

Agenda

1. Welcome and Introductions

2. Focus for Discussion:

With due regard to its means of production, does the CS provide an adequate strategic vision for the Borough leading to an effective spatial plan containing clear objectives for the plan period in accord with the aims of PPS12?

3. Examination of Matter 1: Vision, Strategy, Spatial Approach and Procedural Compliance

- i. To what extent is the Core Strategy in general conformity with both the London Plan and its draft replacement?
- ii. Is the evidence base in support of the chosen strategic approach robust and credible against alternatives?
- iii. Does the CS reflect local distinctiveness adequately? (eg area visions?) Is the number of visions distinctive/effective/too prescriptive?
- iv. Does the CS provide sufficient detail on how much development is intended to happen where and when? By what means it will be delivered? Is the delivery strategy for achieving the objectives adequate?
- v. Should the strategy and various visions include greater clarity upon the quantum of development in individual areas?
- vi. Has the production of the Core Strategy followed the SCI? Has this led to timely, effective and conclusive discussion with key stakeholders on what option(s) for a core strategy are deliverable?
- vii. Has the effectiveness of public consultation undertaken in relation to the Core Strategy been affected unacceptably by additional consultation upon Area Action Plans? What implications, if any, does this have for the tests of soundness?
- viii. To what extent does the CS repeat or reformulate national or regional policy (eg London Plan)?
- ix. To what extent has the production of the CS followed the LDS?
- x. Is the CS aligned and coordinated adequately with the Sustainable Community Strategy? Are there areas of discord/omission?
- xi. Does the CS contain sufficient justification of its policies? Is more explanation needed of how the policies relate to the key issues and spatial objectives? Is it sufficiently clear how the policies meet the needs of the Borough identified in the course of the CS preparation?
- xii. Is there a cumulative and undue burden upon development of requirements emanating from the London Plan and the CS re sustainability assessments (SP1); transport assessments (SP2); health impact assessment (SP5)?
- xiii. What constitutes 'the policy'? Does it include all the text? Or just grey shaded boxes?
- xiv. Does the CS acknowledge adequately border issues (eg Camberwell)?
- xv. Have the comments on the Scoping Study at 'issues and options' stage been considered adequately; (rep 140)?

Southwark Borough Council Core Strategy Examination
Hearing Session 1 20th July 2010 @ 10.00 Tooley St Offices

4. Examination of Matter 2: Sustainability, Equalities, Proposals Map & General Presentation
 - i. To what extent is the Core Strategy in general conformity with both the London Plan and its draft replacement?
 - ii. To what extent does the CS accord with the advice of PPS1, PPS12 and associated guidance?
 - iii. To what extent has the Sustainability Appraisal informed the content of the CS?
 - iv. Proposals Map – are the changes proposed sufficiently clear, comprehensive, ***necessary and justified***? Are the saved policies of the UDP clear and referenced?
 - v. Does the evidence base, including the Sustainability Appraisal and the Equalities Appraisal, inform adequately the approach of the CS with regard to equality? Does the CS address adequately this issue?

4. Other Matters

5. Close