Community Services
• London Thames Gateway Forum
• London Voluntary Service Council
• Lorels Broadcasting Service
• Lorrimore Drop-In
• M. Hipro Words
• Magdalen Tenants Hall
• Manna Group
• Manna Society and Day Centre
• Marsha Phoenix Memorial Trust
• Mecower
• Media Action
• Meeting Point
• Members of Elephant Links
• Milewalk Project
• Millennium Reachout
• Mine Watch
• Morena
• Moses Basket Charity Care Organisation
• Multiskills Training & Recruitment
• Mult iso Soc
• Myasthenia Gravis Association
• NAS International Charity
• New Generation Drug Agency
• New Peckham Varieties @ Magic Eye Theatre
• New Unity Centre Association (NUCA)
• Next Step Project
• North Lambeth Day Centre (BEDS)
• North Peckham Project
• North Southwark Community Care Support Project
• North Southwark Community Development Group
• North Southwark EAZ
• North-West Quadrant Community Development Network
• Nouvel Act
• Nunhead Community Forum
• Oasis Mentoring
• Oasis Trust
• OFFERS
• Old Kent Road Community Training Centre
• Omolara Sanyaolu Open Arms Foundation
• Only Connect
• Opendoor
• Opendoor Community Support Team
• OTDOGS
• Outset
• Outset Jobsearch Project
• Oval House Workshop
• Oxford and Bermondsey Club Forum
• Pachamama
• Panda London
• Papa Mandela London Project
• Parent Talk
• Parents Association
• Patchwork HA
• Pathways Trust
• Peckham Area
• Peckham Befrienders
• Peckham CAB
• Peckham Day Centre
• Peckham Open Learning Centre
• Peckham Pop-In
• Peckham Society
• Peckham Vision
• People Care Association
• People to People
• Peoples Association in Southwark
• Phoenix House
• Pierres Vivantes Charity
• Pitt Street Association
• Plunge Club
• Pneumonia Community Link
• Pool of London Partnership
• Positive Education Learning Centre
• Premier Self Defence
• Prisoners Families & Friends Service
• Psychosynthesis and Education Trust
• Publication
• Pumphouse Educational Museum
• Queens Road Parents & Carers Support Group
• Queensborough Community Centre
• Radiant Idea
• RAP Academy
• Realise IT Network
• Redriff Community Association
• Right Lines
• Rimin Welfare Charity Association
• Rise and Shine
• Rockingham Community Association
• Rockingham Community Centre
• Rockingham Management Committee
• Rockingham Women's Project
• Rolston Roy Art Foundation
• Rotela Tech Ltd
• RPS Rainer Housing
• RSPCA
• Ruban Educational Trust
• Rye Lane and Station Action Group (RLSAG)
• S.E. Lions Football Club
• Saffron Blue Promotions
• Sarcoidosis & Interstitial Lung Association
• SASS Theatre Company
• SAVO
• SCA Renew
• Scoglio Arts @ Community Centre
• SCOPE
• SCREEN
• SE5 Alive
• SELAH Social Action Network
• Selcops
• SETAA, Aylesbury Learning Centre
• Seven Islands Leisure Centre
• Seven Islands Swimming Club
• SGI-UK
• Shaka
• Shakespeare's Globe
• Shep-Su Ancestral Design
• Sicklenemia
• Silwood Family Centre
• Sirewa Project
• SITRA

• SKILL
• South Bank Employers' Group
• South Bermondsey Partnership
• Southside Rehabilitation Association
• Southwark Adult Education
• Southwark Alarm Scheme
• Southwark Alliance Partnership Team
• Southwark Arts Forum
• Southwark CABX (Citizens Advice Bureaux) Service
• Southwark Carers
• Southwark Cares Incorporated
• Southwark Caring Housing Trust
• Southwark Community Care Forum
• Southwark Community Development Agency
• Southwark Community Drugs Project
• Southwark Community Team
• Southwark Community Youth Centre & Arts Club
• Southwark Congolese Centre
• Southwark Consortium
• Southwark Co-op Party
• Southwark Co-operative Development Agency
• Southwark Council Benefits Campaign
• Southwark Dial-a-Ride
• Southwark Domestic Violence Forum
• Southwark Education & Training Advice for Adults (SETAA)
• Southwark Education and Cultural Development
• Southwark Education Business Alliance
• Southwark Habitat for Humanity
• Southwark Heritage Association
• Southwark Law Centre
• Southwark Libraries
• Southwark LSP/Alliance
• Southwark Mediation Centre
• Southwark Mind
• Southwark Model Railway Club
• Southwark Mysteries Drama Project
• Southwark Park Day Centre
• Southwark Park Group
• Southwark Playhouse
• Southwark Police & Community Consultative Group
• Southwark Social Services
• Southwark Trade Union Council
• Southwark Trade Union Support Unit
• Southwark Unity
• Southwark User Group
• Southwark Victim Support
• Southwark Women's Support Group
• SPAM
• Speaking Up
• Sports Action Zone
• Sports Out Music In
• Spreading Vine
• Springboard Southwark Trust
• Springboard UK
• Springfield Lodge
• St Clements Monday Club
• St Georges Circus Group
• St Jude's Community Centre
• St Matthew's Community Centre
• St. Martins Property Investment Ltd.
• Starlight Music Project
• STC Working Party
• Stepping Stones
• Surrey Docks Carers Group
• Sustainable Energy Group
• Swanmead
• Tabard Community Committee
• Tai Chi UK
• TGWU Retired
• Thames Reach
• The Black-Eyed Peas Project
• The British Motorcyclists Federation
• The Livesey Museum
• The Prince’s Trust
• The Shaftesbury Society
• The Southwark Mysteries
• Three R's Social Club
• Thresholds
• Tideway Sailability
• Tokei Martial Arts Centre
• Tomorrow's Peoples Trust
• Tower Bridge Magistrates Court
• Trees for cities
• Trios Childcare Services
• Turning Point
• Unite
• United Colour & Naylor House Crew
• Urban Research Lab
• URBED
• Vauxhall St Peters Heritage Centre
• Victim Support Southwark
• Voice of Art
• Voluntary Sector Support Services
• Volunteer Centre Southwark
• Volunteers in Action
• Volunteers in Action Southwark
• Wakefield Trust
• Walworth Society
• Walworth Triangle Forum
• Waterloo Breakaway
• Waterloo Community Counselling Project
• Waterloo Community Regeneration Trust
- Waterloo Sports and Football Club
- Waterloo Time Bank
- Way Forward
- WCDG
- Welcare Mothers Group
- West Bermondsey '98
- West Bermondsey Community Forum
- Wickway Community Association
- Wild Angels
- Willowbrook Centre
- Windsor Walk Housing
- Woman of Peace Counselling Group
- Women Development Programme
- Women in Harmony
- Women's Ivory Tower Association
- Women's Self-Development Project
- Womens Worker
- Woodcraft Folk
- Workers Educational Association
- Working with Men
- XL Project
- Young Carers Project
- Young Women's Group AAINA

Major landowners and development partners in the borough

Businesses
- 7 Star Dry Cleaners
- A & J Cars
- A J Pain
- A R London Builders
- ABA (International) Ltd
- Abbey Rose Co Ltd
- Abbey Self Storage
- Abbeyfield Rotherhithe Society Ltd
- ABS Consulting
- Academy Costumes Ltd
- Accountancy Business Centre
- Ace
- Ace Food
- Addendum Ltd
- Albany Garage
- Alex Kennedy
- Alfa Office Supplies
- Alpha Employment Services
- Alpha Estates
- Alpha Logistics & Securities Ltd
- AM Arts
- AMF Bowling Lewisham
- Anchor at Bankside
- Andrews & Robertson
- Angie’s Hair Centre
- Anthony Gold, Lerman & Muirhead
- Archer Cleaners
- Architype Ltd
- Archival Record Management plc
- Argent Environmental Services
- Argos Distributors Ltd
- Arts Express
- ARUP - Engineering Consultants
- ATAC Computing
- Auditel
- Austins
- Australia and New Zealand Banking Group Ltd
- Azhar Architecture
- Bankside Business Partnership
- Bankside Theatre
- Bankside Traders Association
• Community Radio Broadcasting
• Consultants at Work
• Consumers Food and Wine
• Continental
• Continental Café
• Copy Copy
• Copyprints Ltd
• Cosmic Training & Information Services
• CTS Ltd (Communication & Technical Services Ltd)
• Cuke Bar
• Cyclists Touring Club
• Cynth-Sinclair Music Venue
• Cyril Silver & Partners LLP Surveyors
• D E Cleaning Service
• David Trevor- Jones Associates
• Davis Harvey & Murrell Ltd
• Davy's of London (WM) Ltd
• Delta Security UK Limited
• Development Planning Partnership
• Dickens Developments
• District Maintenance Ltd
• Doble, Monk, Butler
• Dolland and Aitchison
• Dolphin Bay Fish Restaurant
• Donaldsons
• Donaldson's Planning
• Douglas Jackson Group
• DPDS Consulting Group
• Dr J Hodges
• Dransfield Owens De Silva
• Driscoll House Hotel
• Drivers Jonas
• Drivers Jonas
• Dulwich Books
• Dulwich Chiropody Surgery
• Dulwich Hamlet Football Club
• Dulwich Sports Club
• Dulwich Village Traders Association
• Duncan Vaughan Arbuckle
• Duraty Radio Ltd
• Dynes Self-Drive Cars
• Eagle Speed Car Services
• East Street Traders
• Easyprint 2000 Ltd
• ECRRG
• Edita Estates
• Edwardes of Camberwell Ltd
• Elephant Car Service
• Eminence Promotions
• Emma & Co Chartered Accountants
• EMP plc
• Employment Service
• English Partnerships (London and Thames Gateway)
• Equinox Consulting
• Etc Venues Limited
• Euroclean Services
• Euro-Dollar Rent-a-Car
• Express Newspapers/United Media Group Services Ltd
• Ezekiel Nigh Club
• F & F General Merchants
• F A Albin & Sons Ltd
• F W Woolworth plc
• Felthbrook Ltd
• Field & Sons
• Fillocraft Ltd
• Finishing Touches
• Firstplan
• Flint Hire & Supply Limited
• Florence Off-Licence & Grocery
• Focus Plant Ltd
• Foster-Berry Associates
• Franklin & Andrews
• Friends Corner
• Fruiter & Florist
• G Baldwin & Co
• G M Imber Ltd
• G Worrall & Son Ltd
• GAAD Support Services
• General Commercial Enterprises
• George Yates Estate Office Ltd
• GHL Commercials
• Gisella Boutique & Design Workshop
• Glaziers Hall Ltd
• Glenn Howells Architects
• Godwin Nede & Co
• Golden Fish Bar
• Gowers Elmes Publishing
• Grace & Mercy Fashion
• Graphic House
• Gregory Signs
• Gretton Ward Electrical Ltd
• Guy’s & St Thomas’ NHS Foundation Trust
• Haime & Butler
• Hair and Beauty
• Hair Extension Specialist
• Hairports International
• Hall & Dougan Management
• Harvey’s Catering & Equipment Hire Ltd
• Hayward Brothers (Wines) Ltd
• HCS Building Contractors
• Heartbeat International
• Hepburns
• Herne Hill Traders Association
• Hollywood Nails
• Home Builders Federation
• Hopfields Auto Repairs
• Hopkins, Williams, Shaw
• HSBC PLC (Southwark Area)
• Hygrade Enterprises
• Hygrade Foods Ltd
• Iceland Frozen Foods Plc
• Iceni Projects Ltd
• Imperial War Museum
• Implement Construction Ltd
• Indigo Planning
• IPC Magazines Ltd
• Isaac & Co
• Isambard Environmental
• J K Computers Ltd
• J R Davies Associates
• J Sainsbury plc
• Jade Catering Services
• Jani-King (GB) Ltd
• Jay Opticians
• Jet Reproprint
• JETS
• JK Computers
• Jones Yarrell & Co Ltd
• Julets
• Kalmars
• Kalpna Newsagent
• Kamera Obscura
• Kellaway’s Funeral Service
• Ken Creasey Ltd
• King Sturge
• Knight Office Supplies Ltd
• Kumasi Market
• L Tagg Sewing Machines
• Lainco, Lainco
• Lambert Smith Hampton
• Lambrucus Ltd
• Land Securities
• Lane Heywood Davies
• Lanes Butchers Ltd
• Leslie J Sequeira & Co
• Lex Volvo Southwark
• Life Designs
• Light Projects Ltd
• Lloyds Bank plc
• Local Recruitment Brokerage Ltd
• Londis & Jamaica Road Post Office
• London & City Central
• London Bridge Dental Practice
• London Bridge Hospital
• London Builders Merchants
• London Dungeon
• London Self-Storage Centre
• London Tile Warehouse
• London West Training Services
• London's Larder Partnership
• Look Good Design
• Lord Nelson
• Louise Moffatt Communications
• Lovefinders
• Lucy’s Hairdressing Salon
• LWTS Ltd
• M & D Joinery Ltd
• M Armour (Contracts) Ltd
• M H Associates
• M H Technical Services
• M V Biro / Bookbiz
• Mackintosh Duncan
• Magreb Arab Press
• Malcolm Judd & Partners
• MARI
• Marks and Spencer Plc
• Marris & Cross and Wilfred Fairbairns Ltd
• Matthew Hall Ltd
• Mayflower 1620 Ltd
• McCarthy & Stone
• MCQ Entertainments Ltd
• Metrovideo Ltd
• Michael Dillon Architect & Urban Designer
• Minerva PLC
• Ministry of Sound
• Miss Brenda Hughes DMS FHCIMA FBIM Cert. Ed.
• MK1 Ladies Fashion
• Mobile Phone World Ltd
• Mono Consultants Limited
• Montagu Evans
• Motability Operations
• movingspace.com
• Mulcraft Graphics Ltd
• Myrrh Education and Training
• Nabarro Nathanson
• Nandos
• Nathaniel Lichfield & Partners Ltd
• National Provincial Glass Co Ltd
• National Westminster Bank plc
• Neil Choudhary Architects
• Network Rail
• Nevins Meat Market
• New Dome Hotel
• New Future Now
- New Pollard UK
- New Start Up
- Ngomatiya Gospel Record Production
- Nicholas D Stone
- Nichols Employment Agency
- Norman W Hardy Ltd
- Nutec Productions
- & S Builders
- OCR (Quality Meats) Ltd
- Office Angels
- Oliver Ashley Shoes
- Olley's Traditional Fish & Chips
- On Your Bike Ltd
- Over-Sixties Employment Bureau
- P J Accommodation
- Panache Exclusive Footwear
- Patel, K & S (Amin News)
- Paul Dickinson & Associates
- Peabody Pension Trust Ltd
- Peabody Trust
- Peacock & Smith
- PEARL
- Peppermint
- Peterman & Co
- Phil Polglaze
- Philcox Gray & Co
- Pillars of Excellence
- Pizza Hut
- Planning & Environmental Services Ltd
- Planning Potential
- Pocock Brothers Ltd
- Port of London Authority
- Potter & Holmes Architects
- Precision Creative Services
- Premier Cinema
- PricewaterhouseCoopers
- Primavera
- Prodigy Ads
- Prontaprint
- Purser Volkswagen
- Q2 Design
- Quarterman Windscreens Ltd
- Quicksilver
- R B Parekh & Co
- R J Parekh & Co
- R Woodfall, Opticians
- Rajah Tandoi and Curry
- Ranmac Employment Agency
- Ranmac Security Ltd
- Rapleys LLP
- Red Kite Learning
- Redder Splash
- Reed Employment
- Richard Harrison Architecture, Trafalgar Studios
- Richard Hartley Partnership
- Rive Estate Agents
- Rizzy Brown
- RK Burt & Co Ltd
- Robert O Clottey & Co
- Rodgers & Johns
- Rodney Radio
- Roger Tym & Partners
- Roosters Chicken and Ribs
- Rose Bros
- Roxlee the City Cobbler
- Roy & Partners
- Roy Brooks Ltd
- Royal Mail
• RPS Planning Transport and Environment
• Rusling, Billing, Jones
• S &S Dry Cleaners
• S C Hall & Son
• S T & T Publishing Ltd
• Sainsbury's plc
• Salon 3A Unisex Hairdressing
• Samuel Brown
• Savages Newsagents
• Savills Commercial Limited
• SCEMSC
• Scenic Art
• SEA / RENUE
• Sea Containers Services Ltd
• SecondSite Property Holdings
• Service Point
• Sesame Institute UK
• SETAA
• Shalom Catering Services
• Shopping Centres Ltd (Surrey Quays)
• Simpson Millar (incorporating Goslings)
• Sinclair Robertson & Co Ltd
• Sitec
• Skalps
• Smile Employment Agency
• Softmetal Web Designer
• South Bank Employers Group
• South Bank Technopark
• South Central Business Advisory Centre
• South East Cars
• South Eastern Trains
• South London Press Ltd
• Southern Railway
• Southwark & Kings Employees Credit Union Ltd.
• Southwark Association of Street Traders
• Southwark Chamber of Commerce
• Southwark Credit Union
• Southwark News
• Spaces Personal Storage
• Spacia Ltd
• St. Michael Associates
• Stage Services (London) Ltd
• Start Consulting
• Stephen Michael Associates
• Steve Cleary Associates
• Stitches Marquee Hire
• Stream Records
• Stroke Care
• Studio 45
• Studio 6
• Sumner Type
• Superdrug Stores Plc
• Supertec Design Ltd
• TA Property Consultants
• Tangram Architects & Designers
• Tate Modern
• Taxaccount Ltd
• Terence O'Rourke
• Tesco Stores Ltd
• Tetlow King Planning
• The Bakers Oven
• The Chapter Group PLC
• The Clink & Bankside Co Ltd
• The Clink Prison
• The Design Museum
• The Dulwich Estates
• The Edge Couriers
• The Financial Times
• The Hive
• The Mudlark
• The New Dome Hotel
• The Old Operating Theatre
• The Peckham Experiment
• The Stage Door
• The Surgery
• Thermofrost Cryo plc
• Thomas & Co Solicitors
• Thrifty Car Rental/Best Self Drive Ltd
• Timchart Ltd
• Tito’s
• TM Marchant Ltd
• Tola Homes
• Tom Blau Gallery
• Toucan Employment
• Tower Bridge Travel Inn Capital
• Trade Winds Colour Printers Ltd
• Trigram Partnership
• Turning Point - Milestone
• Two Towers Housing Co-Op
• United Cinemas International (UCI)
• United Friendly Insurance PLC
• Unity Estates
• Vinters Reynolds
• Victory Stores
• Vijaya Palal
• Vinopolis
• W Uden & Sons Ltd
• Wallace Windscreens Ltd
• Walsh (Glazing Contractors) Ltd
• Walter Menteth Architects
• Wardle McLean Strategic Research Consultancy Ltd
• Watson Associates

• West & Partners
• Wetton Cleaning Services Ltd
• WGI Interiors Ltd
• White Dove Press
• Whitehall Clothiers (Camb) Ltd
• Wilkins Kennedy
• William Bailey, Solicitors
• Wing Tai Super Market
• Workspace Group
• Workspace Ltd (C/o RPS PLC)
• Xysystems Ltd
• Yates Estate
• Yinka Bodyline Ltd

**Environmental**
• Bankside Open Spaces Trust
• Dawson’s Hill Trust
• Dog Kennel Hill Adventure
• Dulwich Allotment Association
• Dulwich Society Wildlife Committee
• Friends of Belair Park
• Friends of Burgess Park
• Friends of Geraldine Mary Harmsworth Park
• Friends of Guy Street Park
• Friends of Honor Oak Recreation Ground
• Friends of Nunhead Cemetery
• Friends of Nursery Row Park
• Friends of Peckham Rye
• Friends of Potters Field Park
• Friends of Southwark Park
• Groundwork Southwark
• Lamlash Allotment Association
• Lettsom Garden Association
• London Wildlife Trust
• National Playing Fields Association
• Nature Park
• North Southwark Environmental Network
• One Tree Hill Allotment Society
• Rotherhithe & Bermondsey Allotment Society
• Southwark Biodiversity Partnership
• Southwark Friends of the Earth
• Surrey Docks City Farm
• Victory Community Park Committee
• Walworth Garden Farm

Black and Minority Ethnic groups
• Afiya Trust
• African Research & Information Bureau (ARIB)
• African Child Association
• African Children and Families Support
• African Community Development Foundation
• African Community Link Project
• African Elders Concern
• African Foundation For Development
• African Graduate Centre
• African Heritage Association
• African Inform
• African Root Men's Project (ARMPRO)
• African Regeneration Association
• African Research
• African's People's Association
• African Women's Support Group
• Afro-Asian Advisory Service
• Afro-Caribbean Autistic Foundations
• Ahwazi Community Association
• AKWAABA Women's Group
• Alliance for African Assistance
• Amannagwu Community Association UK
• Anerley French & Swahili Club
• Anti-Racist Alliance
• Anti-Racist Integration Project
• Arab Cultural Community
• Arab Cultural Community
• Asian Society
• Asra Housing Association
• Association of Minority
• Association of Sri Lankans in UK
• Association of Turkish Women
• Aylesbury Turkish Women's Group
• Aylesbury Turkish Women's Project
• Bangladeshi Women's Group
• Bengali Community Association
• Bengali Community Development Project
• Bengali Women's Group
• Bhagini Samaj Women's Group
• Birlik Cemiyet Centre
• Black Awareness Group
• Black Cultural Education
• Black Elderly Group Southwark
• Black Elders Mental Health Project
• Black Organisation for Learning Difficulties
• Black Parents Network
• Black Training Enterprise Group
• Cara Irish Housing Association
• Caribbean Ecology Forum
• Caribbean Women's Network
• Carr-Gomm Society Limited
• Centre for Inter-African Relations
• Centre for Multicultural Development and Integration
• Charter for Non-Racist Benefits
• Chinese/Vietnamese Group
• Confederation of Indian Organisations (U.K.)
• Daryeel Somali Health Project
• Educational Alliance Africa
• Eritrean Community Centre
• Eritrean Education and Publication Trust
• Ethiopian Refugee Education & Careers Centre
• Ethno News
• French Speaking African General Council
• Ghana Refugee Welfare Group
• GHARWEG Advice, Training & Careers Centre
• Great Lakes African Womens Network
• Greek Community of South London
• Gulu Lality Archdiocesan Association
• Here & There - Somali Training Development Project
• Igbo Tutorial School
• Integration Project for the Francophone African Community
• International Ass of African Women
• International Association for Sierra Leoneans Abroad
• Irish Families Project
• Irish in Britain Representation Group
• Istrinsabbha-Sikh Women’s Group
• Ivorian Social Aid Society
• Mauritius Association
• Mauritius Association of Women in Southwark
• Mercyline Africa Trust (UK)
• Mitali Asian Women's Project
• Multi-lingual Community Rights Shop
• RCA/ Southwark Irish Pensioners Project
• Rockingham Somali Support
• Rondalya Phillipino-UK
• Sidama Community in Europe
• Sierra Leone Community Forum
• Sierra Leone Muslim Women Cultural Organisation
• Society of Caribbean Culture
• Somali Community
• Somali Community Association in Southwark
• Somali Counselling Project
• Somali Group
• Somali Health and Education Project
• Somali Mother Tongue & Supplementary Class
• Somali Project
• Somali Women & Children's Project
• South East Asian Elderly
• South London Arab Community Group
• Southwark African Support Services
• Southwark Asian Association
• Southwark Bhagini Samaj
• Southwark Chinese Women's Group
• Southwark Cypriot & Turkish Cultural Society
• Southwark Cypriot Day Centre & Elders Group
• Southwark Cypriot Turkish Association
• Southwark Ethnic Alliance
• Southwark Ethnicare Project
• Southwark Irish Festival
• Southwark Irish Forum
• Southwark Multicultural Link in Education
• Southwark Race and Equalities Forum
• Southwark Somali Advisory Forum c/o CIDU
• Southwark Somali Refugee Council
• Southwark Somali Union
• Southwark Travellers Action Group
• Southwark Turkish & Cypriot Group
• Southwark Turkish Association and Community Centre
• Southwark Turkish Education Group
• Southwark Turkish Perkunlunler Cultural Ass.
• Southwark United Irish Community Group
• Southwark Vietnamese Chinese Community
• Southwark Vietnamese Refugee Association
• Strategic Ethnic Alliance
• Sudanese Welfare Association
• Suubi-Lule African Youth Association
• The Burrow & Carragher Irish Dance Group
• Uganda Refugee Art & Education Development Workshop
• UK Ivorian Space
• Union of Ivorian Women
• Urhobo Ladies Association Ltd
• Vietnamese Women’s Group
• Vishvas
• Walworth Bangladeshi Community Association
• West African Community Action on Health & Welfare
• West Indian Standing Conference
• Women of Nigeria International
• Yemeni Community Association

Religious
• Apostolic Faith Mission
• Bermondsey Methodist Central Hall
• Bethel Apostolic Ministerial Union
• Bethnal Apostolic Ministerial Union
• Brandon Baptist Church
• British Red Cross
• Celestial Church of Christ
• Christ Church (Barry Road)
• Christ Church Southwark
• Christ Intercessor’s Network
• Christian Caring Ministries Trust
• Christian Life Church
• Christway Community Centre
• Church of St John the Evangelist
• Churches Community Care Project
• Crossway United Reformed Church
• Daughters of Divine Love Training Centre
• Dulwich Islamic Centre
• Elephant & Castle Mosque
• English Martyrs Church
• Finnish Church in London
• Fountain of Life Ministries
• Gospel Faith Mission
• Grove Chapel
• Herne Hill Methodist Church
• Herne Hill United Reformed Church
• Holy Ghost Temple
• Jamyang Buddhist Centre
• Mary’s Association
• Metropolitan Tabernacle
• Muslim Association of Nigeria
• New Peckham Mosque & Muslim Cultural Centre
• Norwegian Church
• Our Lady of La Salette & St Joseph
• Pakistan Muslim Welfare
• Peckham St John with St Andrew
• Pembroke College Mission
• Salvation Army
• Sasana Ramsi Vihara
• Seal of Rastafari
• Single Parents Holistic Ministry
• Sisters Community Delivery Health
• Sisters of the Sacred Heart
• South East Catholic Organisation
• South East London Baptist Homes
• South East Muslim Association
• South London Industrial Mission
• South London Tabernacle Baptist Church
• South London Temple
• Southwark Cathedral
• Southwark Churches Care
• Southwark Diocesan Housing Association
• Southwark Hindu Centre
• Southwark Islam Cultural Trust
• Southwark Multi-Faith Forum c/o CIDU
• Southwark Muslim Council & Dulwich Islamic Centre
• Southwark Muslim Forum
• Southwark Muslim Women’s Association
• Southwark Muslim Youth Project
• Southwark Salvation Army
• St Anne’s Church, Bermondsey
• St Anthony’s Hall
• St Christopher’s Church (Pembroke College Mission)
• St Georges Roman Catholic Cathedral
• St Giles Church
• St Giles Trust
• St Hugh’s Church
• St John’s Church, Peckham
• St Mary Magdalene Church - Bermondsey
• St Mary’s Greek Orthodox Church
• St Matthews at the Elephant
• St Peters Church
• St. Johns Church, Goose Green
• St. Jude’s Community Centre
• St. Matthew’s Community Centre
• St. Michael’s Vicarage
• Sumner Road Chapel
• Swedish Seaman’s Church
• Taifa Community Care Project
• The Church Commissioners
• The Church of the Lord (Aladura)
• The Rectory
• Tibetan Buddhist Centre
• Trinity In Camberwell
• Vineyard Community Church
• Walworth Methodist Church

Residents and resident’s groups
• Abbeyfield T&RA
• Acorn T&RA
• Adams Gardens T&RA
• Alberta T&RA
• Alvey T&RA
• Applegarth House T&RA
• Applegarth TMO
• Astbury Road T&RA
• Atwell T&RA
• Aylesbury T&RA
• Baltic Quay Residents and Leaseholders
• Barry Area T&RA
• Bellenden Residents Group
• Bermondsey Street T&RA
• Bermondsey Street TA.
• Bonamy & Bramcote Tenants Association
• Borough and Scovell T&RA
• Brandon T&RA
• Brayards Rd Estate TRA
• Brenchley Gardens T&RA
• Bricklayers Arms T&RA
• Britonroy T&RA
• Brook Drive T&RA
• Browning T&RA
• Brunswick Park T&RA
• Buchan T&RA
• Camberwell Grove T&RA
• Canada Estate T&RA
• Caroline Gardens T&RA
• Castlemead T&RA
• Cathedral Area RA
• Champion Hill T&RA
- Comus House T&RA
- Conant T&RA
- Congreve and Barlow T&RA
- Consort T&RA
- Cooper Close Co-op T&RA
- Cossall T&RA
- Crawford Road T&RA
- Crosby Lockyer & Hamilton T&RA
- Croxted Road E.D.E.T.R.A
- Delawyk Residents Association
- Delawyk T&RA
- D'Eynsford Estate T&RA
- Dickens T&RA
- Dodson & Amigo T&RA
- Downtown T&RA
- Draper Tenants Association
- East Dulwich Estate T&RA
- East Dulwich Grove Estate T&RA
- Elephant Lane Residents Association
- Elizabeth T&RA
- Elmington T&RA
- Esmeralda T&RA
- Four Squares T&RA
- Gateway T&RA
- Gaywood Estate TA
- Gaywood T&RA
- George Tingle T&RA
- Gilesmead T&RA
- Glebe North and South T&RA
- Gloucester Grove T&RA
- Goschen T&RA
- Grosvenor T&RA
- Grove Lane Residents Association
- Haddonhall Residents TMO
- Haddonhall Tenants Co-op
- Halimore TA
- Harmsworth Mews Residents Association
- Hawkstone T&RA
- Hayles T&RA
- Heygate T&RA
- House Buildings T&RA
- Juniper House T&RA
- Keetons T&RA
- Kennington Park House T&RA
- Kinglake T&RA
- Kipling T&RA
- L T&RA
- Lant T&RA
- Lawson Residents Association
- Lawson T&RA
- Leathermarket JMB
- Ledbury T&RA
- Lettsom T&RA
- Library Street Neighbourhood Forum
- Longfield T&RA
- Lordship Lane & Melford Court T&RA
- Magdalene Tenants & Residents Association
- Magdelen T&RA
- Manchester House T&RA
- Manor T&RA
- Mardyke House T&RA
- Mayflower T&RA
- Meadow Row T&RA
- Metro Central Heights RA
- Milpond T&RA
- Neckinger Estate T&RA
- Nelson Square Gardens T&RA
- Nelson Square Community Association
• New Camden T&RA
• Newington T&RA
• Northfield House T&RA
• Nunhead Residents Association
• Oliver Goldsmith T&RA
• Osprey T&RA
• Parkside T&RA
• Pasley Estate T&RA
• Pedworth T&RA
• Pelier T&RA
• Penrose T&RA
• Plough and Chiltern T&RA
• Puffin T&RA
• Pullens T&RA
• Pullens Tenants Association
• Redriff Tenants Association (Planning)
• Rennie T&RA
• Rochester Estate T&RA
• Rockingham Management Committee
• Rockingham TRA
• Rodney Road T&RA
• Rouel Road Estate T&RA
• Rye Hill T&RA
• Salisbury Estate T&RA
• Sceaux Gardens T&RA
• Setchell Estate T&RA
• SHACCA T&RA
• Silwood T&RA
• Southampton Way T&RA
• Southwark Group of Tenants Association
• Southwark Park Estate T&RA
• St Crispins T&RA
• St James T&RA
• Styles House T&RA
• Sumner Residents T&RA
• Surrey Gardens T&RA
• Swan Road T&RA
• Sydenham Hill T&RA
• Tabard Gardens Management Co-op
• Tappesfield T&RA
• Tarney Road Residents Association
• Tenant Council Forum
• Thorburn Square T&RA
• Thurlow T&RA
• Tooley Street T&RA
• Trinity Newington Residents Association
• Two Towers T&RA
• Unwin & Friary T&RA
• Webber and Quentin T&RA
• Wendover T&RA
• West Square Residents’ Association
• Wilsons Road T&RA
• Winchester Estate TA
• Wyndam & Comber T&RA

**Housing**
• Affinity Sutton
• Central & Cecil Housing Trust
• Dulwich Right to Buy
• Excel Housing Association
• Family Housing Association Development
• Family Mosaic
• Habinteg
• Hexagon - Southwark Women’s Hostel
• Hexagon Housing
• Hexagon RSL
• Home-Start
• Housing for Women
• Hyde RSL
• Lambeth & Southwark Housing Society
• London & Quadrant Housing Trust
• Love Walk Hostel
• Metropolitan Housing Trust
• Octavia Hill Housing Trust
• Peabody Estate (Bricklayers)
• Pecan Limited
• Rainer South London Housing Project
• Sojourner Housing Association
• South East London Housing Partnership
• Southern Housing Group
• Southwark & London Diocesan H A
• Southwark Park Housing
• Stopover Emergency & Medium Stay Hostels
• Wandle RSL

**Education/young persons**

• 8th East Dulwich Brownies
• Active Kids Network
• After School Clubs
• All Nations Community Nursery
• Alliance for African Youth
• Amott Road Playgroup
• Anti-Bullying Campaign
• Aylesbury Early Years Centre
• Aylesbury Plus SRB Detached Project: Youth Club
• Aylesbury Youth Centre
• Aylesbury Youth Club
• Bede Youth Adventure
• Bermondsey Adventure Playground
• Bermondsey Community Nursery
• Bermondsey Scout Group
• Bethwin Road Adventure Playground
• Blackfriars Housing for Young
• Blackfriars Settlement Youth Club
• British Youth Opera
• Camberwell After-School Project
• Camberwell Choir School
• Camberwell Scout Group
• Cambridge House Young People's Project
• Camelot After School Club
• Caribb Supplementary School and Youth Club
• Caribbean Youth & Community Association
• CASP Playground
• Charles Dickens After School Clubs
• Chellow Dene Day Nursery
• Child and Sound
• Children's Day Nursery
• Community Education Football Initiative
• Community Youth Provision Ass.
• Copleston Children's Centre
• Dyason Pre-School
• Early Years Centre
• Early-Birds Pre-School Playgroup
• East Dulwich Adventure Playground Association
• East Dulwich Community Nursery
• Ebony Saturday School
• Emmanuel Youth & Community Centre
• First Steps Montessori Playgroup
• Founder Union of Youth
• Future Generation Youth Club
• Garden Nursery
• Geoffrey Chaucer Youth Club
• Goose Green Chaucer Youth Club
• Grove Vale Youth Club
• Gumboots Community Nursery
• Guys Evelina Hospital School
• Half Moon Montessori Playgroup
• Happy Faces Playgroup Under 5's
• Hatasu Students Learning Centre
• Heartbeat After School Project
• Heber After School Project
• Hollington Youth Club
• Joseph Lancaster After School Club
• Justdo Youth Network
• Ketra Young Peoples Project
• Kids Are Us Play centre
• Kids Company
• Kinderella Playgroup
• Kingsdale Youth Centre
• Kingswood Elfins
• Lawnside Playgroup
• Linden Playgroup
• Louise Clay Homework Club
• Millwall Community Sports Scheme
• Mint Street Adventure Playground
• Mission Youth Centre
• Mother Goose Nursery
• NCH Action for Children Eye to Eye Meditation
• Nunhead Community Education Service
• Nunhead Green Early Years
• Odessa Street Youth Club
• Peckham Drop in Crèche
• Peckham Park After School Club
• Peckham Rye After School Care
• Peckham Settlement Nursery
• Peckham Town Football Club
• Pembroke House Youth Club
• Pickwick Community Centre & Youth Club
• Playshack Playgroup
• Rainbow Playgroup
• Reconcillors Children’s Club
• Riverside After School Club
• Rockingham Asian Youth
• Rockingham Community Day Nursery
• Rockingham Estate Play
• Rockingham Playgroup
• Rotherhithe Community Sports Project
• Sacred Heart Pre-School Day Care
• Salmon Youth Centre
• Save the Children Fund
• Scallywags Day Nursery
• Scarecrows Day Nursery
• Sesame Supplementary School
• Sheldon Health Promotion Toddlers Group
• Sixth Bermondsey Scout Group
• Somali Youth Action Forum
• South London Children’s Scrap Scheme
• South London Scouts Centre
• Southwark Catholic Youth Service
• Southwark Childminding Association
• Southwark Children's Foundation
• Southwark Community Planning & Education Centre
• Southwark Opportunity Playgroup
• Southwark Schools Support Project
• Southwark Somali Homework Club
• Springboard for Children
• St Faiths Community & Youth Association
• St Giles Youth Centre
• St John's Waterloo YC
• St Marys Pre-School
• St Peters Monkey Park
• St. George's Youth Project
• St. Peter's Youth & Community Centre
• Surrey Docks Play Ass.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>tabular_cell_1</th>
<th>Tabard After School Project</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>tabular_cell_1</td>
<td>Tadworth Playgroup</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tabular_cell_1</td>
<td>Tenda Road Early Years Centre</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tabular_cell_1</td>
<td>The Ink Tank Arts and Crafts After School Kids Club</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tabular_cell_1</td>
<td>Trinity Child Care</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tabular_cell_1</td>
<td>Tykes Corner</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tabular_cell_1</td>
<td>Union of Youth</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tabular_cell_1</td>
<td>Upstream Children's Theatre</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tabular_cell_1</td>
<td>Westminster House Youth Club</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tabular_cell_1</td>
<td>YCGN UK (Youth Concern Global Network)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tabular_cell_1</td>
<td>YHA Rotherhithe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tabular_cell_1</td>
<td>Youth Concern UK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tabular_cell_1</td>
<td>Anando Pat Community School</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tabular_cell_1</td>
<td>Archbishop Michael Ramsey Sixth Form Centre</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tabular_cell_1</td>
<td>Beormund School</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tabular_cell_1</td>
<td>Boutcher CoE School</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tabular_cell_1</td>
<td>British School of Osteopathy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tabular_cell_1</td>
<td>Brunswick Park Primary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tabular_cell_1</td>
<td>Cathedral School</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tabular_cell_1</td>
<td>Cobourg Primary School</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tabular_cell_1</td>
<td>Crampton Primary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tabular_cell_1</td>
<td>Crampton School (Parents)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tabular_cell_1</td>
<td>Dachwyng Supplementary School</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tabular_cell_1</td>
<td>Dulwich College</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tabular_cell_1</td>
<td>Dulwich Hamlet Junior School</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tabular_cell_1</td>
<td>Dulwich Village CE Infants School</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tabular_cell_1</td>
<td>Dulwich Wood School</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tabular_cell_1</td>
<td>Emotan Supplementary School</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tabular_cell_1</td>
<td>English Martyrs RC School</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tabular_cell_1</td>
<td>Eveline Lowe School</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tabular_cell_1</td>
<td>Friars School</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tabular_cell_1</td>
<td>Gabriel Garcia Marquez School</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tabular_cell_1</td>
<td>Geoffrey Chaucer School</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tabular_cell_1</td>
<td>Gharweg Saturday School</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tabular_cell_1</td>
<td>Gloucester Primary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tabular_cell_1</td>
<td>Goodrich Primary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tabular_cell_1</td>
<td>Grange Primary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tabular_cell_1</td>
<td>Institute of Psychiatry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tabular_cell_1</td>
<td>James Allen's Girls School</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tabular_cell_1</td>
<td>Kingsdale School</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tabular_cell_1</td>
<td>Kintmore Way Nursery School</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tabular_cell_1</td>
<td>Lighthouse Supplementary School</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tabular_cell_1</td>
<td>Little Saints Nursery School Ltd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tabular_cell_1</td>
<td>London College of Printing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tabular_cell_1</td>
<td>London School of Law</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tabular_cell_1</td>
<td>London South Bank University</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tabular_cell_1</td>
<td>Morley School</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tabular_cell_1</td>
<td>Mustard Seed Pre-School</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tabular_cell_1</td>
<td>Nell Gwynn School</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tabular_cell_1</td>
<td>Notre Dame RC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tabular_cell_1</td>
<td>Pui-Kan Community Chinese School</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tabular_cell_1</td>
<td>Robert Browning Primary School</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tabular_cell_1</td>
<td>Sacred Heart School</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tabular_cell_1</td>
<td>South Bank University</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tabular_cell_1</td>
<td>Southwark College (Southampton Way)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tabular_cell_1</td>
<td>Southwark College (Surrey Docks)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tabular_cell_1</td>
<td>Southwark College (Waterloo)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tabular_cell_1</td>
<td>Southwark College Camberwell Centre</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tabular_cell_1</td>
<td>St Anthony's RC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tabular_cell_1</td>
<td>St Francesca Cabrini RC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tabular_cell_1</td>
<td>St Francis RC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tabular_cell_1</td>
<td>St George's Cathedral</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tabular_cell_1</td>
<td>St George's CE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tabular_cell_1</td>
<td>St John's CE School</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tabular_cell_1</td>
<td>St Josephs Infants School</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tabular_cell_1</td>
<td>St Josephs RC School</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tabular_cell_1</td>
<td>St Jude's CE School</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tabular_cell_1</td>
<td>St Olave's &amp; St Saviour's Grammar School Foundation</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
• St Paul's Primary School
• St Peter's Walworth CE School
• St Saviour's & St Olave's CE
• St. George the Martyr School
• Surrey Square Infant and Junior School
• The Archbishop Michael Ramsey Technology College
• The Charter School
• Townsend Primary School
• Victory Primary School
• Walworth Lower School
• Walworth Upper School
• Waverley Upper School
• Whitefield Pre-school
• Whitstable Early Years Centre

Health
• Alzheimer's Disease Society
• Bermondsey & Rotherhithe Mental Health Support Group
• Community Health South London
• Daryeel Health Project
• Dyslexia Association of London
• Guys and St. Thomas' Hospital Trust
• Health Action Zone
• Health First
• Hospital and Prison Action Network
• London Dyslexia Association
• London Ecumenical Aids Trust
• LSL Health Alliance
• Maudsley Befrienders & Volunteers
• Maudsley Social Work Team
• Maudsley Volunteers
• Mental Health Project
• Oasis Health Centre
• Phoenix Women's Health
• Southwark Health Alliance
• Southwark HIV & Aids Users Group
• Southwark Phoenix Women's Health Organisation
• St Christopher's Hospice
• Terence Higgins Trust
• Aylesbury Health Centre
• Aylesbury Medical Centre
• Bermondsey & Lansdowne Medical Mission
• Blackfriars Medical Centre
• Borough Medical Centre
• Camberwell Green Surgery
• CHSL NHS Trust
• Elm Lodge Surgery
• Falmouth Road Group Practice
• Guy's and St Thomas' NHS Foundation Trust
• Maudsley Hospital
• Old Kent Road
• Parkside Medical Centre
• Princess Street Health Centre
• SHA Strategic Health Authority Southside
• The Diffley Practice
• The Grange Road Practice
• Townley Clinic
• Walworth Clinic
• Walworth Road Health Centre

Transport
• Green Lanes & REPA
• Lambeth and Southwark Community Transport (LASCoT)
• Living Streets
• London Cycling Campaign
• London Transport Users Committee
• Southwark Community Transport
• Southwark Cyclists
- Southwark Living Streets
- Southwark Pedestrian Rights Group
- Southwark Transport Group
- Sustrans

**Pensioners/older people**
- Age Concern Carers Support Group
- Age Concern Southwark Community Support
- Age Concern Southwark Primary Care Project
- Age Concern Southwark: Head Office
- Association of Greater London Older Women (AGLOW)
- Aylesbury Pensioners Group
- Bermondsey Care for the Elderly
- Bermondsey Pensioners Action Group
- East Dulwich Pensioners Action Group
- East Dulwich Pensioners Group
- Fifty+ Activity Club
- Golden Oldies Club
- Golden Oldies Community Care Project
- Golden Oldies Luncheon Club
- Local Authority Elderly Home
- Old Age Directorate
- Over 50's Club
- Pensioners Club
- Pensioners' Forum
- Pensioners Pop-In (Borough Community Centre)
- Rockingham Over 50's
- Rotherhithe Pensioners Action Group
- South Asian Elderly Organisation
- Southwark Black Elderly Group
- Southwark Irish Pensioners
- Southwark Muslim Pensioners Group
- Southwark Pensioners Action Group
- Southwark Pensioners Centre

- Southwark Pensioners Forum
- Southwark Turkish Elderly

**Disability**
- Action for Blind People
- Action for Blind People (Training Centre)
- Action for Dysphasic Adults
- Age Concern Southwark Black Elders Mentally Frail
- Bede Learning Disabilities Project
- Cambridge House Literacy Project
- Handicapped Playground Ass
- IBA for Children & Adults with Mental & Physical Disabilities
- Keskidee Arts for Disabled People
- Latin American Disabled People’s Project
- Organisation of Blind African Caribbeans
- Sainsbury's Centre for Mental Health
- Sherrie Eugene Community Deaf Association
- Southwark Disabilities Forum c/o CIDU
- Southwark Disablement Association
- Southwark Multiple Sclerosis Society
- Southwark Phoenix and Leisure Club for People with Disabilities

**Refugee Groups/Recent Immigrants**
- Refugee Housing Association
- Refugee Youth
- South London Refugee Youth
- Southwark Day Centre for Asylum Seekers
- Southwark Refugee Artists Network
- Southwark Refugee Communities Forum
- Southwark Refugee Education Project
- Southwark Refugee Project
- The Refugee Council
Lesbian, gay, bisexual and transgender

- Southwark LGBT Network

Other Consultees

- Age Concern
- British Waterways, Canal owners and navigation authorities (Port of London)
- Centre for Ecology and Hydrology
- Southwark Chamber of Commerce
- Church Commissioners
- Commission for Architecture and the Built Environment (CABE)
- Commission for New Towns and English Partnerships
- Crown Estate Office
- Civil Aviation Authority
- English Partnerships
- Commission for Racial Equality
- Department of the Environment, Food and Rural Affairs
- Regional Public Health Group - London
- Diocesan Board of Finance
- Disability Rights Commission
- Disabled Persons Transport Advisory Committee
- H.M Prison Service
- Highways Agency
- Home Office
- National Grid
- Council for the Protection of Rural England (CPRE)
- London Wildlife Trust
- Royal Society for the Protection of Birds (RSPB)
- Equal Opportunities Commission
- Fields in Trust (formerly the National Playing Fields Association)
- Fire and Rescue Services
- Friends of the Earth Southwark

- Forestry Commission
- Freight Transport Association
- Gypsy Council
- Health and Safety Executive
- Help the Aged
- Housing Corporation
- Learning and Skills Council
- Southwark Equalities Council
- Regional Housing Boards
- Railfreight Group
- Road Haulage Association
- House Builders Federation
- Traveller Law Reform Coalition
- London Transport Buses
- London Underground
- National Disability Council Secretariat
- National Grid Company Plc.
- Network Rail
- Police/Crime Prevention
- Port of London Authority
- Post Office Property Holdings
- Southern Railway
- Sport England - London Region
- Thameslink Trains
- Transport for London
- Women's National Commission
- Southwark Volunteer Centre
Consultation Report

Appendix O

Representations on the 2013 draft SPD and officer comments
Dulwich SPD reps 2013

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Representati on Ref</th>
<th>Object or Ref</th>
<th>Main Section</th>
<th>Para</th>
<th>Details of Representation</th>
<th>Officer Response to Representation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>888</td>
<td>1. Introduction and background</td>
<td>This response is a composite response from the Dulwich Society comprising comments received from the Dulwich Society Executive Committee and individual members. The Dulwich Society is a leading amenity society in Dulwich and the Dulwich Village area with over 1100 members. Its prime objective is to foster and safeguard the amenities of Dulwich with interests in wildlife, gardening, local history, traffic, transport, and planning development. Further details can be found at <a href="http://www.dulwichsociety.com">www.dulwichsociety.com</a></td>
<td>Noted.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>888</td>
<td>2. What is Dulwich like today?</td>
<td>Comments: Overall the Dulwich Society welcomes the revised Dulwich Supplementary Planning Document and has the following specific comments:</td>
<td>Support noted.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>888</td>
<td>2.2.1 - I think the two statements ‘the population is relatively elderly’ and ‘Accordingly, the area has a higher proportion of those aged between 50 and 64..” is rather insulting to the over 50s – Might be better just to state that “The age structure of the 2011 census data shows that by Southwark’s general standards there are fewer adults in their twenties and a higher proportion of those aged 50 to 64 who live in Dulwich than the borough as a whole”</td>
<td>We have amended paragraph 2.2.1 to state that “The age structure of the population of Dulwich from the 2011 census data shows that by Southwark’s general standards there are fewer adults in their twenties and a higher proportion of those aged 50 and 64 who live in Dulwich than the borough as a whole.”</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>888</td>
<td>2.2.2 – line 4 – Dulwich Community Council (should all be capitals) and insert the word area as the Community Council itself is not comprised of 6% Africans and 52% whites</td>
<td>It is the council’s corporate style to refer to Dulwich community council in lower case. We have amended paragraph 2.2.2 to refer to Dulwich community council area.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>888</td>
<td>2.2.3 – “There are poor links to the underground system...” – this is not true – the underground system does not serve Dulwich at all so it has no links not poor ones! However the frequent suburban rail services from stations in Dulwich and Peckham offer links to the underground system at London Bridge, Blackfriars and Victoria. Also there is no mention of the London Overground links</td>
<td>We have amended paragraph 2.2.3 to state that there are frequent National Rail services from stations in Dulwich and Peckham which offer links to the underground system at London Bridge, Blackfriars and Victoria. The area has also recently benefited from</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Representa
on Ref | Object or Ref | Main Section | Para | Details of Representation | Officer Response to Representation |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>888</td>
<td>2. What is Dulwich like today?</td>
<td>2.2.5</td>
<td>2.2.5 – line 3 – College Ward needs a capital W.</td>
<td>We have amended paragraph 2.2.4 to refer to College Ward.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>888</td>
<td>2. What is Dulwich like today?</td>
<td>2.3.1</td>
<td>2.3.1 – line 1 - the word “local” is redundant. There are “a number of sports grounds” Perhaps mention should also be made of the “King’s College Sports ground at the Griffin”, Alumni clubs such as the Edward Alleyn Club and Old Alleynians Club, and general sports clubs such as the Dulwich Sports Club &amp; the Southwark Community Sports Trust</td>
<td>We have amended paragraph 2.3.3 to include reference to King’s College Sports ground at the Griffin, Alumni clubs such as the Edward Alleyn Club and Old Alleynians Club, and general sports clubs such as the Dulwich Sports Club &amp; the Southwark Community Sports Trust.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>888</td>
<td>2. What is Dulwich like today?</td>
<td>2.3.3</td>
<td>2.3.3 – line 1 - insert the word The, as in The Maudsley Hospital</td>
<td>We have amended paragraph 2.3.3 to refer to The Maudsley Hospital.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>888</td>
<td>2. What is Dulwich like today?</td>
<td>2.3.3</td>
<td>2.3.3 – line 6 – Kings College Hospital needs an apostrophe as in King’s.</td>
<td>We have amended paragraph 2.3.3 to refer to King’s College Hospital.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>888</td>
<td>2. What is Dulwich like today?</td>
<td>2.3.5</td>
<td>2.3.5 – general – do the figures include private nurseries? Please clarify.</td>
<td>We do not have information on the number of private nurseries in the SPD boundary.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>888</td>
<td>2. What is Dulwich like today?</td>
<td>2.3.6</td>
<td>2.3.6 – line 4 Harris Boys’ Academy General – perhaps there should be a reference to independent education provision in the area</td>
<td>We have included a reference to Harris Boys Academy in the final version of the SPD.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>888</td>
<td>2. What is Dulwich like today?</td>
<td>2.4.2</td>
<td>2.4.2 general – there is pressure on local residential parking from shoppers’ parking and vice-versa. There no town centre car park.</td>
<td>We do not consider that it is appropriate to include a reference to there being no town centre car park in the SPD. We our seeking to improve the accessibility of our Town Centres though the promotion of sustainable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Representati on Ref</td>
<td>Section</td>
<td>Main Section</td>
<td>Para</td>
<td>Details of Representation</td>
<td>Officer Response to Representation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15 888</td>
<td>2.4.4</td>
<td>2.4.4 – line 4 – there are a total of four railway lines that serve Herne Hill station so change ‘and the railway line intersect’ to ‘and the railway lines intersect’.</td>
<td>transport. This will help to reduce pressure on car parking in around town centres and is in line with the objectives set out in our Transport Plan. London Councils recently (2012) carried out a parking review which looked at the relevance of parking in the success of urban centres (to which Southwark contributed). This addressed questions relating to the correlation between the amount of free/cheap parking and commercial activity and how people travel to town centres and what they spend. They found that more parking doesn’t necessarily mean greater commercial success.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16 888</td>
<td>2.4.5</td>
<td>2.4.5 – line 3 – the branding ‘Citymetro’ is the name of a taxi company and has never been used by Southern or South Eastern trains – they have metro services for inner suburban lines so maybe just drop the word City or use the company names Southern Railway and South Eastern trains. Thameslink is the commonly used name for the North-South route but the service is now provided by First Capital Connect.</td>
<td>We have amended paragraph 2.4.5 to refer to First Capital Connect, Southern Railway and South Eastern trains.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17 888</td>
<td>2.4.6</td>
<td>2.4.6 – line 3 – the fishmongers in Herne Hill closed some years ago, add two bakers, butchers, bookshops and hardware store instead? The reference to the retail study undertaken 4 years ago is no longer relevant as the retail market is ever changing and this is too long ago to be meaningful.</td>
<td>We have amended this section to update our descriptions of the town centres and remove the references to the 2009 retail study.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18 888</td>
<td></td>
<td>Norwood Road has a number of restaurants, and some vacant shop units</td>
<td>We have amended this section to update our descriptions of the town centres and remove the references to the 2009 retail study.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Representa
ton Ref | Object or Ref | Main Section | Para | Details of Representation                                                                                                                                                                                                 | Officer Response to Representation                                                                                                                                                                                                 |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>888</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.4.8</td>
<td>Herne Hill underwent renovation about 2 years ago and there is a semi-pedestrianised town square area near the station. Since Summer 2012 there has been a thriving weekly Sunday market (farmers’ market, organic, clothes, crafts) in the town square.</td>
<td>We have amended this section to update our descriptions of the town centres and remove the references to the 2009 retail study.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>888</td>
<td>2. What is Dulwich like today?</td>
<td>2.4.8</td>
<td>2.4.8 – line 3 – Dulwich Village is also served by West Dulwich station which is within easy reach of the retail centre of the village so suggest changing to “N. Dulwich and W. Dulwich railway stations are within walking distance of the centre”. Herne Hill station is also heavily utilised by Dulwich residents.</td>
<td>We have amended paragraph 2.4.8 to refer to North Dulwich and West Dulwich railway stations.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>888</td>
<td>2. What is Dulwich like today?</td>
<td>2.4.10</td>
<td>2.4.10 – There is a small supermarket (Shepherd’s) which sells fresh meat &amp; vegetables, and a Post Office on Dulwich Village. There is also a large bakery, and several restaurants, cafes, pub and a book shop. The Dulwich Library is on the edges of the Village area. Also note there are some words missing at the end of this para.</td>
<td>We have amended this section to update our descriptions of the town centres and remove the references to the 2009 retail study.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>888</td>
<td>2. What is Dulwich like today?</td>
<td>2.4.11</td>
<td>2.4.11 – line 1 – Park Hall road – change to Park Hall Road. There is a branch of Tesco’s in the Park Hall/Croxted Road parade of shops albeit on the Lambeth side of Croxted Road.</td>
<td>We have amended paragraph 2.4.11 to refer to Park Hall Road and included a reference to the Tesco’s on Croxted Road.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>888</td>
<td>2. What is Dulwich like today?</td>
<td>2.6.8</td>
<td>2.6.8 line 5, 6– residents, boroughs – no apostrophes are required</td>
<td>We have amended the references to residents and boroughs in paragraph 2.6.8.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>888</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.6.8</td>
<td>Line 8 – Long Meadow</td>
<td>We have amended the reference to Long Meadow in paragraph 2.6.8.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>888</td>
<td>2. What is Dulwich like today?</td>
<td>2.7.3</td>
<td>2.7.3 line 8 – West Dulwich</td>
<td>We have amended the reference to West Dulwich in paragraph 2.7.3.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>888</td>
<td>2. What is Dulwich like today?</td>
<td>2.8.1</td>
<td>2.8.1 In para 2.2.1 the Census 2011 indicated that the number of households with at least one usual resident was 14,927. Para 2.8.1 the housing requirement study 2009 estimated that there are currently 15,310 households in Dulwich. Please explain the difference</td>
<td>We have updated paragraph 2.2.1 of the SPD to refer to the Census 2011 data.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Representaiton Ref</td>
<td>Object or Ref</td>
<td>Main Section</td>
<td>Para</td>
<td>Details of Representation</td>
<td>Officer Response to Representation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>888</td>
<td>2. What is Dulwich like today?</td>
<td>2.8.2</td>
<td>2.8.2 Please set out or link to the strategy for dealing with vacant dwellings.</td>
<td>We do not consider that the SPD is the appropriate place to refer to our strategy for dealing with vacant dwellings.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>888</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Figure 5 Page 24 – map – should include annotations for Herne Hill and North Dulwich railway stations</td>
<td>This figure has been updated in the final version of the SPD.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>888</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Figure 7 Page 27 – map– this should include the recently designated Herne Hill Conservation Area on the Southwark/Lambeth border between Herne Hill and Milkwood Road.</td>
<td>We have amended the map to show the Herne Hill Conservation Area in the final version of the SPD.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>888</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>The names of the green spaces in the conservation area are incorrect. If they need to be named the spaces are: Burbage Road Playing Field – should be Dulwich Sports Club(west sector of green space) and Edward Alleyn Club(east sector of green space)</td>
<td>The open spaces were identified and designated for protection through the schedules to the Southwark Plan and these cannot be renamed through the SPD. We can look at amending the names of any protected open spaces through the preparation of the New Southwark Plan which we are due to start work on later this year.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>888</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Herne Hill Stadium – should be Herne Hill Velodrome</td>
<td>The open spaces were identified and designated for protection through the schedules to the Southwark Plan and these cannot be renamed through the SPD. We can look at amending the names of any protected open spaces through the preparation of the New Southwark Plan which we are due to start work on later this year.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New rep</td>
<td>888</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Dulwich College Sports Ground – should be Dulwich Sports Ground (SCST)</td>
<td>The open spaces were identified and designated for protection through the schedules to the Southwark Plan and these cannot be renamed through the SPD. We can look at amending the names of any protected open spaces through the preparation of the New Southwark Plan which we are due to start work on later this year.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Representa
on Ref | Object or Ref | Main Section | Para | Details of Representation | Officer Response to Representation |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4.3.1</td>
<td>4.3.1 – para 4 – Stradella Road CA does not comprise ‘late Victorian/early Edwardian style housing’ – this suggests the housing is of recent construction in the style of original Victorian and Edwardian properties, also the Edwardian period was so short (only 9 years) it is never divided into early, mid or late, so suggest changing to simply “…made up of late Victorian and Edwardian housing.”</td>
<td>protected open spaces through the preparation of the New Southwark Plan which we are due to start work on later this year.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>888</td>
<td>4. Heritage and Conservation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>We have amended paragraph 4.3.1 to refer to late Victorian and Edwardian housing.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4.3.1</td>
<td>Also on the last line Stradella Road conservation area should read Conservation Area as it is the name of a specific CA. The same applies to the reference on lines 1 and 5 of the next paragraph – should both references should read ‘Sunray Estate Conservation Area’, not ‘Sunray Conservation Area’ and ‘Sunray Estate conservation area’.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4.3.2</td>
<td>4.3.2. – 2nd third – it is not true that ‘All trees within conservation areas have a level of protection…’ as protection depends on their girth (young trees have no protection) and species (fruit trees for example have no protection under the legislation).</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4.4.1</td>
<td>4.4.1 – line 3 – East Dulwich railway station is not listed – this should read North Dulwich railway station</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4.4.2</td>
<td>4.4.2 –Locally Listed Buildings should be included with examples in Dulwich given too. When will the Heritage SPD to be made available for consultation?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Represen</td>
<td>Object or Ref</td>
<td>Main Section</td>
<td>Para</td>
<td>Details of Representation</td>
<td>Officer Response to Representation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>----------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tation Ref</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>5. Built Environment</td>
<td>5.3.3</td>
<td>5.3.3 – line 2 – the use of the word remnant should be reconsidered – this is often used as a negative word denoting insignificance or irrelevance – suggest changing this to “are remaining features etc..”</td>
<td>the final list through the Heritage SPD which is due to be consulted on in September 2014.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>37</td>
<td>5. Built Environment</td>
<td>5.7.1</td>
<td>5.7.1 – There appear to be 2 paragraphs numbered as 5.7.1</td>
<td>We have amended paragraph 5.3.3 to refer to the remaining features of the medieval and post-medieval village.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>38</td>
<td>5.7.1</td>
<td>The Dulwich Society welcomes the clarification on basement development</td>
<td>We have amended the paragraph numbering in section 5.7 of the SPD.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New rep</td>
<td>888</td>
<td>5. Built Environment</td>
<td>5.7.1</td>
<td>Other concerns to be added to the 5.7.1 list include:  • Harm to the character, cohesion and integrity of historic streetscapes, particularly those of a uniform or harmonious architectural character.  • Cumulative impact of basement developments in a terrace of houses</td>
<td>Support noted.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>39</td>
<td>5. Built Environment</td>
<td>5.7.2</td>
<td>5.7.2 Although basement development applications are considered on an individual case-by-case basis, there needs to be consideration of the cumulative impact of basement developments</td>
<td>We have added the following bullet point to the list of impacts that need to be considered as part of the BIA in paragraph 5.7.8;  • The cumulative impact of basement development (built or proposed) in the surrounding area</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Representati on Ref</td>
<td>Object or Ref</td>
<td>Main Section</td>
<td>Para</td>
<td>Details of Representation</td>
<td>Officer Response to Representation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>888</td>
<td>5. Built Environment</td>
<td>5.7.6</td>
<td>The Dulwich Society strongly support this paragraph. Please include links to relevant sections on the Southwark web site on flood risk.</td>
<td>Support noted. We have included a link to the council’s Flood Risk Management web pages in this section of the SPD.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41</td>
<td>888</td>
<td>6. Natural Environment</td>
<td>6.8.2 to 6.8.5</td>
<td>Paving of front gardens. The Dulwich Society would like this section given greater emphasis and prominence, and the wording strengthened. “We will resist” and “it is not acceptable “are weak. Please add the appropriate reference to front garden guidelines. It is not clear what actions Southwark will take to prevent paving of forecourts and front gardens. These paragraphs will not deter anyone from paving over their front garden.</td>
<td>We have added a reference to the appropriate guidelines for front gardens. We have amended paragraph 6.8.5 to make our approach to applications for paving over front gardens clearer.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42</td>
<td>888</td>
<td>6. Natural Environment</td>
<td>6.8.5</td>
<td>6.8.5 line 1 - Within the conservation areas (typo). Could forecourt/front garden parking be mentioned in the Built Environment section 5 too (it is referred to in the following Natural Environment section which is welcome but its impact can be equally harmful on the built heritage as the natural world).</td>
<td>We have amended the typo in line one. We have also included as additional section in the built environment chapter to cross reference the guidance on front garden development.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43</td>
<td>888</td>
<td>7. Transport and Accessibility</td>
<td>7.2.1</td>
<td>7.2.1 “to encourage a minimum of car use…” You have already highlighted in para 2.2.1 that there are higher proportion of residents over the age of 50. Many residents with minor-moderate mobility problems due to long term illnesses e.g.arthritis, asthma rely on cars for local transport due to long walking distances in the Dulwich area, lack of mobility access at rail stations, inconvenient bus journeys &amp; transporting shopping. Cycling has limited appeal and may no longer be an option for this group. Provision for this group including car parking must be considered. This group is in many cases NOT eligible for a Disability parking badge.</td>
<td>This is the approach set out in the council’s adopted Core Strategy and is in line with the approach set out in our Transport Plan. Whilst we recognise that some resident’s will require the use of a car. Our approach is to encourage those resident’s for whom it is not necessary to use a car to find alternative means of transport whilst ensuring that there is adequate provision of parking for those that do require the use of a car. We may</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Representati on Ref</td>
<td>Object or Ref</td>
<td>Main Section</td>
<td>Para</td>
<td>Details of Representation</td>
<td>Officer Response to Representation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44</td>
<td>888</td>
<td>7. Transport and Accessibility</td>
<td>7.3.1</td>
<td>7.3.1 Local rail stations do not have disability access. There are no lifts at North Dulwich and West Dulwich.</td>
<td>We have amended the final bullet point in paragraph 7.3.3 to state that we will encourage development that contributes to improving accessibility to public transport.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>888</td>
<td>7. Transport and Accessibility</td>
<td>7.3.3</td>
<td>7.3.3 Consideration should be given to smaller scale bus shuttle services (using a TfL SMS bus stop numbers) between poorly served parts of Dulwich (low PTALs) and local transport hubs like Herne Hill and local rail stations, and shopping centres like Herne Hill and Dulwich Village.</td>
<td>The provision of a smaller scale shuttle bus in the area is beyond the remit of the council. However, we will continue to lobby Transport for London for improvements to public transport accessibility in this area.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46</td>
<td>888</td>
<td>8. Town and Local Centres and the Evening Economy</td>
<td>8.3.2</td>
<td>8.3.2 Lordship Lane – a strategic view on parking is needed. Development of footfall is constrained by lack of a town centre car park.</td>
<td>We do not consider that it is appropriate to include a reference to there being no town centre car park in the SPD. We our seeking to improve the accessibility of our Town Centres though the promotion of sustainable transport rather than through the provision of a new car park. This will help to reduce pressure on car parking in around town centres and is in line with the objectives set out in our Transport Plan. London Councils recently (2012) carried out a parking review which looked at the relevance of parking in the success of urban centres (to which Southwark contributed). This addressed questions relating to the correlation between the amount of free/cheap parking and commercial activity and how people travel to town centres and what they spend. They found that more parking doesn't necessarily</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Representati on Ref</td>
<td>Object or Ref</td>
<td>Main Section</td>
<td>Para</td>
<td>Details of Representation</td>
<td>Officer Response to Representation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>47</td>
<td>888</td>
<td>8. Town and Local Centres and the Evening Economy</td>
<td>8.3.3</td>
<td>8.3.3 It is not clear what higher scale development in Herne Hill village centre means and can be interpreted as taller developments as well as an increasing volume of developments. There is concern that an increased level of development will impinge on the character and appearance of Herne Hill and be insensitive to heritage and design issues.</td>
<td>We have removed the reference to higher scale development in relation to Herne Hill district town centre. This will be assessed on a case by case basis through the planning application process.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48</td>
<td>888</td>
<td>8. Town and Local Centres and the Evening Economy</td>
<td>8.3.7</td>
<td>8.3.7. Noted. MOPAC has announced the closure of East Dulwich Police Station and currently a counter is proposed at Dulwich Library as well as a base at Seeley Drive. There are continuing policing developments which need to be monitored by the Southwark SPD team before the SPD is issued.</td>
<td>We have updated our reference to the East Dulwich Police Station in the final version of the SPD.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49</td>
<td>888</td>
<td>8. Town and Local Centres and the Evening Economy</td>
<td>8.3.7</td>
<td>8.3.7 Fact boxes. Saturation of betting shops/pawnbrokers/money shops in the area especially in Herne Hill and Lordship Lane would be unwelcome.</td>
<td>Noted. We have included a fact box setting out how we may consider introducing a saturation policy for betting shops in the future.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>888</td>
<td>8. Town and Local Centres and the Evening Economy</td>
<td>8.4.3</td>
<td>8.4.3 – evening economy uses. This section is too weak and needs strengthening – can it not read “there is a presumption against evening economy uses in predominantly residential areas or in close vicinity to residential properties”</td>
<td>We have amended paragraph 8.4.3 to make it clearer that the impact of evening and night time economy uses on residential amenity needs to be taken account. However, it is too prescriptive to state the there is a presumption against evening economy uses in predominantly residential areas or in close vicinity to residential properties.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New rep</td>
<td>888</td>
<td>8. Town and Local Centres and the Evening</td>
<td>8.4.3</td>
<td>Herne Hill, on both sides of the Southwark and Lambeth divide has had a contentious history of highly inappropriate night-time venues and residents have long been critical of the two councils' abilities to address this issue, as police reports of violence and disturbances will support. Community safety is an issue for</td>
<td>We have amended paragraph 8.4.3 to strengthen the reference to the impact on the evening economy on community safety.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Details of Representation

| Representatio
<p>| Object or Ref | Main Section | Para | Officer Response to Representation |
|----------------|----------------|----------------|-----------------|-------------------------------------|
| 51 888 9. Department sites | 9.1.1– Dulwich Hospital. This section does not address the heritage significance of the remaining hospital buildings (including the fine arts and crafts nurses’ home), merely describing them as being ‘of varying age, design and quality’ is not good enough. The demolition of the eastern wing several years ago has resulted in a major blight on the area leaving a huge derelict site enclosed by hoardings in the middle of an historic and characterful neighbourhood, which the planning authority has failed to resolve. The surviving buildings are clearly of significant historic and architectural interest worthy of reassessment for statutory listing and certainly should be designated as locally listed heritage assets accompanied by conservation area status. Lambeth acted quickly to designate a small conservation area to protect the former Lambeth Hospital near the Elephant and Castle and extended an existing CA to protect the former South London Hospital for Women in Clapham. Southwark might want to consider doing the same. This would enable the LPA to ensure the sensitive restoration and adaptation of the historic buildings on this site which are greatly cherished by the community. Currently these historic buildings have absolutely no heritage protection and could be demolished tomorrow. | We have included a new paragraph 9.1.4 which states that the Dulwich hospital building has been identified as a building of townscape merit or heritage value. Any proposal for the site will need to acknowledge the significant contribution the building makes to the local area. We would encourage any development to consider the re-use of the existing building and justify any loss. |
| 52 888 9. 9.2.3 Herne Hill Velodrome – line 2 – Further funding is being | We have amended paragraph 9.2.3 to reflect |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Representati on Ref</th>
<th>Object or Ref</th>
<th>Main Section</th>
<th>Para</th>
<th>Details of Representation</th>
<th>Officer Response to Representation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>53 888</td>
<td>9. Development sites</td>
<td>9.4.4</td>
<td>9.4.4 – it is not only Herne Hill village centre that is split between Southwark and Lambeth, but also the neighbourhood retail centre of West Dulwich so this should be referred to too.</td>
<td>We have amended paragraph 9.4.4 to refer to the fact that both Herne Hill and West Dulwich straddle the borough boundary with Lambeth.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54 641</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Main suggestion: Although the saved Sustainable Transport SPD is mentioned in a number of places, the document should refer expressly to paragraph 8.3.2 of that SPD, which states: Outside of CPZs applications may be refused if adequate parking is not provided within the site that may lead to overspill parking on the public highway that will result in a loss or deterioration of amenity for existing and future users. For the south of the borough, this is an important mitigation of policy 5.6 in the car parking standards section of the saved Southwark Plan, and, for the guidance of developers and re-assurance to residents, should be clearly set out in the Dulwich SPD.</td>
<td>We have amended paragraph 7.3.4 to include the wording set out in the Sustainable Transport SPD.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55 641</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Figure 3: &quot;owned&quot; is not the right word in the caption, since most of the houses are enfranchised under the Leasehold Reform Act, and to the best of my knowledge the Estate is not the freeholder of Dulwich Park, though it is of Belair.</td>
<td>We have amended the title of figure 3 to refer to 'Land under the Dulwich Estate Scheme of Management'</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56 641</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.3.9</td>
<td>2.3.9: the relevance of the references to new academies in Walworth and Rotherhithe is not clear.</td>
<td>We have amended the wording of paragraph 2.3.9 to make it clearer that our approach to addressing primary school need is borough-wide.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>57 641</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.4.5</td>
<td>2.4.5: reference to the Herne Hill CPZ might be appropriate here.</td>
<td>We do not consider that it is appropriate to refer to the Herne Hill CPZ in this section. The paragraph is discussing the accessibility of the centre rather than the detail relating to parking provision. Further detail setting out our approach to parking provision in the</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rep resentati on Ref</td>
<td>Object or Ref</td>
<td>Main Section</td>
<td>Para</td>
<td>Details of Representation</td>
<td>Officer Response to Representation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>58</td>
<td>641</td>
<td>2. What is Dulwich like today?</td>
<td>2.4.10</td>
<td>Para 2.4.10: some words are missing at the end of this para.</td>
<td>This has been amended in the final version of the SPD.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>59</td>
<td>641</td>
<td>2. What is Dulwich like today?</td>
<td>2.7.2</td>
<td>Para 2.7.2: It would be very useful if the document included map(s) showing the boundaries of the relevant conservation areas</td>
<td>We have included a reference to figure 8 of the SPD which shows the boundaries of the conservation areas in Dulwich.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>641</td>
<td>3. What will Dulwich be like in the future?</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>Para 3.5, second paragraph: not all the Herne Hill railway arches are currently &quot;well used&quot;</td>
<td>Noted. This is part of our vision for Herne Hill that was set out in our adopted Core Strategy. We will look at reviewing these visions through the New Southwark Plan which we are due to begin work on later this year.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>61</td>
<td>641</td>
<td>4. Heritage and Conservation</td>
<td>4.3.2</td>
<td>Para 4.3.2: last bullet: reference to the Dulwich Wood conservation area has been omitted here.</td>
<td>The open spaces were identified and designated for protection through the schedules to the Southwark Plan and these cannot be renamed through the SPD. We can look at amending the names of any protected open spaces through the preparation of the New Southwark Plan which we are due to start work on later this year.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>62</td>
<td>641</td>
<td>4. Heritage and Conservation</td>
<td>5.4.3</td>
<td>Para 5.4.3 (iv): the words &quot;or add&quot; should be inserted after &quot;contribute&quot;, so as to read &quot;The development would not contribute</td>
<td>We have amended paragraph 5.4.3 to reflect this.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Representati on Ref</td>
<td>Object or Ref</td>
<td>Main Section</td>
<td>Para</td>
<td>Details of Representation</td>
<td>Officer Response to Representation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>nt</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>5. Built Environment</td>
<td>5.5.2</td>
<td>Para 5.5.2 (v): same point as immediately above. the words &quot;or add&quot; should be inserted after &quot;contribute&quot;, so as to read “The development would not contribute or add to parking problems....”</td>
<td>We have amended paragraph 5.4.3 to reflect this.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65</td>
<td>641</td>
<td>5. Built Environment</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>Section 5.7: The wording should offer the strongest possible (within the constraints applying to planning framework documents) discouragement to basement development</td>
<td>The SPD has set out additional guidance to ensure that basement development only occurs in suitable locations. We cannot set new policy through the SPD but we will consider strengthening our policies with regard to basement development further through the New Southwark Plan which we are due to start work on later this year. If a property is outside of a flood risk area, we consider that it would be overly onerous to require a Basement Impact Assessment if the adjacent property has a basement development. We have included a web link to the council’s web pages on flood risk management in Southwark.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>66</td>
<td>641</td>
<td>5. Built Environment</td>
<td>5.7.6</td>
<td>Para 5.7.6: Add &quot;or where an adjacent property already has a basement&quot; after &quot;flood risk area&quot;; the extent of &quot;flood risk areas&quot; should be set out, or a web link provided.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>67</td>
<td>641</td>
<td>7. Transport and Accessibility</td>
<td>7.2.5</td>
<td>Para 7.2.5: In order to balance the reference to Southwark Plan policy 5.6, the wording of para 8.3.2 of the Sustainable Transport SPD should be inserted here.</td>
<td>The Sustainable Transport SPD provides additional guidance to our adopted policies in the Saved Southwark Plan and the Core Strategy and cannot set new policy itself. We do not feel that it is therefore appropriate to include a reference to this document under the policy section however we have included the wording from paragraph 8.3.2 of the SPD in paragraph 7.3.4.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>68</td>
<td>641</td>
<td>8. Town and Local Centres and the</td>
<td>8.3.7</td>
<td>Para 8.3.7 This paragraph deals with police premises: needs revising in the light of decisions made/about to be made.</td>
<td>We have updated our reference to the East Dulwich Police Station in the final version of the SPD.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Representati on Ref</td>
<td>Object or Ref</td>
<td>Main Section</td>
<td>Para</td>
<td>Details of Representation</td>
<td>Officer Response to Representation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Evenimg Economy</td>
<td>69 641</td>
<td>8. Town and Local Centres and the Evening Economy</td>
<td>8.4.3</td>
<td>Para 8.4.3: If the first sentence (about evening and night activity) is intended to cover the Dulwich Village local centre, that would not be appropriate.</td>
<td>Proposals for evening and night time uses within a town or local centre, included in Dulwich Village will be considered as part of the planning application process taken into account the impact on residential amenity and community safety as set out in the SPD.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70 641</td>
<td>9. Developme nt sites</td>
<td>9.3.2</td>
<td>Para 9.3.2: Last sentence should be amended to read: &quot;Proposals for development on school sites should show how additional parking demands arising would be managed and how any loss of on-site parking would affect on-street parking pressures</td>
<td>We have amended paragraph 9.3.2 to state that “Proposals for development on school sites should be of an exceptional design standard, include public access and should not detract from the open nature and character of the land. New community facilities located on school sites should show how additional parking demands arising would be managed and how any loss of on-site parking would affect parking pressures on the nearby streets.”</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>71 641</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>There is a small number of grammar/syntax/spelling errors which need to be corrected.</td>
<td>We have endeavoured to correct all these errors in the final SPD.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>72 1022</td>
<td>1. Introduction and background</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Thank you for your invitation to comment on the Dulwich SPD. I would like to make the following representations. This SPD is welcomed as a very useful tool and local framework to inform policy and decision making in this part of the borough in the coming years, particularly the Built Environment guidance e.g. the design of new development maintaining and enhancing its context, policies against the development of back gardens and front garden parking. Individual comments and suggested changes</td>
<td>Support noted.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>73 1022</td>
<td>2. What is Dulwich like</td>
<td>2.2.1</td>
<td>2.2.1 - I think the two statements ‘the population is relatively elderly’ and ‘Accordingly, the area has a higher proportion of</td>
<td>We have amended paragraph 2.2.1 to state that “The age structure of the population of</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Representati on Ref</td>
<td>Object or Ref</td>
<td>Main Section</td>
<td>Para</td>
<td>Details of Representation</td>
<td>Officer Response to Representation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>74</td>
<td>1022</td>
<td>2.1.1.</td>
<td>2.2.2</td>
<td>2.2.2 – line 4 – Dulwich Community Council (should all be capitals) and insert the word area as the Community Council itself is not comprised of 6% Africans and 52% whites</td>
<td>It is the council’s corporate style to refer to Dulwich community council in lower case. We have amended paragraph 2.2.2 to refer to Dulwich community council area.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75</td>
<td>1022</td>
<td>2.2.3</td>
<td>2.2.3</td>
<td>“There are poor links to the underground system...” – this is not true – the underground system does not serve Dulwich at all so it has no links not poor ones, and anyway there are very good links to the underground system as the frequent suburban services from stations in Dulwich and Peckham offer very quick links to the underground system at London Bridge, Blackfriars and Victoria. Also there is no mention of the London Overground links from Denmark Hill and Peckham Rye – only a few minutes walk from the northern parts of this SPD area, which have dramatically improved Public Transport Accessibility levels (PTALs) to these northern fringes of the Dulwich area.</td>
<td>We have amended paragraph 2.2.3 to state that there are frequent National Rail services from stations in Dulwich and Peckham which offer links to the underground system at London Bridge, Blackfriars and Victoria. The area has also recently benefited from improved links to the London Overground from Denmark Hill and Peckham Rye stations.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>76</td>
<td>1022</td>
<td>2.2.5</td>
<td>2.2.5</td>
<td>2.2.5 – line 3 – College Ward needs a capital W.</td>
<td>We have amended paragraph 2.2.4 to refer to College Ward.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>77</td>
<td>1022</td>
<td>2.3.3</td>
<td>2.3.3</td>
<td>2.3.3 – line 1 - insert the word The, as in The Maudesley Hospital</td>
<td>We have amended paragraph 2.3.3 to refer to The Maudesley Hospital.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78</td>
<td>1022</td>
<td>2.3.3</td>
<td>2.3.3</td>
<td>2.3.3 – line 5 – Kings College Hospital needs an apostrophe as in King’s.</td>
<td>We have amended paragraph 2.3.3 to refer to King’s College Hospital.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>79</td>
<td>1022</td>
<td>2.4.4</td>
<td>2.4.4</td>
<td>2.4.4 – line 4 – there are a total of four railway lines that serve</td>
<td>We have amended paragraph 2.4.4 to refer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Representati on Ref</td>
<td>Object or Ref</td>
<td>Main Section</td>
<td>Para</td>
<td>Details of Representation</td>
<td>Officer Response to Representation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td>1022</td>
<td>2. What is Dulwich like today?</td>
<td>2.4.5</td>
<td>2.4.5 – line 3 – the branding Citymetro is the name of a taxi company and has never been used by Southern or South Eastern trains – they have metro services for inner suburban lines so maybe just drop the word City or use the relatively new company names Southern Railway and South Eastern trains. The Thameslink branding was dropped some time ago and services are provided by First Capital Connect.</td>
<td>We have amended paragraph 2.4.5 to refer to First Capital Connect, Southern Railway and South Eastern trains.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>81</td>
<td>1022</td>
<td>2. What is Dulwich like today?</td>
<td>2.4.6</td>
<td>2.4.6 – line 3 – the fishmongers in Herne Hill closed some years ago, add two bakers, butchers, bookshops and hardware store instead? The reference to the retail study undertaken 4 years ago is no longer relevant as the retail market is ever changing and this is too long ago to be meaningful.</td>
<td>We have amended all of our references to the town centres to update the information from the Retail Study 2009.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>82</td>
<td>1022</td>
<td>2. What is Dulwich like today?</td>
<td>2.4.8</td>
<td>2.4.8 – line 3 – Dulwich Village is also served by West Dulwich station which is within easy reach of the retail centre of the village so suggest changing to “N. Dulwich and W. Dulwich railway stations are within walking distance of the centre”</td>
<td>We have amended paragraph 2.4.8 to refer to North Dulwich and West Dulwich railway stations.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>83</td>
<td>1022</td>
<td>2. What is Dulwich like today?</td>
<td>2.4.11</td>
<td>2.4.11 – line 1 – Park Hall road – change to Park Hall Road</td>
<td>We have amended paragraph 2.4.11 to refer to Park Hall Road.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>84</td>
<td>1022</td>
<td></td>
<td>Page 24 – map – should include annotations for Herne Hill and North Dulwich railway stations.</td>
<td>We do not consider that the identifying the railway stations is required in figure 10. The map already has a significant level of detail and we do think that adding the stations to this map will make it harder to read.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85</td>
<td>1022</td>
<td></td>
<td>Page 27 – map – this should include the recently designated Herne Hill Conservation Area on the Southwark/Lambeth border between Herne Hill and Milkwood Road</td>
<td>We have amended the map to show the Herne Hill Conservation Area in the final version of the SPD.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>86</td>
<td>1022</td>
<td>4. Heritage and</td>
<td>4.3.1</td>
<td>4.3.1 – parag4 – Stradella Road CA does not comprise of ‘late Victorian/early Edwardian style housing’ – this suggests the</td>
<td>We have amended paragraph 4.3.1 to refer to late Victorian and Edwardian housing.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Representati on Ref</td>
<td>Object or Ref</td>
<td>Main Section</td>
<td>Para</td>
<td>Details of Representation</td>
<td>Officer Response to Representation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>87</td>
<td>1022</td>
<td>4. Heritage and Conservation</td>
<td>4.3.2</td>
<td>4.3.2. – 2nd third – it is not true that ‘All trees within conservation areas have a level of protection...’ as protection depends on their girth (young trees have no protection) and species (fruit trees for example have no protection under the legislation).</td>
<td>We have amended the final version of the SPD to make it clearer where tree's within conservation area's are protected.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>88</td>
<td>1022</td>
<td>4. Heritage and Conservation</td>
<td>4.4.1</td>
<td>4.4.1 – line 3 – East Dulwich railway station is not listed – this should read North Dulwich railway station</td>
<td>We have amended the reference to North Dulwich railway station in paragraph 4.4.1.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>89</td>
<td>1022</td>
<td>4. Heritage and Conservation</td>
<td>4.4.2</td>
<td>4.4.2 – Locally Listed Buildings should be included with examples in Dulwich given too.</td>
<td>The council has carried out a survey that supplements the Conservation Area Appraisals and identifies buildings of townscape merit or heritage interest. Buildings of townscape merit or heritage interest in Dulwich have been identified in Figure 8 of the SPD. We will be consulting on the final list through the Heritage SPD which is due to be consulted on in September 2014.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90</td>
<td>1022</td>
<td>5. Built Environment</td>
<td>5.2.3</td>
<td>5.2.3 – line 2 – the use of the word remnant should be reconsidered – this is often used as a negative word denoting insignificance or irrelevance – suggest changing this to “are remaining features etc..”</td>
<td>We have amended paragraph 5.3.3 to refer to the remaining features of the medieval and post-medieval village.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>91</td>
<td>1022</td>
<td>5. Built Environment</td>
<td>5.7.1</td>
<td>5.7.1 – basement development – add another impact: Harm to the</td>
<td>We have amended the list of concerns in</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Representa
don Ref | Object or Ref | Main Section | Para | Details of Representation | Officer Response to Representation |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Environment</td>
<td></td>
<td>character, cohesion and integrity of historic streetscapes, particularly those of a uniform or harmonious architectural character.</td>
<td>paragraph 5.7.1 to include; • Harm to the character of historic streetscapes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>92</td>
<td>1022</td>
<td>8. Town and Local Centres and the Evening Economy</td>
<td>8.3.3</td>
<td>Could forecourt/front garden parking be mentioned in the Built Environment section too (it is referred to in the following Natural Environment section which is welcome but its impact can be equally harmful on the built heritage as the natural world.</td>
<td>We have included an additional section (5.8) in the built environment chapter to cross reference the guidance on front garden development under natural environment.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>93</td>
<td>1022</td>
<td>8. Town and Local Centres and the Evening Economy</td>
<td>8.3.3</td>
<td>Strong objection to the encouragement of higher scale development in Herne Hill village centre – the term higher scale is very vague can be very easily interpreted as anything from 3 to 30 storeys – is it to be higher than the predominant 3-4 stories within the two conservation areas protecting the village centre? If so this would be severely detrimental to their characters and appearance and by their very nature would be incompatible with the CA designation and therefore wholly insensitive to heritage and design issues so why open the door to this form of development in the first place? – this will be used by applicants during both the application and appeal stage to compromise and undermine both planning authority’s positions.</td>
<td>We have removed the reference to higher scale development in relation to Herne Hill district town centre. This will be assessed on a case by case basis through the planning application process.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>94</td>
<td>1022</td>
<td>8. Town and Local Centres and the Evening Economy</td>
<td>8.4.3</td>
<td>evening economy uses. This section is too weak and needs strengthening – can it not read “there is a presumption against evening economy uses in predominantly residential areas or in close vicinity to residential properties” Herne Hill, on both sides of the Southwark and Lambeth divide has had a highly contentious history of highly inappropriate night-time venues and residents have long been critical of the two council’s abilities to address this issue, as police reports of violence and disturbances will support.</td>
<td>We have amended paragraph 8.4.3 to strengthen the reference to the impact on the evening economy on community safety.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95</td>
<td>1022</td>
<td>9.1.1</td>
<td></td>
<td>9.1.1 – Dulwich Hospital. This section does not address the heritage significance of the remaining hospital buildings (including the fine arts and crafts nurses home), merely describing them as</td>
<td>We have included a new paragraph 9.1.4 which states that the Dulwich hospital building has been identified as a building of</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Representati on Ref</td>
<td>Object or Ref</td>
<td>Main Section</td>
<td>Para</td>
<td>Details of Representation</td>
<td>Officer Response to Representation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>being ‘of varying age, design and quality’ is not good enough. The demolition of the eastern wing several years ago has resulted in a major blight on the area leaving a huge derelict site enclosed by hoardings in the middle of an historic and characterful neighbourhood, which the planning authority has failed to resolve. The surviving buildings are clearly of significant historic and architectural interest worthy of reassessment for statutory listing and certainly should be designated as locally listed heritage assets accompanied by conservation area status. Lambeth acted quickly to designate a small conservation area to protect the former Lambeth Hospital near the Elephant and Castle and extended an existing CA to protect the former South London Hospital for Women in Clapham. Southwark should do the same. This would enable the LPA to ensure the sensitive restoration and adaptation of the historic buildings on this site which are greatly cherished by the community. Currently these historic buildings have absolutely no heritage protection and could be demolished tomorrow.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>townscape merit or heritage value. Any proposal for the site will need to acknowledge the significant contribution the building makes to the local area. We would encourage any development to consider the re-use of the existing building and justify any loss.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>96 1022</td>
<td>9.4.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>9.4.4 – it is not only Herne Hill village centre that is split between Southwark and Lambeth, but also the neighbourhood retail centre of West Dulwich so this should be referred to too</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>We have amended paragraph 9.4.4 to refer to the fact that both Herne Hill and West Dulwich straddle the borough boundary with Lambeth.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>97 1022</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>I hope these comments are useful, please do not hesitate to contact me if any point needs further clarification.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Noted.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>98 1021</td>
<td>9, Developme nt sites</td>
<td>9.1.2</td>
<td></td>
<td>welcome the provision made within the draft Dulwich SPD for the Dulwich Hospital Site and agree with the direction provided at paragraph 9.1.2 that “the use of part of this site for a school would help to meet the requirements of the [Dulwich Hospital] planning brief”. The site is large enough to provide for the existing public health care facility within the western half. The eastern half should be reserved for the provision of a school. The site should be retained for the above D1 uses alone unless the provision of other</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Noted. This site was designated as proposals site 73P in the Southwark Plan for a community hospital with Ancillary D (community) and B1 (office) use class and C3 (residential) Use class. We cannot change this designation through the SPD.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Represent 4on Ref</td>
<td>Object or Ref</td>
<td>Main Section</td>
<td>Para</td>
<td>Details of Representation</td>
<td>Officer Response to Representation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>uses (e.g. residential or office) were necessary to financially facilitate the provision of those D1 uses.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>99</td>
<td>1020</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Sustrans welcomes the opportunity to comment on the London Borough of Southwark’s Spatial Planning Document for Dulwich.</td>
<td>Noted.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>1020</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Sustrans welcomes the guidance set out in section 7.3. Transport assessments submitted alongside planning applications are welcomed, but should be closely examined to ensure that sufficient consideration has been given to the transport impacts of any new development. As mentioned in this section, a lack of secure cycle parking is often cited as a deterrent to cycling, so secure cycle parking should always be included in any new development to enable visitors and residents to have the widest number of options available to travel to the site. Sustrans welcomes the recommendation that new developments will need to provide connections to existing cycle routes where possible. Cul-de-sac or gated developments should be avoided as these negatively affect the permeability of an area, particularly for pedestrians and cyclists. Where road closures are proposed, such measures as filtered permeability are welcomed to ensure that those travelling on foot or by bicycle are able to access the road still.</td>
<td>Support noted. Our requirements for the provision of cycle parking as part of new development are set out in our Sustainable Transport SPD.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>101</td>
<td>1020</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Within the visions for each area of the Dulwich SPD, enabling and encouraging residents to make more trips by foot or bicycle should be prioritised as a means to reach key destinations where car use is relatively high and public transport provision is lower than in other areas covered by the SPD. Enabling more people to make more journeys via sustainable means will not only address issues associated with car parking and congestion in the borough but will also improve air quality and protect the amenity of the residential areas, which is given as a key objective for Dulwich within the SPD.</td>
<td>The visions in the SPD are taken from our adopted Core Strategy. We will look at reviewing these visions through the New Southwark Plan which we are due to begin work on later this year.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>102</td>
<td>1020</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>With regard to the Section .106 and the new Community</td>
<td>This is not a matter for the Dulwich SPD. We</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Representa&lt;sup&gt;on&lt;/sup&gt; on Ref</td>
<td>Object or Ref</td>
<td>Main Section</td>
<td>Para</td>
<td>Details of Representation</td>
<td>Officer Response to Representation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>103</td>
<td>1019</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Infrastructure Levy (CIL) mentioned in the final section of the SPD, we call for the funds raised to be spent on improving the urban realm and improving provision for pedestrians and cyclists throughout Southwark. As part of this strategy, we urge that accessibility to high quality, safe routes is improved and new cycle parking is installed. All efforts should be made to implement schemes that reduce reliance on car use while promoting walking and cycling. This will address local traffic issues such as congestion. Investing in these schemes will contribute to Southwark’s sustainable development goals by facilitating many more local cycling journeys which collectively have a positive impact on the environment and public health. Enhancement projects will help to promote walking and cycling for short trips in and around the Borough.</td>
<td>have set out our approach to negotiating funds through CIL in our draft CIL Charging Schedule. We will also prepare a revised Section 106 SPD that we will use alongside CIL. Further information is available on our website; <a href="http://www.southwark.gov.uk/info/856/planning_policy/2696/community_infrastructure_levy">http://www.southwark.gov.uk/info/856/planning_policy/2696/community_infrastructure_levy</a></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

We welcome that the document recognises the unique character of Dulwich and the need for conserving this very special area of the Borough. It is also clear that the lack of capacity for large scale growth referred to in the document brings with it problems that can only be addressed by innovative solutions in building design and building adaptation in order to provide suitable fit-for-purpose housing for the residents of the area. We feel strongly that basement extensions provide innovative solutions to provide home owners the opportunity to adapt their homes to meet their requirements. The SPD does not clearly outline the objectives for placing extra restrictions on basement developments and how this relates to the Dulwich community council area covered by document. No evidence has been presented to substantiate any of the concerns made in paragraph 5.7.1. To date, Southwark Council have not commissioned any independent expert reports to specifically identify and assess the likely important factors and issues considered as being potentially relevant to basement development policies in the Dulwich community council area. Reports commissioned by councils that address basement

The council’s preliminary flood risk assessment has identified a number of critical drainage areas in Southwark. Two of these are located within the boundary of the Dulwich SPD. In these areas it is important to protect against any development that might result in an increase in flood risk, this includes basement development. The guidance set out in the Dulwich SPD seeks to ensure that basement developments do not have a detrimental impact on flood risk and residential amenity. In order to achieve this, we have set out when a basement impact assessment may be required to demonstrate whether the development is appropriate for the area.

The Dulwich SPD provides additional guidance for development within the area.
### Details of Representation

Development in high density built environments are not relevant to Dulwich. The area covered by the SPD is not high density - with around a third of the area being open space – and as such, basement planning policy and guidelines adopted by other local authorities (eg Camden, Kensington & Chelsea) cannot be assumed to be pertinent to Dulwich. Unless Southwark Council can demonstrate otherwise, imposing extra requirements that are solely intended to make planning applications by Dulwich home owners more difficult and costly cannot be justified when existing building regulations and party wall legislation provide adequate protection to uphold standards and to deal with concerns from adjoining owners. It would be inappropriate to retain any of section 5.7 in the SPD until the Council have obtained expert advice to inform Dulwich specific basement planning policy and consulted more extensively on this specific issue.

### Officer Response to Representation

However it can not set new policy. We will review our approach to basement developments at the borough wide level through the preparation of the New Southwark Plan.

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Representati on Ref</th>
<th>Object or Ref</th>
<th>Main Section</th>
<th>Para</th>
<th>Details of Representation</th>
<th>Support noted</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>104 961</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Thank you for consulting English Heritage on the London Borough of Southwark's Draft Dulwich SPD. As the Government’s statutory adviser on the historic environment, English Heritage is keen to ensure that heritage conservation and enhancement is fully considered in all aspects of the local planning process. Accordingly, we have reviewed this consultation in light of the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) which requires, as one of its core principles, that heritage assets be conserved in a manner appropriate to their significance, so that they can be enjoyed for their contribution to the quality of life of this and future generations. We support the aims of the SPD to provide a framework to guide future development and decision making and ensure that it will sustain the historic character within the Dulwich Community Council Area. The quality of the historic environment contributes greatly to the character of the Plan Area and we welcome the proposed guidance on heritage and design. We consider that the SPD will serve as a useful tool, however, we do consider the draft SPD would benefit from a number of revisions</td>
<td>Support noted</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Representation Ref</td>
<td>Object or Ref</td>
<td>Main Section</td>
<td>Para</td>
<td>Details of Representation</td>
<td>Officer Response to Representation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>105</td>
<td>961</td>
<td>2. What is Dulwich like today?</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>We note that Section 2 sets out the vision in the Core Strategy and as such may not offer opportunity for revision. However, we would offer the following comments and observations. 2.7 Design and Heritage. There is no mention of design in this section. We would therefore recommend that the Council include a statement stating it will expect all new design to be of high quality and respond to local character and history, establishing a strong sense of place. Include reference to any related published guidance.</td>
<td>Section 2 of the SPD sets out what Dulwich is like today. We have set out further guidance in relation to design in chapter’s four and five of the SPD.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>106</td>
<td>961</td>
<td>2. What is Dulwich like today?</td>
<td>2.7.2</td>
<td>2.7.2 Add a sentence as follows: Where development or extension is proposed this must sustain the significance of heritage assets and their setting. The Council will give priority to proposals which demonstrably enhance the setting and significance of heritage assets.</td>
<td>Section 2 of the SPD sets out what Dulwich is like today. We have set out further guidance in relation to design in chapter’s four and five of the SPD.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>107</td>
<td>961</td>
<td>2. What is Dulwich like today?</td>
<td>2.7.3</td>
<td>2.7.3 Given the quality and wide variety of designated heritage assets within Dulwich, we would recommend the following revision to the opening section of this paragraph: Dulwich also contains many historic places and listed buildings, which reflect its gradual development from settlement along the medieval road, through the growth of the historic college, and the expansion of desirable and green suburbs during the 18th, 19th and early 20th centuries. The broad range of designated heritage assets encompasses fine examples of Georgian and Victorian Institutional buildings such as……..rooms for community events.</td>
<td>We have amended paragraph 2.7.3 to take account of these comments.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>108</td>
<td>961</td>
<td>4. Heritage and Conservation</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>4.3 Additional guidance Conservation areas. The summaries have been drawn directly from the conservation area appraisals and in a number of instances are fragmentary and would benefit from modification to provide a stronger summary of character and significance.</td>
<td>These summaries are not intended to repeat the guidance set out in the conservation area appraisals. We have cross referenced where relevant to the conservation area appraisals in the SPD.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New rep</td>
<td>961</td>
<td>4. Heritage</td>
<td>Dulwich Village</td>
<td></td>
<td>We have amended paragraph 4.3.1 to take</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The description of the conservation area refers only to the character of the Village core and is drawn directly from the appraisal. We would suggest revising this to acknowledge the wider relationship to the College, Picture Gallery etc. as follows; The conservation area contains numerous designated and undesignated heritage assets of architectural, historic and townscape value. The Village is primarily a residential area, with shops, restaurants and a village pub at its heart. However, the wider context incorporates the Grander College Buildings, The Picture Gallery, Georgian and Victorian villas, designed landscapes, burial grounds, tree lined roads, wide grass verges and informal green spaces, creating a rich historic character and sense of openness.

We have amended paragraph 4.3.1 to take account of these comments.
| Representa
on Ref | Object or Ref | Main Section | Para | Details of Representation | Officer Response to Representation |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>110 961</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>English Heritage found the statement: The distinctive modern houses in the area reinforce this character with their low sweeping eaves and generous garden spaces, a little unclear. For example, are low sweeping eaves also a feature of the older properties or is this a modern design feature which helps the properties blend into the landscape? The Sunray Conservation Area. We recommend the addition of the following additional statement of character; The area comprises of one of the best and complete examples of the interwar “homes for heroes” campaign which applied garden suburb principles, setting cottage housing in a richly planted landscaped setting</td>
<td>We have amended paragraph 4.3.1 to take account of these comments.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>111 961</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>The Gardens Conservation Area English Heritage recommends the following insertion; The core of the area is …a characterful square of 3 storey Victorian houses laid out in the 1870’s arranged around a central green space. The conservation area includes the late Victorian 2 storey terraces on Oakhurst Grove and Kelmore Grove, and the grander 1820’s/1830’s properties which front Peckham Rye Common.</td>
<td>We have amended paragraph 4.3.1 to take account of these comments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>112 961</td>
<td>4. Heritage and Conservation</td>
<td>4.3.2</td>
<td></td>
<td>4.3.2 Please add; There is a general….appearance of the conservation area and its setting.</td>
<td>We have amended paragraph 4.3.2 to refer to the appearance of the conservation area and its setting.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New rep 961</td>
<td>4. Heritage and Conservation</td>
<td>4.3.2</td>
<td></td>
<td>We recommend the sentence Permission has to be obtained….normally required elsewhere, be expanded and explained as this may refer to permission from Dulwich College, the removal of PD rights and planning permission, listed building consent, or conservation area consent.</td>
<td>We have amended the second bullet point in paragraph 4.3.2 to make it clear that we are referring specifically to planning permission. We have set out a fact box on page 36 setting out where listed building consent or conservation area consent may be required.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Representati on Ref</td>
<td>Object or Ref</td>
<td>Main Section</td>
<td>Para</td>
<td>Details of Representation</td>
<td>Officer Response to Representation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>113</td>
<td>961</td>
<td>6. Natural Environment</td>
<td>6. Natural Environment</td>
<td>All trees….under a tree preservation order. English heritage considers this needs clarification as the Dulwich Estate requires notification of works and the council will require notification on trees above a certain size, those covered by TPO’s etc.</td>
<td>We have amended the final version of the SPD to make it clearer where tree’s within conservation area’s are protected.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>114</td>
<td>961</td>
<td>6. Natural Environment</td>
<td>6. Natural Environment</td>
<td>The guidance…should be followed. As the guidance for Dulwich Wood Conservation Area is in development, we would recommend clarifying this. We would also suggest modifying this statement as follows: Proposed works within the conservation areas should be considered against, and undertaken in accordance with, the management guidance set out in the conservation area appraisals.</td>
<td>We have amended paragraph 4.3.1 to take account of these comments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>115</td>
<td>961</td>
<td>4. Heritage and Conservation</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>4.4 Listed buildings English Heritage recommends adding; Registered Parks and Gardens and Scheduled Ancient Monuments (or consider moving the reference to Scheduled Ancient Monuments included in this section to the section on Archaeology)</td>
<td>Paragraph 4.4.1 refers to both Scheduled Ancient Monuments and Registered Parks and Gardens.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>116</td>
<td>961</td>
<td>4. Heritage and Conservation</td>
<td>4.4.2</td>
<td>4.4.2 We consider this would benefit from reference to undesignated heritage assets in accordance with the NPPF, and reference to those assets already identified in the current conservation area appraisals. It would be helpful to clarify whether the proposed review forms part of a borough wide revision of the local list or is only specific to the Dulwich SPD area. The borough may wish to consider including a paragraph in respect of regularly reviewing the list of heritage assets so that it remains an accurate assessment of the undesignated heritage assets within the historic character of the SPD area. We would also encourage you to consider identifying those designated heritage assets considered “at risk” and seek opportunities to resolve those issues through a positive heritage strategy (through monitoring, encouraging positive schemes for repair and reuse, including the potential for CIL funding). We further recommend the addition of the following sentence: Where development or extension is</td>
<td>We have amended paragraph 4.4.2 to state that in line with the requirements set out in the National Planning Policy Framework, we are carrying out a survey of buildings that are of townscape merit or of heritage value that we will be consulting on in due course. This is to ensure the effect of development proposal on the significance of a non-designated heritage asset is taken into account during the planning application process. We will have regard to the scale of any harm or loss to the significance of a heritage asset during the application process. Further information will be set out in our forthcoming Heritage SPD.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Representatio n Ref</td>
<td>Object or Ref</td>
<td>Main Section</td>
<td>Para</td>
<td>Details of Representation</td>
<td>Officer Response to Representation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>proposed this must sustain the significance of heritage assets and their setting. The Council will give priority to proposals which demonstrably enhance the setting and significance of heritage assets</td>
<td>We have amended paragraph 4.5.1 to refer the Scheduled Ancient Monuments.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>117</td>
<td>961</td>
<td></td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>4.5 Archaeology Please see the above comment at 4.4. Consider migrating the reference to Scheduled Ancient Monuments from the above section on Listed Buildings.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>118</td>
<td>961</td>
<td>5. Built Environment</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>5. Built environment 5.3.5 We consider the amendment of the sentence: Allowing successive alterations …car parking problems, to allowing over-scaled and/or incremental extensions to properties …car parking problems, would be beneficial.</td>
<td>We have amended paragraph 5.3.5 to refer to over-scaled and/or incremental extensions.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>119</td>
<td>961</td>
<td>5. Built Environment</td>
<td>5.4.3</td>
<td>5.4 Back-land development 5.4.3 We would recommend adding a criterion in respect of Back-land development being acceptable subject to archaeological evaluation as set out in 4.5.1.</td>
<td>We have amended paragraph 5.4.3 to include a bullet point setting out how archaeological assessment may be required before back land development can be considered acceptable.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New rep</td>
<td>961</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>In addition it may be appropriate to add a criterion in respect of proposals must be demonstrated to sustain and enhance the character and setting of designated or undesignated heritage assets.</td>
<td>We have amended paragraph 5.4.3 to take account of these comments.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>120</td>
<td>961</td>
<td>5. Built Environment</td>
<td>5.5.1-2</td>
<td>5.4 In-fill development 5.5.1 As this refers to the redevelopment of sites between existing property frontages we assume that this applies specifically to the redevelopment of previously developed gap-sites and would recommend that this is made clear. If this is not the case then we would suggest revising redevelopment to say development.</td>
<td>We have amended paragraph 5.5.1 to refer to “development” as opposed to redevelopment.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New rep</td>
<td>961</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>5.5.2 English Heritage recommends the Council consider adding a criterion in respect of the proposal; is demonstrated to sustain and enhance the character and setting of designated or undesignated heritage assets</td>
<td>We have amended paragraph 5.5.2 to take account of these comments.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Representa
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or Ref | Main Section | Para | Details of Representation | Officer Response to Representation |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121</td>
<td>961</td>
<td>5. Built Environme nt</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>5.7 Basement development We would recommend inclusion of a paragraph stating that basements to listed buildings will require listed building consent in addition to an application for planning permission and will need to demonstrate that they do not harm the significance of the designated heritage asset, neighbouring heritage assets, or their setting.</td>
<td>We have inserted a new paragraph 5.7.5 to take account of these comments.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>122</td>
<td>961</td>
<td>8. Town and Local Centres and the Evening Economy</td>
<td>8.3.3</td>
<td>8.3 Shopping areas 8.3.3 We consider the statement higher scale development in Herne Hill Village Centre requires greater clarification. Development should reflect the character and appearance of the conservation area and as such we would recommend identification of and consultation on appropriate locations and the clear setting of parameters. Inappropriately scaled development would be harmful to character and appearance. We recommend the Council consider requesting that higher scale development should be subject to a Visual Impact Assessment. The English Heritage/CABE guidance on the sighting of tall buildings offers helpful guidance on this.</td>
<td>We have removed the reference to higher scale development in relation to Herne Hill district town centre. This will be assessed on a case by case basis through the planning application process.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>123</td>
<td>961</td>
<td>8. Town and Local Centres and the Evening Economy</td>
<td>8.3.6</td>
<td>8.3.6 You may wish to consider including the following guidance: New development will be expected to be of the highest quality and must reflect the character and appearance of conservation areas and local character. New development must sustain the significance of heritage assets and their setting. The Council will give priority to proposals which demonstrably enhance the setting and significance of heritage assets.</td>
<td>We have amended paragraph 8.3.7 to include this guidance to state that new development must be of the highest quality and must reflect the character and appearance of the conservation areas and local character. We do not consider that it is necessary to include the rest of the additional text as this is covered elsewhere in the SPD.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>124</td>
<td>961</td>
<td>9. Development sites</td>
<td>9.1.1</td>
<td>9.1.1 Dulwich Hospital. The site contains a number of buildings of townscape merit and local historic significance. We consider that the incorporation of the surviving buildings of historic and/or architectural interest would help any new development draw on the contribution made by the historic environment and respond to</td>
<td>We have included a new paragraph 9.1.4 which states that the Dulwich hospital building has been identified as a building of townscape merit or heritage value. Any proposal for the site will need to</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Representati on Ref</td>
<td>Object or Ref</td>
<td>Main Section</td>
<td>Para</td>
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<td>Officer Response to Representation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>125</td>
<td>961</td>
<td>10. S106 planning obligations and CIL</td>
<td>local character and distinctiveness. As such, we would recommend that the site be subject to review and consideration given to identifying those surviving buildings and structures which make a positive contribution to local character and where appropriate identifying these as heritage assets. 10. Community Infrastructure Levy You may wish to consider adding the historic environment to the list of different types of infrastructure. Where the Council is seeking to promote growth through conservation led regeneration it would be sensible to for CIL rates to reflect this aim.</td>
<td>acknowledge the significant contribution the building makes to the local area. We would encourage any development to consider the re-use of the existing building and justify any loss. This is not a matter for the Dulwich SPD. We have set out our approach to negotiating funds through CIL in our draft CIL Charging Schedule. We will also prepare a revised Section 106 SPD that we will use alongside CIL. Further information is available on our website; <a href="http://www.southwark.gov.uk/info/856/planning_policy/2696/community_infrastructure_levy">http://www.southwark.gov.uk/info/856/planning_policy/2696/community_infrastructure_levy</a></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>126</td>
<td>149</td>
<td>2. What is Dulwich like today?</td>
<td>Can I ask some clarifying Qs on the draft Dulwich SPD? It is about the boundary near where I live. * On page 29 it has the boundary map for the East Dulwich part. It shows on here the northern boundary including Goose Green and also the Camberwell CC side of Grove Vale. But on all the other maps I can see in the draft the boundary line is shown running along the middle of the main roads East Dulwich Road and Grove Vale. Can you explain why they are different? * As all the maps, except page 29, show the boundary excluding the Camberwell side of Grove Vale, is the statement about protected shopping frontages on page 20 applying to the Dulwich side of Grove Vale only? However is the primary protection of shopping frontages in some other umbrella doc? The map on page 29 is a vision map taken from our core strategy. The guidance in the SPD is intended to apply to the area set out in the boundary map in figure 1. However, where there are similar characteristics on both sides of the boundary, the same approach will be set out in the adjoining planning documents, for example in the Camberwell SPD. Our overarching policies in relation to design and conservation are set out in core strategy strategic policy 12 and the saved Southwark Plan policies 3.12 to 3.18.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>127</td>
<td>149</td>
<td>2. What is Dulwich like today?</td>
<td>This may be a typo - para: 2.3.6 It says Harris Boys Academy is in Peckham Rye and Nunhead ward. These are two wards, and it should say Peckham Rye ward? We have amended paragraph 2.3.6 to take account of these comments.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Representati Ref</td>
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<tr>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>128 149</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>This is a comment on the draft SPD for Dulwich and on the draft SPD for Camberwell. The comment concerns the shared boundaries of south Camberwell, East Dulwich and The Lane wards. The comment is linked also with my objection to the boundary proposed for the PNAAP along the shared boundary of The Lane and South Camberwell wards. This locality is my local neighbourhood. It suffers from being divided three ways by these administrative boundaries which are derived simply from electoral ward boundaries created for administrative reasons. These boundaries are not coherent in planning terms, as the neighbourhoods are not at all divided in reality. I appreciate that there has to be a boundary for the planning document. But if the neighbourhoods are going to be cut arbitrarily by those boundaries, there needs to be, in each of the planning documents for these three Community Councils where they come together in this locality, a section acknowledging in planning terms the unity on the ground of: * the Grove Vale shopping area, * the Goose Green neighbourhood, both of which are integrally linked to and overlapping * the Bellenden neighbourhood. Please take these comments into account for both the Dulwich and Camberwell SPDs.</td>
<td>The guidance in the SPD is intended to apply to the area set out in the boundary map in figure 1. However, where there are similar characteristics on both sides of the boundary, the same approach will be set out in the adjoining planning documents, for example in the Camberwell SPD. Our overarching policies in relation to design and conservation are set out in core strategy strategic policy 12 and the saved Southwark Plan policies 3.12 to 3.18.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>129 127</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Thames Water Utilities Ltd (Thames Water) Property Services function is now being delivered by Savills (UK) Limited as Thames Water's appointed supplier. Savills are therefore pleased to respond to the above consultation on behalf of Thames Water. Thames Water are the statutory sewerage and water undertakers for Borough and are hence a “specific consultation body” in accordance with the Town &amp; Country Planning (Local Planning) Regulations 2012.</td>
<td>Noted.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>130 127</td>
<td></td>
<td>5. Built Environment</td>
<td></td>
<td>There is currently a project underway in the Dulwich area investigating causes of flooding to property. Indications are that the cause of the flooding is run off from the green spaces onto the</td>
<td>Section 5.2.4 repeats the policy set out in the saved Southwark Plan and therefore we do not consider it appropriate to amend this</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Representation Details
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<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
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<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>public highway and then customer properties. In order to address the risk of flooding developments will need to follow the sequential approach to ensure that surface water runoff is managed as close to source as possible in accordance with Policy 5.13 of the London Plan and Strategic Policy 13 of the Southwark Core Strategy. While developments will need to comply with the policies to accord with approved planning policy Thames Water consider that it would be worthwhile highlighting the requirement within the SPD by adding reference to drainage requirements after section 5.2.4.</td>
<td>reference. Further information with regard to drainage of development is set out in section 5.8 and 6.8 of the SPD.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>131</td>
<td>127</td>
<td>5. Built Environment</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>Basement Development Section 5.7 of the draft SPD relates to basement development. Given their subterranean position, basements can be susceptible to flooding from sewers. In order to minimise the risk of sewer flooding to basement development Thames Water recommend that all basement development connected to the sewerage network should be installed with a positively pumped non-return valve device as recommended in Part H of the Building Regulations.</td>
<td>We have included a new paragraph 5.7.12 to reflect these comments.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>132</td>
<td>127</td>
<td>5. Built Environment</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>In order to address Thames Water's concerns regarding the issue of flooding of basements the list on concerns in Section 5.7.1 should be expanded to include potential flooding of basement premises.</td>
<td>We have amended paragraph 5.7.2 to take account of these comments.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New rep</td>
<td>127</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Section 5.7.9 could be expanded to include the requirement for the installation of a positively pumped non-return valve device in addition to those included within the residential design standards SPD in order to protect the amenity of future occupiers.</td>
<td>This is too detailed for the Dulwich SPD. We will assess the detailed specifications of design systems through the planning application process on a site by site basis.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>133</td>
<td>127</td>
<td>10. S106 planning obligations and CIL</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>Community Infrastructure Levy With regard to the Community Infrastructure Levy it is Thames Waters understanding that CIL can not be used for the funding of</td>
<td>Noted. Further information on our identified infrastructure projects is set out in our infrastructure plan which is available on our website at;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Representati
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|----------|--------------|--------------|------|--------------------------|-----------------------------------|
|          |              |              |      | infrastructure covered by the Water Industry Act. However, the council may wish to consider using CIL contributions for enhancements to these solutions especially for surface water flooding schemes. | [http://www.southwark.gov.uk/info/856/plannin
g_policy/2696/community_infrastructure_levy](http://www.southwark.gov.uk/info/856/planning_policy/2696/community_infrastructure_levy) |
| 134      | 881          |              |      | On behalf of the Herne Hill Society I would like to make the following comments on the draft Dulwich SPD. 1: We are concerned about the reference to 'higher scale development' in Herne Hill. Apart from the fact that this term is too vague, it begs the question of higher than what? We would ask for a statement along the lines that any new development should be appropriate in scale to the surroundings and the existing buildings. We have removed the reference to higher scale development in relation to Herne Hill district town centre. This will be assessed on a case by case basis through the planning application process. | |
| 135      | 881          |              |      | 2: We support the references to policies about basement conversions and front garden parking but would ask that these be phrased more strongly. Support noted. The SPD can only set out additional planning guidance but it cannot set new policies. We can look at reviewing our policies in relation to basement developments and front garden development through the New Southwark Plan which we are due to start work on later this year. | |
| 136      | 881          |              |      | 3. We would like clarification about proposals for the night time and evening economy. These phrases appear to be used synonymously but there is a big difference in terms of local impact between a restaurant that may close at 11 and a bar/club that opens until say 3am. Illegal night clubs have caused considerable problems in the past particularly in Norwood Road and we feel that in such a centre that these uses are not appropriate. We would ask therefore that a policy banning such uses is introduced. We appreciate that licensing has a role to play here but a strong planning policy would help greatly. As set out in the Dulwich SPD, evening and night time uses have different planning use classes. We have included some additional text in the SPD setting out how we might look at controlling the amount of different uses that locate in our town centres through the New Southwark Plan. | |
| 137      | 881          |              |      | 4. We cannot find any reference to the site of the James Black building in Half Moon lane which we understand is now unused and is a potential development site. The Society wrote to the Dulwich SPD cannot identify new sites for development. Site allocations can be made through the New Southwark Plan | |
|          |              |              |      |                          |                                    |
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<th></th>
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</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>138</td>
<td>961</td>
<td>4. Heritage and Conservation</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>Planning Department about this site several months ago, drawing particular attention to the fine trees on site but has yet to receive a response. In our view, the site should be the subject of planning guidelines so that any potential purchaser or developer is made aware of the constraints on the site. These guidelines should be subject to local consultation.</td>
<td>process which we are due to begin work on later this year.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>139</td>
<td>961</td>
<td>4. Heritage and Conservation</td>
<td></td>
<td>4.4 We would recommend this should be revised to: English Heritage maintains the National Heritage List for England which identifies all nationally designated heritage assets including Listed Buildings, Scheduled Ancient Monuments, Registered Parks and Gardens, Battlefields and Wreck Sites. Dulwich currently includes 90 listed building entries, two Grade II Registered Parks and Gardens at Dulwich Park and Belair Park, and (including the appropriate number) of Scheduled Ancient Monuments. We would suggest Southwark’s own Borough Archaeological Adviser, Chris Constable, should be consulted on the guidance.</td>
<td>We have amended paragraph 4.4.1 to reflect these comments. We have not included a reference to Battlefields and Wreck sites as we do not have any of these in Dulwich. We consult our archaeology officer on all emerging planning documents and his comments have been incorporated in to the SPD.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Further to my comments on the London Borough of Southwark’s Draft Dulwich SPD, dated 28 March. I can now offer additional comments in respect of the Draft Sustainability Appraisal which accompanied the SPD consultation As the Government’s statutory adviser on the historic environment, English Heritage is keen to ensure that heritage conservation and enhancement is fully considered in all aspects of the local planning process. Accordingly, we have reviewed the SA in light of the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) which requires, as one of its core principles, that heritage assets be conserved in a manner appropriate to their significance, so that they can be enjoyed for their contribution to the quality of life of this and future generations. Many of our comments on the SA and SPD were identified at the scoping stage and are referred to in Appendix 3. In our view the Draft Sustainability Appraisal is comprehensive and therefore we do not wish to comment in detail. However we</td>
<td>Noted.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4. Heritage and Conservation</td>
<td>4.4.9 Parks and open spaces</td>
<td>We consider the identification of the two Grade II Registered Parks and Gardens in this section beneficial, however in our view this identification would benefit from being carried through to their inclusion in the Design and Heritage section. We have therefore suggested this is rectified in 4.4 of the SPD Document.</td>
<td>We have amended section 4.4 to refer to registered parks and gardens in the final version of the SPD.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>141</td>
<td>961</td>
<td>4. Heritage and Conservation</td>
<td>4.4.10. Design and Heritage</td>
<td>We are pleased to note the additional clarifications resulting from our comments on the SA scoping report (Appendix 3). However, as the baseline information for locally listed buildings/buildings of townscapeme merit is not yet available, it would be potentially helpful to clarify when and how this is to be addressed. We also note that the Dulwich Wood CA Appraisal is not yet complete and would also suggest that the measures to address this are also clarified (these issues are carried forward into the SPD). In our view the last two sentences of this paragraph (4.4.10) in respect of listed buildings are a little vague and would benefit from clarification, for example “a borough-wide schedule of listed buildings”, is this a reference to the National Heritage List for England, or a Council list? If so, it would be helpful if this is clarified. The references to Belair House and Kingswood House, in our view, appear rather arbitrary. We have suggested that SPD section 4.4 is strengthened through a slightly revised explanation of the examples illustrative purpose.</td>
<td>We have included some additional text in both the SA and the final version of the SPD to clarify our position on the identification of non-designated heritage assets and to make it clear the a conservation area appraisal for Dulwich Wood is currently being prepared. We have also included some additional information on archaeology and design.</td>
</tr>
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</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>We would also suggest a reference to Archaeology is included.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>We note that there is no reference to design in this section. As this requires greater qualitative analysis you might wish to consider referencing the existing CA Appraisals and Management Guidelines do give useful guidance on appropriate design, and publications by CABE and EH set out how good design might be encouraged and measured (via submissions to Council Design Review meetings, liaison with CAAC's, CAAC reviews, Neighbourhoods etc).</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>142 961</td>
<td>5. Built Environment</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>5.1 Sustainability Issues</td>
<td>Noted.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>English Heritage publishes extensive guidance on tackling climate change in the historic environment and the issue of retro-fitting. This guidance promotes an informed holistic approach and, as such could be referred to in promoting a balanced approach to a sustainable future (see comments below in respect of Appendix 2 Guidance).</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>143 961</td>
<td>6. Natural Environment</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>The SA Framework</td>
<td>This has been amended in the final SA report.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Conservation of the Historic Environment. In our view it would be preferable to substitute heritage assets and their setting for historic buildings and remains and their setting. This would reflect the NPPF and encompass SAM's, Registered Parks and Gardens and undesignated heritage assets such as buildings of townscape merit outside a CA. As the Council proposes to undertake a study of buildings of townscape merit it may be beneficial for this to be included as a sustainability indicator. We would also suggest that 13.3 should also be amended to include Registered Parks and Gardens and SAM's</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>144 961</td>
<td></td>
<td>Appendix 2 Relevant Guidance and other reference documents</td>
<td></td>
<td>These documents have been included in</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
In our view, our published guidance on the Setting of Heritage Assets (2011) which provides assistance to those involved with managing development that may affect the setting of heritage assets could be usefully included within this section. We would also suggest you may wish to include Energy Conservation and Historic Buildings of Part L of the building Regulations to historic and traditionally constructed buildings (English Heritage 2011) and Climate Change and the Historic Environment (English Heritage 2008). We also maintain a website entitled Climate Change and Your Home which aims to help owners of older and historic homes to understand more about their properties and ways of saving energy (www.climatechangeandyourhome.org.uk).

Thank you for consulting TfL on this draft policy document. TfL’s primary interests in the Dulwich SPD area are the A205 Dulwich Common/Thurlow Park Road, which forms part of the TfL Road Network (TLRN), the A2216 Lordship Lane and A2199 Croxted Road, which form part of the Strategic Road Network (SRN), efficient operation of bus services and continued encouragement of walking and cycling. The general thrust of the SPD is retention of character and strengthening of local centres. Indeed the strategic SPD policies acknowledge that there is no capacity for large scale growth in the area and that the Mayor has not set any targets. As such, TfL has no comment on the broad policy direction of the SPD as it is unlikely to have significant implications for strategic transport provision. However, we do have the following specific transport related comments:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
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</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>145 842</td>
<td>2.2.3</td>
<td>2.2.3</td>
<td>2.2.3</td>
<td>Paragraph 2.2.3 This states ‘There are poor links to the underground system and some areas suffer a lack of convenient bus routes serving central London. Thus the most popular way of getting to work is by the overground trains, used by around a third of people’. We feel this is a somewhat misleading statement that</td>
<td>We have amended the wording in paragraph 7.3.2 to reflect the fact that Dulwich is serves by a bus network that is commensurate to the suburban densities in the area. Our Transport Plan sets out our priorities for</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>does not take into account the geography of the area, or what TfL considers is a comprehensive bus network and good National Rail connections to London and elsewhere. It is also inconsistent with other statements further on in the SPD. For example, paragraph 2.4.8 states ‘Dulwich Village is reasonably well served by a choice of means of transport, as the centre is served by three bus routes and North Dulwich railway station is within walking distance of the northern end of the centre’. This part of south London is obviously devoid of London Underground (LU) stations for well known historic reasons (ground conditions etc), the nearest station being Brixton, but the bus network has evolved to reflect this. Three bus routes link the SPD area directly to Brixton LU Station: the 37 (24 hour service) which serves North Dulwich and Herne Hill, the P4 which serves Dulwich Village and the 3/N3 which serves West Dulwich and Herne Hill. In addition three bus services along Lordship Lane, the 40, 176 (24 hour service) and 185 all serve other underground stations, such as Elephant and Castle, Oval and Vauxhall. The three services running along Lordship Lane and the 3/N3 all continue to central London. Indeed, paragraph 7.3.1 states ‘Dulwich is served by twelve bus routes, seven of which continue to central London’. The far south of the SPD area is directly adjacent to Crystal Palace bus station, which is one of the busiest such facilities in south London, served by 10 daytime bus routes and three night bus routes. There are also a number of direct bus links between the SPD area and London Overground stations such as Denmark Hill, Peckham Rye and Forest Hill. TfL therefore feel this is a good and appropriate level of bus service provision, particularly given the relatively low population density in the SPD area which, at 52 people per hectare, is half that of Southwark borough (100 people per hectare, which is the average for inner London) and is more akin to outer London. SPD paragraph 2.6.1 also acknowledges that 33% of the SPD area is protected open space. However, a key benefit of buses is their</td>
<td>improving transport in the borough. We will continue working with TfL to achieve our objectives in the Transport Plan.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>147</td>
<td>842</td>
<td></td>
<td>flexibility. TfL undertakes regular reviews of the bus network and we would always be willing to discuss potential changes with stakeholders in response to local changes in population or services such as healthcare, as per London Plan policy 6.7 (better streets and surface transport). The SPD could therefore be an appropriate place to promote and justify the transport links that the Council consider are currently lacking.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>New rep</td>
<td>842</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>Note that, to avoid confusion, it would be better to replace ‘the overground trains’ in paragraph 2.2.3 with ‘National Rail services’ – overground is the colloquial name of London Overground services which do not serve the SPD area directly.</td>
<td>We have amended the reference to overground trains in paragraph 2.2.3.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>148</td>
<td>842</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>The Mayor is however making a case for TfL to control some Southeastern National Rail services, which may include services calling at West Dulwich and Herne Hill stations which lie at the western edge of the SPD area. If this is accepted by the Government, it is anticipated that stations and train services will be improved to the standard of current London Overground services and better integrated with the wider TfL network. It would therefore be good if support for this could be given within the SPD.</td>
<td>We support the Mayor’s case for TfL to control some Southeastern National Rail services, however, we do not feel that the SPD is the appropriate document for this level of detail at such an early stage.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>149</td>
<td>842</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>We support the policy of seeking to restrict sub-division of larger properties as paragraph 5.3.1 points out, this leads to proliferation of on-street parking that can adversely impact on cyclist and traffic flow on roads such as Lordship Lane if opportunity for short stop parking on side roads is reduced. This accords with London Plan policy 6.11 (smoothing traffic flow and tackling congestion).</td>
<td>Support noted.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>We strongly support the policies to maintain and enhance the South East London Green Chain walk as it is a strategic walking path.</td>
<td>Support noted.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<tbody>
<tr>
<td>150</td>
<td>842</td>
<td>6. Natural Environment</td>
<td>6.7 Trees</td>
<td>We strongly support the policy to protect and enhance trees as this will assist TfL in protecting street trees along the A205, in line with London Plan policy 5.10 (Urban Greening).</td>
<td>Support noted.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>151</td>
<td>842</td>
<td>7. Transport and Accessibility</td>
<td>7. Transport and Accessibility</td>
<td>The broad thrust of the transport policies are supported, particularly the list in paragraph 7.3 which seeks to encourage walking, cycling and public transport use and reduce car use.</td>
<td>Support noted.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>152</td>
<td>842</td>
<td>7. Transport and Accessibility</td>
<td>7. Transport and Accessibility</td>
<td>The Mayor has recently launched his Vision for Cycling in London1 (March 2013) which seeks to encourage wider uptake of cycling and making it safer by, amongst others, creating new ‘quiet ways’. A ‘South Circular Quietway’ is planned to parallel the A205. The Dulwich area will obviously play a key role in this, as it is already a ‘village in the city’, which forms part of Mayor’s wider vision for London. It also has a good network of existing ‘quiet’ cycle routes such as Dulwich Park and College Road. As such, the SPD could be more specific in the promotion of cycling and could consider how the area could help deliver the Vision for Cycling, for example by identifying potential Quietways linking key places in the SPD area or serving the area. The SPD could also consider how severance (both in terms of physical obstruction and safety/fear of crime) of roads, railways, off-road routes and private land could be reduced for pedestrians and cyclists, for example through redevelopment opportunities, better crossings/lighting and new ‘permitted paths’. The SPD states that “where appropriate, new developments should contribute to increasing provision of cycle paths by linking up the existing path and improving facilities for cyclists throughout.” We consider that this is sufficient to support the Mayor’s Vision for Cycling at this stage. Further detail around the location of Quietways will be set out in our Transport Plan.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>153</td>
<td>842</td>
<td>7. Transport and Accessibility</td>
<td>Following on from comments on paragraph 2.2.3 above, we request that the following sentences in paragraph 7.3.1 are reconsidered and amended to better reflect the bus service provision. Despite this, large parts of the area remain relatively</td>
<td>We have removed the reference to the area being poorly served by public transport in paragraph 7.3.1.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<tbody>
<tr>
<td>154</td>
<td>842</td>
<td>7. Transport and Accessibility</td>
<td>poorly served by public transport’. Figure 11 shows that there are areas of low PTAL, however these are generally the protected open space and areas of very low density of development (for example between Dulwich College and Sydenham Hill, where buses cannot run anyway due to the private College Road). Access to public transport is much better around the stations but other parts of Dulwich, especially to the south, have poor access. In particular, there are poor north south links across Dulwich and poor links to Kings College Hospital. Again, generally it is areas in the south with very low density of development/open space that have low PTALs. Some parts of the south near to Crystal Palace bus station actually have a good PTAL. North-south links in the centre of the SPD area are prevented by College Road and would only serve low density areas. North-south bus links in the west and east of the SPD area, and in Dulwich Village end of College Road, are considered to be good especially in relation to density of development.</td>
<td>Noted.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>155</td>
<td>842</td>
<td>7. Transport and Accessibility</td>
<td>Links to King College Hospital are considered to be good from East Dulwich (40, 176,185, 484 bus routes) and Herne Hill/North Dulwich (42, 68, 468, X68, N68 routes), however it is acknowledged that there are no direct bus links between Dulwich Village and West Dulwich to the hospital.</td>
<td>Noted.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>156</td>
<td>842</td>
<td>7. Transport and Accessibility</td>
<td>Herne Hill has good access to public transport compared with other parts of Dulwich whereas other parts of Village ward and parts of College ward suffer from a lack of access to public transport. As above, this reflects the low density of development in this area and the private section of College Road. It is also somewhat inconsistent with the statement in paragraph 2.4.8.</td>
<td>Noted.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>157</td>
<td>842</td>
<td>7.</td>
<td>As mentioned above, TfL would be willing to consider suggestions</td>
<td>Noted. The SPD is primarily a document</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
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<tr>
<td>158 842</td>
<td>7. Transport and Accessibility</td>
<td>8. Town and local centres and the evening economy</td>
<td>for changes to the bus network to reflect local changes in population or service provision. Therefore the SPD could be an appropriate place to outline the case for changes that the Council consider are needed to support the aspirations for the area.</td>
<td>focusing on new development in Dulwich. Our Transport Plan sets out our aspirations for improving public transport accessibility in Dulwich.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>159 643</td>
<td>Re: London Borough of Southwark: Dulwich Supplementary Planning Document. Thank you for consulting the Environment Agency on the above. We worked closely with the London Borough of Southwark in identifying environmental issues and provided data and information to inform the adopted Core Strategy and the draft version of the Dulwich Supplementary Planning Document (SPD) which was previously consulted on in 2009. We support the spatial strategy for the borough as set out in the Core Strategy to improve places in Southwark building on each area’s strengths and unique identities. We also support the Core Strategy area visions for the SPD to ensure that the area has accessible, locally distinctive, well designed places which are interconnected and linked to the rest of London. We would wish to provide further comments on the following: Vision Areas and Sustainable Design, Basement Development, Surface Water Management, Public Realm and Biodiversity, Waste Strategy. Please find attached below our detailed comments for your consideration. For other most up to date and accurate environmental evidence we recommend using our Data Share service where you can access our environmental datasets and also datasets from Natural England, Forestry Commission and English Heritage.<a href="http://www.geostore.com/environment-agency/">http://www.geostore.com/environment-agency/</a></td>
<td>Noted.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<tr>
<td>160</td>
<td>643</td>
<td>3. What will Dulwich be like in the future?</td>
<td>Vision Areas and Sustainable Design</td>
<td>Do not hesitate to contact me should you wish to discuss this further.</td>
<td>Support noted.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>161</td>
<td>643</td>
<td>5. Built Environment</td>
<td>Basement Development</td>
<td>We support section 3- What will Dulwich be like in the future?- especially paragraph 3.2-3.5 which sets out the Core Strategy area visions for the Dulwich Village / West Dulwich, East Dulwich, Lordship Lane town centre and Herne Hill. The vision areas uniquely highlight Dulwich specific characteristics and local issues that may be addressed through the development process and environmental improvements. Public involvement in the character of the areas and planning process are fundamental to the success of the neighbourhoods. Good design solutions should mean that interventions enhance the environment and sense of place, rather than feeling like clumsy inserts in local public spaces. Design quality is fundamental to how places work. This may include public spaces that are safe and attractive and buildings that are at appropriate scale and density to support local services. Places that respect their context, using it as a starting point to enhance local character, and so connect, physically and socially, to the surrounding built environment and landscape, are more likely to have a strong, positive identity. A well-designed neighbourhood should also be sustainable socially, economically and environmentally. It should also create neighbourhoods where it is convenient and safe to walk or cycle to shops, schools and access to public transport.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Support noted.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Representa-</td>
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<td>---</td>
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<td>---</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>162</td>
<td>643</td>
<td>643</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

including for the construction of basement extensions, development should proceed with caution. The London Borough of Southwark's Strategic Flood Risk Assessment sets out recommended development control policies within section 6.4.4, stating that for less vulnerable development, flood resilient design measures and a site specific flood evacuation plan should be implemented to ensure that in the event of a breach the risk to life and property are minimised. The recommended development control policies are embodied within the London Borough of Southwark’s Sustainability Assessments Supplementary Planning Document (SPD) that sets out in more detail the requirements for site specific flood risk assessments. The London Borough of Southwark’s Sustainable Design and Construction Supplementary Planning Document section 9.2 states ‘sleeping areas should not be located below the predicted 1 in 200 year flood level’ and ‘No basements permitted within this area’ for the less than 6 hours rate of inundation zone.

Surface Water Management Flood and Water Management Act requires local planning authorities to exercise their flood management functions in line with the Environment Agency’s flood and coastal erosion strategy, which has also been published. The Act also gives councils more powers to carry out works to manage groundwater and surface water flooding. The Drain London Forum continues to help boroughs with their responsibilities for managing flood risk by providing guidance on asset registers, helping to form multi-agency partnerships and sharing good practice, knowledge and expertise. For more information please visit: [http://www.london.gov.uk/drain-london](http://www.london.gov.uk/drain-london)

New housing development will be expected to include a provision for the adequate environmentally acceptable measures to deal

Noted. The guidance set out in the Dulwich SPD seeks to ensure that planning permission for development that includes non-sustainable methods of surface water drainage will not be granted.
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with surface water run-off or discharge. Green roofs and other surface water storage or infiltration may help to reduce flood risk. Planning permission for development that includes non-sustainable methods of surface water drainage should not be granted unless it can be demonstrated that sustainable techniques are not feasible on the grounds of practicability. For practical guidance on designing green roofs to suit local site conditions and maximising benefits please visit: [www.environment-agency.gov.uk/greenroofs](http://www.environment-agency.gov.uk/greenroofs)

London Borough of Southwark as the Lead Local Flood Authority (LLFA) has full responsibility for managing flood risk from surface water, groundwater and ordinary watercourses. Developments should have regard to the following criteria: determination of potential overland flow paths and proposals for appropriate solutions to minimise the impact of development on surface water flooding. Road and building configuration should be considered to preserve existing flow paths and improve flood routing, whilst ensuring that flows are not diverted towards other properties elsewhere; In the areas outlined in the Surface Water Management Plan as areas with increased risk of surface water flooding, a FRA should mitigate off site surface water flooding by aiming to achieve greenfield run off rates or better. SUDS techniques should be applied with regard to the London Plan Sustainable Drainage Hierarchy. Incorporation of soft landscaping and permeable surfaces into all new residential and non-residential developments. Retention of soft landscaping and permeable surfaces in front gardens and other means of reducing, or at least not increasing, the amount of hard standing associated with existing homes is encouraged. New driveways or parking areas associated with non-residential developments and those located in front gardens should be made of permeable material. application of a site wide sequential approach to development by
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<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>locating buildings within the areas of lowest flood risk on a site in accordance with the areas set out within the Surface Water Management Plan as areas with increased risk of surface water flooding;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>163</td>
<td>643</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Sustainable Drainage Systems</td>
<td>Noted.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>The Flood and Water Management Act 2010 will introduce far-reaching requirements for SUDS on future construction work. When the commencement order takes effect, applicable construction works will not start until drainage systems have been approved by Approving Bodies. in line with national standards for SUDS. The existing right to connect surface water drainage systems to public sewers (under Section 106 of the 1991 Water Industry Act) will be restricted to those approved under the new regime, i.e. appropriate SUDS. Approving Bodies (the local planning authorities) will be obliged to adopt all approved drainage systems except those on single properties and public highways. Road drainage will be adopted by Highways Authorities, as now, but design, construction and maintenance must be in line with the new national standards. This will therefore impact on how development in the town will be implemented. The Act applies to any construction work that creates a building or other structure, including “anything that covers land (such as a patio or other surface)”, that will affect the ability of land to absorb rainwater. In other words all new buildings, roads and other paving, whatever the size, type or scale of the project, will be affected – as well as alterations that have drainage implications</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>164</td>
<td>643</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Sewers</td>
<td>Noted.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>The Flood and Water Management Act 2010 requires that all new sewers/lateral drains are adopted by the Water Companies. Existing private drains and sewers were adopted from 1 Oct 2011.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
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</tr>
<tr>
<td>Developments with new sewers are now required to enter into an adoption agreement under the Water Industry Act 1991. Developers are expected to produce detailed drawings, manhole schedules and sections together with drainage calculations to the Unified Build Standard issued by DEFRA. This standard is expected to be incorporated into the forthcoming Sewers for Adoption 7th Edition which will also cover pumping stations. Sewers should include adequate clearance from adjacent buildings to allow for future access for maintenance and structural integrity of the sewer. Careful routing of the drainage network would minimise the requirements for Easements and Building-Over agreements.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>165 643</td>
<td>6. Natural Environment</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>Public Realm and Biodiversity</td>
<td>We support paragraph 6.3 Open spaces and would recommend a consistent and coherent approach for the whole area is designed as one complete programme. Major new developments should provide the opportunity for the introduction of green spaces that can both slow the passage of surface water and reduce the use of water in buildings. We are pleased to note that a number of major open spaces throughout Dulwich are already linked by the South East London Green Chain Network. Providing new and attractive green grid style development, improving entrance ways and knowledge of parks, enhancing and possible extension of the existing green spaces would be welcome development. We see development as an opportunity for the green spaces to become a major educational and community resource. We would recommend new developments to incorporate green roofs. Living roofs can deliver extensive benefits by creating new outdoor spaces (in some instances); enhancing biodiversity; extending life of the roof; reducing flood risk (by absorbing heavy rainfall); providing insulation thereby reducing energy consumption and improving the appearance of our cityscape. Green roofs offer a</td>
<td>Support noted. Further information on how we will require green roofs to be provided as part of new development is set out in our Sustainable Design and Construction SPD.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>relatively wide-ranging scope for habitat creation, depending on the design. Green roofs can range from basic moss roofs to extensive green roofs that re-create a meadow habitat. Within London the prevalent type of green roof is one that re-creates brownfield habitat. For more information please refer to our Green Roofs Toolkit The council should require development proposals to include landscaping and other ecological features that contribute towards protecting, managing and enhancing local biodiversity. Information on these measures must be submitted with an application. Applicants proposing major Developments should appoint a suitably qualified ecologist to prepare appraisal of the proposals and, if appropriate a biodiversity action plan for the site. The SPD should demonstrate how proposals will positively contribute to the England Biodiversity Strategy. The Pocket Parks Programme aims to deliver 100 new or enhanced pocket parks across London by March 2015. The Programme is part of the Mayor’s London’s Great Outdoors - the initiative to improve streets, squares, parks, and canal and riverside spaces across London. Please visit for more detail: <a href="http://www.london.gov.uk/priorities/environment/greening-london/parks-green-spaces/pocket-parks">http://www.london.gov.uk/priorities/environment/greening-london/parks-green-spaces/pocket-parks</a></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>166 643</td>
<td></td>
<td>Waste Strategy</td>
<td>Composting of food waste. It is acknowledged that the town centres and in particular retailers and businesses produce significant amounts of waste and would therefore support proposals to gain additional value from the waste produced by, for example, composting of food waste for use in local food growing projects. As regulators of the waste industry we are aware of the impacts that waste activities can have to local residents.</td>
<td>Noted.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>167 643</td>
<td></td>
<td>Construction and Demolition Waste</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Noted.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
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<tr>
<td>168 977</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>We support recycling of waste generated by construction and demolition of development in Dulwich. In accordance with Section 34 of the Environmental Protection Act and the Duty of Care in respect of waste, any waste generated on site is to be stored in a safe and secure manner in order to prevent its escape or its handling by unauthorised persons. There is an improved Code of Practice to regulate the reuse of excavated materials. This Code will facilitate easier cleaning up and re use of excavated materials in soil treatment centres or soil hospitals, which can economically serve a number of small development sites in an area and reduce the need and cost of sending off site to landfill or treatment. Please refer to the link below - Development Industry Code of Practice - Definition of Waste <a href="http://www.claire.co.uk/index.php?option=com_phocadownload&amp;view=file&amp;id=212:initiatives&amp;Itemid=82">http://www.claire.co.uk/index.php?option=com_phocadownload&amp;view=file&amp;id=212:initiatives&amp;Itemid=82</a></td>
<td>Noted. Detailed officer comments below in response to the detailed comments.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>169 977</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Daniel Watney LLP has been instructed by its client, The Dulwich Estate, to review and submit representations on its behalf to the draft Dulwich SPD. The Dulwich Estate (“The Estate”) is supportive of the principle of the SPD and its role in shaping the future of the area. The Estate is concerned however that the draft SPD does not seek to optimise on the opportunity presented by an SPD to facilitate appropriate development.</td>
<td>The SPD sets out additional guidance on the saved Southwark Plan and core strategy policies. In order to make the document clear and easy to use, we have structured the SPD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>The Role of a Supplementary Planning Document</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>The NPPF advises at paragraph 153 that supplementary planning documents should only be used where they can help applicants</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Daniel Watney LLP** has been instructed by its client, The Dulwich Estate, to review and submit representations on its behalf to the draft Dulwich SPD. The Dulwich Estate (“The Estate”) is supportive of the principle of the SPD and its role in shaping the future of the area. The Estate is concerned however that the draft SPD does not seek to optimise on the opportunity presented by an SPD to facilitate appropriate development. **The SPD sets out additional guidance on the saved Southwark Plan and core strategy policies. In order to make the document clear and easy to use, we have structured the SPD.**
Seeking planning permission make successful applications or aid infrastructure delivery. Paragraph 174 goes on to advise that, in order for supplementary planning guidance to be appropriate, it should facilitate development throughout the economic cycle.

Firstly, we do not consider the SPD to be effective in providing supplementary planning advice as it is largely an iteration of planning policies that can already been found within the saved Southwark Plan and Core Strategy.

The SPD does not seek to refine these policies in respect of development within Dulwich nor does it identify opportunities for growth and development which can enhance the area and the wider Borough. As the SPD defines, 52% of the Borough falls within a Conservation Area and therefore development in these areas is already informed by those relevant adopted policies at the local and regional level. There is therefore no need for a document which reiterates this guidance, particularly one which places a great emphasis on ‘conservation’ as the draft SPD currently does.

It is a core planning principle of the NPPF that planning should “proactively drive and support sustainable economic development to deliver the homes, business and industrial units, infrastructure and thriving local places that the country needs. Every effect should be made to…meet the housing, business and other development needs of an area, and respond positively to wider opportunities for growth.”

We would contend that the draft SPD is contrary to this guidance. For example, paragraph 3.2 through to Paragraph 3.5 of the draft SPD describes the “vision” for the four areas that make up Dulwich: Dulwich Village / West Dulwich, East Dulwich, Lordship so that we set out which policies the additional guidance set out in each of the chapters builds on.

Dulwich has a high percentage of land within a conservation area which is a characteristic that is unique to this area. We therefore consider that is appropriate to set out some additional guidance for development that reflects the significance of the conservation areas and their wider setting.

The SPD provides additional guidance for development in Dulwich, taking into account the design and heritage impacts. It is already acknowledged in the Council’s adopted Core Strategy that there will be limited growth in the area covered by the Dulwich SPD.

The SPD is a lower tier document and cannot set new policy. It is therefore not an appropriate document to set out site allocations or targets for growth. We will look at new site allocations and review all of our existing site allocations through the New Southwark Plan which we are due to start work on later this year.

We recognise that at the heart of the National Planning Policy Framework is a presumption in favour of sustainable development. This includes looking at the social, environment and economic impacts of development.
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<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Lane Town Centre and Herne Hill and adds very little in terms of guidance:</td>
<td>Core planning principles set out in the NPPF include seeking to secure high quality design and a good standard of amenity for all existing and future occupants of land and buildings and conserving heritage assets in a manner appropriate to their significance, so that they can be enjoyed for their contribution to the quality of life of this and future generations. With these principles in mind, we consider that the SPD sets out appropriate planning guidance that would promote the most sustainable development possible in Dulwich where the opportunity arises for new development to come forwards.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Dulwich Village / West Dulwich: “We will continue to protect Dulwich Village / West Dulwich...We are conserving Dulwich Village / West Dulwich and there is no capacity for large scale growth.”</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>East Dulwich: “There will be very little growth, with the focus on improving what is already there and protecting its suburban character.”</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Lordship Lane Town Centre: “We are conserving the area and there is no capacity for large scale growth.”</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Herne Hill: “We are focusing on further improving Herne Hill...As there are few development sites in Herne Hill there is little capacity for growth.”</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Contrary to the NPPF, the strategy for these four areas provides nothing to facilitate development and the SPD therefore fails in its responsibility.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>We would recommend that in order for this to be an effective SPD there needs to be greater emphasis on planning positively by identifying and highlighting the potential for small and medium scale development opportunities and provide proactive guidance and advice on how such opportunities can be realised.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>170 977</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Conserving and Enhancing Dulwich</td>
<td>Our approach to meeting the London Plan housing targets in Southwark is set out in our adopted Core Strategy. The majority of new development will be focused on our growth areas including Bankside, Borough and</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>The Dulwich Estate is supportive of the conservation and enhancement to the amenity of Dulwich; as is evident by its Scheme of Management which is applied to some 4,000 freehold</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<tr>
<td>dwellings which fall within its boundaries. The Estate’s amenity areas as defined in its Scheme of Management contribute to the character and appearance of the area and arguably, in absence of the Estate and its Scheme of Management, Dulwich would not be what it is today, with its unique and attractive character as a genuine ‘village’. The Estate is not seeking amendments to the SPD that would encourage unconstrained development and growth. However, we would contend that the SPD should adopt a more positive approach to fostering development and growth, i.e. it should seek to both conserve and enhance the area. The SPD puts a particular emphasis on ‘conservation’, but as we have stated, 52% of the SPD area falls within a conservation area and is thus already subject to an intrinsic level of conservation. Chapter 12 of the NPPF provides guidance on ‘Conserving and enhancing the historic environment’; acknowledging that it is through both conservation and enhancement that sustainable development is achievable and areas such as Dulwich are able to exist and thrive in perpetuity. In particular the NPPF makes reference at paragraph 126 of “the desirability of new development making a positive contribution to local character and distinctiveness”. The NPPF advises at paragraph 65 that “Good design is a key aspect of sustainable development, is indivisible from good planning, and should contribute positively to making places better for people.” Through its review of relevant policies, the draft SPD references the importance of good design and other development considerations and therefore any proposed development would only be acceptable if it is supported by relevant policy guidance including the principles of good design and conserving and London Bridge, Elephant and Castle, Aylesbury, Canada Water and Peckham. The Core Strategy states that “we will improve our other unique areas to strengthen their local characteristics (Bermondsey, Nunhead, East Dulwich, Herne Hill, Lordship Lane and Dulwich Village/ West Dulwich).” The Dulwich SPD seeks to ensure that development that occurs in Dulwich is appropriate in design and reflects local characteristics. It does not set out the level of growth intended for Dulwich, this has already been determined through the policies set out in the Core Strategy. The Dulwich SPD cannot set out site allocations and therefore this is not an appropriate document through which to identify opportunities for development. We will look at reviewing our site allocations across the borough as part of the New Southwark Plan which we are due to start work on later this year. It should be noted that the London Plan target is a cumulative target that is intended to cover a 10 year period. We have continued to review our housing supply following the adoption of the NPPF, and through our updated trajectory think that we can meet this target over the relevant timeframe. Further information is set out in our soon to be published subsequent</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>enhancing the character and appearance of an area.</td>
<td>Authorities Monitoring Report 2011-2012..</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>The SPD should therefore seek to identify and support opportunities for positive growth and development and would bring the SPD into accordance with the NPPF and its proactive drive towards sustainable development.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>It is of note that in the most recently available Authority Monitoring Report 2010/11, Southwark’s residential completions were below the 2011 London Plan target of 2,005 net additional dwellings per year. The AMR advises that “Based on current projections we will struggle to meet the new London Plan target”. The NPPF requires LPAs to proactively support sustainable development and make every effort to deliver the homes an area needs.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>171</td>
<td>977</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Identifying Additional Development Opportunities</td>
<td>The SPD provides additional guidance and does not set new policy or identify sites for development. The two development opportunities identified in this document are referred to for the following reasons;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Chapter 9 of the SPD identifies two development opportunities. The Dulwich Hospital site is identified as having the potential to provide a high quality mixed use scheme incorporating housing, public services and employment floorspace. The second site, the Velodrome (which is owned by the Estate), is earmarked for improved cycling facilities.</td>
<td>1) The Dulwich Hospital site is the only proposals site identified in the saved Southwark Plan in Dulwich and has yet to be developed. We also have an adopted planning brief for this site.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>The NPPF requires Local Planning Authorities to positively seek opportunities to meet the development needs of their area. The draft SPD, as currently proposed, specifically identifies only two development opportunities in the entire area. The Estate, as one of the largest land owners within the SPD area, has a desire to enhance and develop its extensive property and it would be reasonable to assume that there are other sites under different ownership which offer development opportunities which would enhance the area and introduce the homes, jobs, public services and public realm improvements the area needs. The draft SPD</td>
<td>2) The Herne Hill Velodrome is a large development site in Dulwich and the Herne Hill Velodrome Trust has recently submitted a planning application for improvement works. Some funding has also been secured for further work to improve the cycling facilities at the Velodrome.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>identifies, for example, that there is projected pressure on primary school places yet the document has made no effort to identify opportunities where this could be addressed. Similarly Dulwich Village is described in the SPD as not having access to a wide range of services, however the SPD does not propose how to address this. As opposed to solely conserving Dulwich, the SPD should positively encourage the creation of vibrant, sustainable communities as required by the NPPF.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>We would recommend that the SPD seeks to include additional development opportunities by undertaking a ‘Call for Sites’ exercise or elaborates on those areas identified for improvement. For example, the SPD identifies the railway arches and buildings around Herne Hill station as an area for improvement. Further elaboration on the opportunities presented and improvements sought in this area may identify additional development opportunities and help to create a comprehensive vision for the area.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>The objective of an SPD is to facilitate development. Identifying more opportunities and providing greater detail on identified areas for improvement would enhance the effectiveness of this SPD by encouraging a more comprehensive approach to development.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>We consider that this would make the SPD more effective in facilitating development and strengthen its role as a framework to guide development.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>172</td>
<td>977</td>
<td>Back-land Development</td>
<td>The SPD advises at Chapter 5.4 that Dulwich is generally not considered to be a suitable area for back-land development due to the character of the area and the large plot sizes which are</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>The NPPF states that local planning authorities should consider the case for setting out policies to resist inappropriate development of residential gardens, for example where development would cause</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
characteristic of the area and contribute to its historic value. In exceptional cases, and upon satisfaction of seven specific criteria, back-land development could be acceptable.

We would contend that this is in conflict with the NPPF, which as we have discussed, requires planning to proactively drive and support sustainable economic development to deliver the homes, jobs and infrastructure that places need. The appropriateness of back-land development should be considered on a case by case basis, and not be subject to satisfying seven arbitrary criteria.

The approach to back-land development as proposed by the SPD is not an example of planning positively as required by the NPPF. Any planning application for development on land which can be considered as 'back-land' should be assessed on its own merits, including its accordance with the presumption in favour of sustainable development, its impact upon the character and appearance of the area (which would be required by conservation policies if the site falls within a Conservation Area) and the wider planning benefits.

We would therefore recommend that guidance in relation to back-land development is revised to ensure that it is not overly prescriptive and is in accordance with the NPPF by proactively driving sustainable development.
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<tr>
<td>173 977</td>
<td>Open Space</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>developments to achieve a high quality of both architectural and urban design, enhancing the quality of the built environment in order to create attractive, high amenity environments people will choose to live in, work in and visit. This policy also requires a design and access statement to be submitted with planning applications for all development.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Our residential design standards SPD sets out further detail on the standard of design expected from residential development in Southwark including the minimum dwelling standards we require.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>The NPPF states that planning policies should be based on robust and up-to-date assessments of the needs for open space, sports and recreation facilities and opportunities for new provision. The assessments should identify specific needs and quantitative or qualitative deficits or surpluses of open space, sports and recreational facilities in the local area. We have recently adopted an open space strategy for the borough (January 2013) which carried out a thorough review of all of our protected open spaces. The strategy found that we need to continue to protect all of our existing open spaces in the borough in</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
networks of biodiversity and green infrastructure. The SPD as currently proposed does not seek to manage the existing quantum of open space; like much of the SPD there is a greater emphasis on preservation as opposed to proactively driving economic development.

The presumption in favour of sustainable development will inform what development is appropriate in a given location and will therefore safeguard against the loss of valuable open space which supports the principles of sustainable development whilst enabling the managed release of open space which can be optimised to provide homes, jobs and local services. We would therefore recommend that the SPD facilitates development by identifying that there may be opportunities to redevelop open space, subject to satisfying the presumption in favour of sustainable development.
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<tr>
<td>174</td>
<td>977</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>order to achieve a standard of 0.72ha of public park provision by 2016. We also assessed all of our saved Southwark Plan policies against the NPPF in a report submitted to cabinet in March 2013 which sets out how our open space policies are in compliance with the NPPF. This report is available on our website; <a href="http://www.southwark.gov.uk/info/856/planning_policy/1241/the_southwark_plan">http://www.southwark.gov.uk/info/856/planning_policy/1241/the_southwark_plan</a> The guidance in the Dulwich SPD reflects the findings of our open space strategy and we consider that this approach is line with the guidance set out in the NPPF.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Employment Premises and Floorspace

Finally, the SPD references adopted and saved planning policies regarding employment floorspace and advises accordingly:

“Policy 1.4 Employment sites outside the Preferred Industrial Locations (PIL), states that on sites outside of the PILs a change of use from an employment use to suitable mixed or residential uses will be permitted provided that the proposal would not result in a net loss of floorspace in Class B use.”

The NPPF was published in March 2012 and gave LPAs a one year grace period in which to ensure that their Development Plan is in accordance with the NPPF. This period has now ended and due weight should be given by LPAs in the determination of planning applications to policies according to their degree of consistency with the NPPF.

The NPPF states that Each local planning authority should ensure that the Local Plan is based on adequate, up-to-date and relevant evidence about the economic, social and environmental characteristics and prospects of the area. Local planning authorities should ensure that their assessment of and strategies for housing, employment and other uses are integrated, and that they take full account of relevant market and economic signals.

Our approach to protecting land in B1 use across the borough is set out in policy 10 of the core strategy. This approach was informed by our employment land review and was found to be sound by an independent
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<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>planning inspector. The employment land review has identified a need for us to continue protecting B1 office space across the borough and we consider that this approach is in line with the NPPF.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>We also assessed all of our saved Southwark Plan policies against the NPPF in a report submitted to cabinet in March 2013 which sets out how our employment policies are in compliance with the NPPF. This report is available on our website: <a href="http://www.southwark.gov.uk/info/856/planning_policy/1241/the_southwark_plan">http://www.southwark.gov.uk/info/856/planning_policy/1241/the_southwark_plan</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>175</td>
<td>977</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Conclusion We recognise that at the heart of the National Planning Policy Framework is a presumption in favour of sustainable development. This</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The NPPF advises accordingly regarding employment use:

Paragraph 22: “Planning policies should avoid the long term protection of sites allocated for employment use where there is no reasonable prospect of a site being used for that purpose...Where there is no reasonable prospect of a site being used for the allocated employment use, applications for alternative uses of land or buildings should be treated on their merits having regard to market signals and the relative need for different land uses to support sustainable local communities.”

Paragraph 51: “Local planning authorities should identify and bring back into residential use empty housing and buildings in line with local housing and empty homes strategies and, where appropriate, acquire properties under compulsory purchase powers. They should normally approve planning applications for change to residential use and any associated development from commercial buildings (currently in the B use classes) where there is an identified need for additional housing in that area, provided that there are not strong economic reasons why such development would be inappropriate.”

The draft SPD does not make reference to this important policy guidance and thereby there is an unduly and unjustified restrictive approach to existing employment floorspace.

We would recommend that the SPD makes reference to the NPPF guidance to ensure that it is consistent with national policy and can subsequently be found sound.

In summary we contend that the SPD as proposed does not seek to proactively drive sustainable development, instead advising
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<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>that, despite there being an identified shortage of services in some parts of Dulwich, the area can only accommodate limited to no growth.</td>
<td>includes looking at the social, environment and economic impacts of development.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>This is in conflict with the NPPF and we consider that the SPD should be revised to include a greater emphasis on enhancing the area through growth and development in recognition of both the Estate’s desire area to enhance and develop its portfolio, and the likely desire of other land owners to do the same to ensure the vitality, the vibrancy and unique character of Dulwich in perpetuity.</td>
<td>Core planning principles set out in the NPPF include seeking to secure high quality design and a good standard of amenity for all existing and future occupants of land and buildings and conserving heritage assets in a manner appropriate to their significance, so that they can be enjoyed for their contribution to the quality of life of this and future generations. With these principles in mind, we consider that the SPD sets out appropriate planning guidance that would promote the most sustainable development possible in Dulwich where the opportunity arises for new development to come forwards.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>We trust these representations are clear and will assist in improving the effectiveness and soundness of the SPD.</td>
<td>The SPD is a lower tier document and cannot set new policy. It is therefore not an appropriate document to set out site allocations or targets for growth. Our approach to locating new development across the borough has already been set out through the policies in our adopted Core Strategy.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>