

Southwark Borough Council Core Strategy Examination
Hearing Session 10 29th July 2010 @ 14.00 Tooley St Offices

Agenda

1. Welcome and Introductions
2. Examination of Matter 12 – Implementation, Monitoring and Other Matters

Focus for Discussion:

Does the Core Strategy address adequately the provision of necessary infrastructure to support the delivery of the strategic objectives? Are the Core Strategy's monitoring targets justified adequately and of a level of detail that is appropriate?

- i. To what extent is the Core Strategy and SP14 in general conformity with both the London Plan and its draft replacement?
- ii. To what extent is the content of PPS1 and 12 satisfied by the Core Strategy with regard to implementation and monitoring?
- iii. Are the targets and monitoring proposed related adequately to the Policy objectives?
- iv. *Should the CS state more clearly how the implementation and monitoring arrangements will be progressed for the individual areas that are to be subject to their own AAPs?*
- v. *Are the table in Section 6, which in certain instances refer to the progress of policy related matters such as the progression of SPD, sufficiently clear to monitor the physical outcomes of planned development?*
- vi. Does the CS provide adequate clarity upon the issue of water and wastewater infrastructure delivery?
- vii. Is there sufficient clarity regarding how, when and where infrastructure will be provided (and by whom)?
- viii. How will the Community Infrastructure Levy be managed within the Borough and what implications does it have for the delivery of the Core Strategy?
- ix. How will transport improvements, including those sought by Transport for London, be secured? Does the Core Strategy reference Cross Rail adequately?
- x. Should Tables 1 and 2 be clearly iterative and reviewed regularly in light of the AMR and production of further DPDs (eg AAPs)?
- xi. Should the CS indicate explicitly that developments in compliance with its policies will be approved?
- xii. Do the CS and SP 14 deal adequately with policing and HMCS?
- xiii. Does the CS deal adequately with London Bridge Hospital?
- xiv. *To what extent are possible public sector spending decisions/reductions, for example on essential infrastructure, likely to affect the delivery of the CS objectives? What consequent contingency plans exist for the delivery of necessary infrastructure and in relation to the delivery of the CS objectives?*
- xv. *Does the CS have sufficient contingency measures in place to deal with unforeseen circumstances and, if so, should the implementation and monitoring arrangements better reflect these measures?*

3. Other Matters

4. Close of Hearing Sessions